Fiscal Estimate - 2015 Session

☑ Original ☐ Updated	☐ Corrected ☐ Sup	plemental		
LRB Number 15-3788/1	Introduction Number AB-	0525		
Description Property tax exemption for a winter sports	club			
Fiscal Effect				
State: No State Fiscal Effect Indeterminate Increase Existing Appropriations Decrease Existing Appropriations Create New Appropriations	Increase Existing Revenues Decrease Existing Revenues Decrease Costs - N to absorb within ag Yes Decrease Costs			
Local: No Local Government Costs Indeterminate 1. Increase Costs Permissive Mandatory 2. Decrease Costs Permissive Mandatory	Decrease Revenue Permissive Mandatory School	illage		
Fund Sources Affected Affected Ch. 20 Appropriations GPR FED PRO PRS SEG SEGS Conservation Fund (Forestry)				
Agency/Prepared By	Authorized Signature	Date		
DOR/ Yuko Iwata (608) 267-9892	Robert Schmidt (608) 266-5773	11/25/2015		

Fiscal Estimate Narratives DOR 11/25/2015

LRB Number 15-3788/1	Introduction Number	AB-0525	Estimate Type	Original	
Description					
Property tax exemption for a winter sports club					

Assumptions Used in Arriving at Fiscal Estimate

The bill provides a general property tax exemption for all property owned or leased by a charitable organization used for cross-country skiing and ski jumping that meet criteria specified in the bill. Organizations that are tax-exempt under section 501 (c)(3) of the Internal Revenue Code are commonly described as charitable organizations. According to data provided by the Internal Revenue Services (IRS), there are approximately 946,500 charitable organizations in the United States that have the tax-exempt status, of which approximately 19,120 are located in Wisconsin. The Department of Revenue (DOR) applied several keywords, including "Ski", "Jump", "Winter" and "Snow", to the dataset. The procedure resulted in 87 organizations. Many of the organizations were then removed based on the types of the organizations' activities that are irrelevant to the activities that are indicated in the bill. DOR further reviewed five organizations that were selected through the screening process: Tri Norse Ski Club, Blackhawk Ski Club, Flying Eagles Ski Club Inc., lola Winter Sports Club Inc., and Minocqua Winter Park Trail Fund Corporation. Further research revealed that only lola Winter Sports Club Inc. (IWSC) appears to meet the criteria specified in the bill.

IWSC is located in the town of Iola in Waupaca County and the property appears to be partially in Portage County. For 2013 and 2014, the assessed value of IWSC's property was \$163,400 and \$168,300, respectively. Assuming the 2014 assessed value and property tax rates for the same year, the bill will lower the gross tax revenues by approximately \$3,300, or \$3,000 in net tax revenues:

Breakdown of IWSC Gross Tax Levy (2014, est.)

State: \$29

Municipality (town of Iola): \$277

County: \$1,134

School District: \$1,712

Technical College District: \$189

Other: \$4

DOR does not have any information regarding whether IWSC currently leases any property from a third party.

The exemption would result in a minimal decrease in state revenue (state forestation tax). Local property taxes would shift from the IWSC property to the remaining taxable property.

Administrative costs to DOR can be absorbed within the current budgetary resources.

Long-Range Fiscal Implications

Fiscal Estimate Worksheet - 2015 Session

Detailed Estimate of Annual Fiscal Effect

☑ Original ☐ Updated	Corrected	Supplemental				
LRB Number 15-3788/1	Introduction Num	ber AB-0525				
Description Property tax exemption for a winter sports club						
I. One-time Costs or Revenue Impacts for S annualized fiscal effect):	State and/or Local Governn	nent (do not include in				
II. Annualized Costs:	Annualized Fisc	Annualized Fiscal Impact on funds from:				
	Increased Costs	Decreased Costs				
A. State Costs by Category						
State Operations - Salaries and Fringes	\$	\$				
(FTE Position Changes)						
State Operations - Other Costs						
Local Assistance						
Aids to Individuals or Organizations						
TOTAL State Costs by Category	\$	\$				
B. State Costs by Source of Funds						
GPR						
FED		•				
PRO/PRS						
SEG/SEG-S						
III. State Revenues - Complete this only wh revenues (e.g., tax increase, decrease in lic		or decrease state				
	Increased Rev	Decreased Rev				
GPR Taxes	\$	\$				
GPR Earned						
FED						
PRO/PRS						
SEG/SEG-S (Conservation Fund)		-29				
TOTAL State Revenues	\$	\$-29				
NET ANNUAL	IZED FISCAL IMPACT	I I				
NET CHANGE IN COSTS	State •	<u>Local</u>				
NET CHANGE IN COSTS NET CHANGE IN REVENUE	\$ \$-29	\$ \$				
INC I GITANGE IN INEVENUE	j \$-29	Ψ				
Agency/Prepared By	Authorized Signature	Date				
DOR/ Yuko Iwata (608) 267-9892	obert Schmidt (608) 266-5773 11/25/201					