## Fiscal Estimate - 2015 Session

☑ Original ☐ Updated	Corrected Sup	plemental				
LRB Number <b>15-0698/3</b>	Introduction Number AB-	0032				
<b>Description</b> Elimination of the requirement that laborers, workers, mechanics, and truck drivers employed on the site of a project of public works be paid the prevailing wage						
Fiscal Effect						
Appropriations Reve	ease Existing enues rease Existing enues  The properties of the pr					
Local:  No Local Government Costs  Indeterminate  1. Increase Costs Permissive Mandatory  2. Decrease Costs Permissive Mandatory Permissive Mandatory Permissive Mandatory Permissive Mandatory Permissive Mandatory Permissive Mandatory Districts Districts						
Fund Sources Affected Affected Ch. 20 Appropriations						
GPR FED PRO PRS SEG SEGS						
Agency/Prepared By	Authorized Signature	Date				
DNR/ Joe Polasek (608) 266-2794	Joe Polasek (608) 266-2794	2/14/2015				

## Fiscal Estimate Narratives DNR 2/14/2015

LRB Number	15-0698/3	Introduction Number	AB-0032	Estimate Type	Original	
Description						
Elimination of the requirement that laborers, workers, mechanics, and truck drivers employed on the site						
of a project of public works be paid the prevailing wage						

## **Assumptions Used in Arriving at Fiscal Estimate**

This bill eliminates the state prevailing wage law, the local prevailing wage law, and the highway prevailing wage law but retains the prohibition against local governments enacting or administrating their own prevailing wage laws or similar ordinances.

Direct fiscal impacts are few and difficult to estimate; therefore, the Department considers the fiscal impact of the legislation to be indeterminate.

Potential fiscal impacts are as follows:

- 1. If Wisconsin's prevailing wage law, local prevailing wage law, and highway prevailing wage law are eliminated from Wisconsin statutes, then project sponsors of state-funded projects would need to abide by the draft legislation when paying employees/contractors. This could potentially reduce labor-related costs.
- 2. Sponsors of projects funded in whole or in part with loans from Federal sources (Clean Water Fund Program; Safe Drinking Water Loan Program) are currently required to follow the Federal Davis-Bacon Act of 1931 and related acts. Therefore, elimination of state prevailing wage law, local prevailing wage law, and highway prevailing wage law would have no impact.
- 3. The Federal Pittman Robertson grant is silent on whether sponsors of projects funded from these sources must comply with Wisconsin's prevailing wage law, local prevailing wage law, or highway prevailing wage law. It is assumed that the draft legislation would not impact sponsors working in these Federal grant programs.
- 4. Projects funded with Federal Land & Water Conservation Fund (LWCF) grant funds are exempt from Davis-Bacon Act compliance; therefore LWCF grant recipients would need to abide by the draft legislation, which could reduce labor-related costs.
- 5. The bill could impact federal recreation trail grants that are awarded by the Department, provided that the project is located outside the right-of-way of a Federal aid highway.

## **Long-Range Fiscal Implications**