Fiscal Estimate - 2017 Session

☑ Original ☐ Updated	Corrected Suppler	nental						
LRB Number 17-2195/1	Introduction Number AB-032	25						
Description the cumulative preference system for issuing Class A bear hunting licenses								
Fiscal Effect								
Appropriations Reve	ease Existing enues ease Existing to absorb within agend enues Decrease Costs							
Permissive Mandatory Perm	rease Revenue Counties Cother	rs S						
Fund Sources Affected Affected Ch. 20 Appropriations								
☐ GPR ☐ FED ☐ PRO ☐ PRS ☒ SEG ☐ SEGS								
Agency/Prepared By	Authorized Signature	Date						
DNR/ Joe Polasek (608) 266-2794	Joe Polasek (608) 266-2794	5/23/2017						

Fiscal Estimate Narratives DNR 5/23/2017

LRB Number 17-2195/1	Introduction Number	AB-0325	Estimate Type	Original			
Description							
the cumulative preference system for issuing Class A bear hunting licenses							

Assumptions Used in Arriving at Fiscal Estimate

The bill eliminates the minimum age requirement to purchase a bear preference point.

I. Costs

In order to implement the provisions of this bill, the Bureau of Customer and Outreach Services (COS) IT staff must modify the statewide automated system (Go Wild) to allow anyone, regardless of age, to purchase a bear preference point.

This would result in approximately 140 hours of staff time is required to accomplish this system modification, test its functionality, and retrain staff. One-time costs are estimated at \$7,000 (140 hrs. x \$50/hr. = \$7,000).

II. Revenue

With the minimum age requirement to purchase a preference point eliminated, it is assumed this bill would increase the number of Class A bear applications sold annually and likely increase revenue. While we cannot determine the number of newly authorized customers that would opt to purchase a bear preference point with the minimum age requirement for preference points eliminated, we can gauge the relative level of Wisconsin bear hunting interest by youth based on historical license sales data.

The number of 10 year olds who purchased a bear preference point or asked to be included in the bear license drawing in previous years is likely similar to the number of youth within each age group younger than 10 that would be newly eligible to purchase a bear preference point. From 2014-2016, there were an average of 192 ten year olds who purchased a Class A bear license application (preference point only or asked to be included in drawing). Assuming each age group younger than 10 years of age has a similar interest in bear hunting and would opt to purchase a bear preference point, the Department estimates revenue collected from Class A bear license applications to increase by approximately \$8,200/year. Note that one-half of the 9-year-old group are assumed to be newly eligible to apply for a preference point, since current statute allows a 9-year-old to apply if they will turn 10 before the start of the bear season for which they are applying, which is a six-month window of opportunity to apply as a 9 year old.

Long-Range Fiscal Implications

Fiscal Estimate Worksheet - 2017 Session

Detailed Estimate of Annual Fiscal Effect

X	Original	Updated		Corrected		Supplemental		
LR	B Number	17-2195/1		Introduction Num	ber	AB-0325		
the o	Description the cumulative preference system for issuing Class A bear hunting licenses							
	ne-time Costs ualized fiscal (for Sta	te and/or Local Governn	nent (d	o not include in		
,								
One-time implementation costs of \$7,000 and 140 hrs. of staff time. II. Annualized Costs: Annualized Fiscal Impact on funds fro						act on funds from:		
11. 7	imuanzeu cos			Increased Costs	zar inip	Decreased Costs		
A. S	tate Costs by	Category	70100C-C		COLUMN STATE OF THE STATE OF TH			
St	ate Operations	- Salaries and Fringes	3	\$		\$		
(F	TE Position Ch	anges)			A CONTRACTOR OF THE PARTY OF TH			
St	ate Operations	- Other Costs						
Lo	ocal Assistance	,						
Ai	ds to Individual	s or Organizations				·		
	TOTAL State	Costs by Category		\$		\$		
B. S	tate Costs by	Source of Funds						
G	PR	and the second s		and a control to a control control control control control and the desired of the		PANEL MANUFACTURE AND ADDRESS		
F	ED							
PI	RO/PRS	400000000000000000000000000000000000000						
SI	EG/SEG-S							
		s - Complete this only k increase, decrease		n proposal will increase onse fee, ets.)	or decr	ease state		
-		CHARLES CONTROL CONTRO		Increased Rev		Decreased Rev		
G	PR Taxes			\$		\$		
G	PR Earned							
FI	ED					190 Sent (4 to 1 december 2000) and a sent a		
P	RO/PRS							
S	EG/SEG-S			8,200		,		
	TOTAL State	Revenues		\$8,200		\$		
NET ANNUALIZED FISCAL IMPACT								
				<u>State</u>		<u>Local</u>		
NET CHANGE IN COSTS		\$		\$				
NET	CHANGE IN F	REVENUE		\$8,200		\$		
Age	Agency/Prepared By			thorized Signature	,	Date		
DNR/ Joe Polasek (608) 266-2794 Jo		e Polasek (608) 266-2794		5/23/2017				