

### Fiscal Estimate - 2013 Session

Original     
  Updated     
  Corrected     
  Supplemental

<b>LRB Number</b> <b>13-0959/2</b>	<b>Introduction Number</b> <b>SB-055</b>
------------------------------------	--

**Description**  
 Costs of replacement or relocation of certain municipal utility facilities required by the construction of a freeway and eligibility for the safe drinking water loan program

**Fiscal Effect**

**State:**

- |   |  |  |
|---|--|--|
| <input type="checkbox"/> No State Fiscal Effect<br><input type="checkbox"/> Indeterminate<br><input type="checkbox"/> Increase Existing Appropriations<br><input type="checkbox"/> Decrease Existing Appropriations<br><input type="checkbox"/> Create New Appropriations | <input type="checkbox"/> Increase Existing Revenues<br><input type="checkbox"/> Decrease Existing Revenues | <input type="checkbox"/> Increase Costs - May be possible to absorb within agency's budget<br><input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No<br><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Decrease Costs |
|---|--|--|

**Local:**

- |  |  |  |
|--|--|--|
| <input type="checkbox"/> No Local Government Costs<br><input type="checkbox"/> Indeterminate<br>1. <input type="checkbox"/> Increase Costs<br><input type="checkbox"/> Permissive <input type="checkbox"/> Mandatory<br>2. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Decrease Costs<br><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Permissive <input type="checkbox"/> Mandatory | 3. <input type="checkbox"/> Increase Revenue<br><input type="checkbox"/> Permissive <input type="checkbox"/> Mandatory<br>4. <input type="checkbox"/> Decrease Revenue<br><input type="checkbox"/> Permissive <input type="checkbox"/> Mandatory | 5. Types of Local Government Units Affected<br><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Towns <input type="checkbox"/> Village <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Cities<br><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Counties <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Others <u>Sanitary Districts</u><br><input type="checkbox"/> School Districts <input type="checkbox"/> WTCS Districts |
|--|--|--|

<b>Fund Sources Affected</b>	<b>Affected Ch. 20 Appropriations</b>
<input type="checkbox"/> GPR <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> FED <input type="checkbox"/> PRO <input type="checkbox"/> PRS <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> SEG <input type="checkbox"/> SEGS	

<b>Agency/Prepared By</b> DNR/ Joe Polasek (608) 266-2794	<b>Authorized Signature</b> Joe Polasek (608) 266-2794	<b>Date</b> 3/7/2013
--	---	-------------------------

## Fiscal Estimate Narratives

DNR 3/7/2013

LRB Number	<b>13-0959/2</b>	Introduction Number	<b>SB-055</b>	Estimate Type	<b>Original</b>
<b>Description</b> Costs of replacement or relocation of certain municipal utility facilities required by the construction of a freeway and eligibility for the safe drinking water loan program					

### Assumptions Used in Arriving at Fiscal Estimate

The bill adds joint local water authorities to the group of local governmental units eligible to participate in the safe drinking water loan program, thus enabling them to apply for Safe Drinking Water Loan Program funding as a singular entity instead of multiple entities within the joint local water authority.

The Department estimates that the bill will impact a minimal number of local units of government.

#### Local Fiscal Impact

By streamlining the application process, the Department estimates reduced costs for municipalities within a water authority due to the following:

1. Individual loan applications will not be needed from each municipality, reducing the time/paperwork in submitting all of the required documentation for a loan.
2. Municipalities will not need to pay individual bond counsel closing costs – the authority will have one closing and pay the associated closing costs for that one project.
3. The Authority will be responsible for bidding and contracting – thus streamlining contract activities.

#### State Fiscal Impact

The Department anticipates minimal cost savings that would be achieved by:

1. Reducing the amount of paperwork to be reviewed by project managers.
2. Fewer disbursement transactions.
3. Fewer steps to determine portions of projects allocated to each municipality.

### Long-Range Fiscal Implications