.

-

Fiscal Estimate - 2015 Session					
🛛 Original 🔲 Updated	Updated Corrected Suppler				
LRB Number 15-0511/1	Introduction Number AB-01	66			
<b>Description</b> Purchase of food by the Board of Regents of the University of Wisconsin System					
Fiscal Effect					
Appropriations Reve	ease Existing enues rease Existing enues Enues Enues Enues Enues Enues Enues Existing enues Existing enues Existing Enues Existing Enues Existing Enues Existing Enues Enues Enues Existing Enues Enues Enues Existing Enues Enues Enues Existing Enues Enues Existing Enues E				
Local: No Local Government Costs Indeterminate 1. Increase Costs Permissive Mandatory 2. Decrease Costs Permissive Mandatory Permissive Mandatory Permissive Mandatory Permissive Mandatory Permissive Mandatory Permissive Mandatory Permissive Mandatory Permissive Mandatory Decrease Revenue Counties School Districts Districts					
Fund Sources Affected Affected Ch. 20 Appropriations					
GPR FED PRO PRS SEG SEGS					
Agency/Prepared By	Authorized Signature	Date			
UWS/ Adam Pfost (608) 262-4836	Freda Harris (608) 262-2734	4/30/2015			

.

.

## Fiscal Estimate Narratives UWS 4/30/2015

LRB Number 15-0511/1	Introduction Number	AB-0166	Estimate Type	Original	
Description					
Purchase of food by the Board of Regents of the University of Wisconsin System					

## Assumptions Used in Arriving at Fiscal Estimate

Components of the AB166

1. A UW System food bid or request for proposal (RFP) award must assign at least 50 percent of the points to price.

2. The bill allows the Department of Administration to use simplified procedures to make an award if the estimated cost is less than \$50,000. As this provision primarily impacts Department of Administration operations, no fiscal estimate is prepared for this change.

## Cost Impact Analysis

The dynamic nature of the food industry and the procurement market makes it difficult to meaningfully assess an anticipated cost for this bill. As such, the areas that may increase costs are described below.

In the food service industry there are large post-invoice rebates and off-invoice price deviations available to bulk purchasers which are not reflected in the unit price bids by vendor selling to the state. This proposed model of assigning 50 percent of the points to price in a RFP structure will not recognize those rebates and vendors may not choose to incorporate them into their pricing structure. As such, the actual cost for food provided may increase.

Many factors are considered in assigning points for purchase evaluation such as electronic ordering capabilities, stocking charges, and invoicing systems. While these factors are not directly price related, they are part of the total cost to the university in providing food to students. This bill could reduce the weight of these non-price factors in the scoring of proposals in a RFP process and could potentially increase total cost. Given the variability of food contracts, a specific estimate cannot be made.

Further, the bill could also impact quality because it reduces the ability of the UW System to assess true quality of food, delivery times, etc. Lower quality may result in a decreased demand and lower revenue.

This bill may have the unintended consequence of changing the RFP process into a bid process primarily awarded on price with mandatory requirements. This means that vendors who could not meet those mandatory requirements would not be able to compete for the contract at all. Fewer competing vendors may increase food pricing.

## Long-Range Fiscal Implications