Fiscal Estimate - 2011 Session

X	Original		Updated		Corrected		Supple	mental			
LRB	Number	11-1532/2		Introd	luction Numb	er S	B-104				
Description Requiring persons who violate certain restraining orders or injunctions to be monitored by a global positioning system, granting rule-making authority, making an appropriation, and providing a penalty											
Fiscal	Effect										
	No State Fisc Indeterminate Increase E Appropriat Decrease Appropriat Create Ne	e Existing tions Existing	Revenu Decrea: Revenu	se Existing	to abs		n agency	e possible 's budget No			
Local: No Local Government Costs Indeterminate 1. Increase Costs Permissive Mandatory 2. Decrease Costs Permissive Mandatory Permissive Mandatory Permissive Mandatory Permissive Mandatory Permissive Mandatory Permissive Mandatory Districts 5. Types of Local Government Units Affected Towns Village Cities Counties Others School Districts											
Fund Sources Affected Affected Ch. 20 Appropriations											
⊠ GI	PR 🔲 FED	PRO [] PRS SE	EG 🔲 SE	EGS 20.550(1)(d)						
Agend	cy/Prepared	Ву	A	uthorized :	Signature			Date			
SPD/ Megan Christiansen (608) 267-0311 Adam				dam Plotkin	n Plotkin (608) 264-8572						

Fiscal Estimate Narratives SPD 6/1/2011

LRB Number	11-1532/2	Introduction Number	SB-104	Estimate Type	Original					
Description										
Requiring persons who violate certain restraining orders or injunctions to be monitored by a global positioning system, granting rule-making authority, making an appropriation, and providing a penalty										

Assumptions Used in Arriving at Fiscal Estimate

The State Public Defender (SPD) is statutorily authorized and required to appoint attorneys to represent indigent defendants in criminal and certain commitment proceedings. The SPD plays a major role in ensuring that the Wisconsin justice system complies with the right to counsel provided by both the state and federal constitutions. Any legislation has the potential to increase SPD costs if it creates a new criminal offense, expands the definition of an existing criminal offense, or increases the penalties for an existing offense.

This bill would permit a court to order a person convicted of violating a restraining order or injunction to be GPS tracked by the Department of Corrections, and it creates a new class I felony crime for tampering with such a GPS device.

The SPD has no data to predict the number of additional felony cases that would result from individuals tampering with GPS devices pursuant to the changes proposed in this bill. Conversely, for some, the GPS device could be a deterrent to actions that could have resulted in other criminal cases for which the SPD would have appointed counsel. The SPD's average cost to provide representation with a private bar attorney in a felony case is \$591.40, and \$219.07 in a misdemeanor case, calculated on the basis of the SPD's average costs per case type in fiscal year 2010.

Because probation or prison could be ordered upon conviction for the proposed felony crime, this change could indirectly lead to additional cases in which the Department of Corrections (DOC) would seek to revoke probation or extended supervision. And, GPS data gathered under this bill could increase the likelihood of revocation for persons already on probation or extended supervision for other convictions. The SPD provides representation in proceedings commenced by the Department of Corrections (DOC) to revoke supervision. Thus, the bill could indirectly increase the number of cases in which the SPD appoints attorneys in revocation proceedings. The average cost during fiscal year 2010 for SPD representation by a private bar attorney in a revocation proceeding was \$366.09.

Because of the annual caseloads for staff attorney positions specified for budgeting purposes under § 977.08(5), Stats., it would be more cost effective to add staff attorney positions if a significant number of SPD cases resulted from this provision of the bill.

Counties are also subject to increased costs when a new crime is created. There are some defendants who, despite exceeding the SPD's statutory financial guidelines, are constitutionally eligible for appointment of counsel because it would be a substantial hardship for them to retain an attorney. The court is required to appoint counsel at county expense for these defendants. Thus, the counties would experience increased costs attributable to the higher classification of criminal charges resulting from this bill. The counties could also incur additional costs associated with incarceration of defendants, both pending trial and after sentencing.

Long-Range Fiscal Implications