Fiscal Estimate - 2017 Session

☑ Original ☐ Updated	Corrected	Supplemental					
LRB Number 17-1583/1	Introduction Number	er AB-0367					
Description creating a mechanism to determine the income tax increment generated by certain tax incremental financing districts in the city of Milwaukee and authorizing the city to spend a portion of that money on neighborhood economic revitalization							
Fiscal Effect	-						
Appropriations Reve	ease Existing to absorb	se Costs - May be possible orb within agency's budget Yes See Costs					
Permissive Mandatory Perm 2. Decrease Costs 4. Decr	Affected Affected Street Affected Street Affected Street Affected Street Affected Street Affected Affected Street Affected Str	ment Units I vns ☑Village ☑Cities unties ☑Others					
Fund Sources Affected Affected Ch. 20 Appropriations							
GPR FED PRO PRS	SEG 🔲 SEGS						
Agency/Prepared By	Authorized Signature	Date					
DOR/ Bradley Caruth (608) 261-8984	Jamie Adams (608) 266-6785	6/15/2017					

Fiscal Estimate Narratives DOR 6/15/2017

LRB Number	17-1583/1	Introduction Number	AB-0367	Estimate Type	Original	

Description

creating a mechanism to determine the income tax increment generated by certain tax incremental financing districts in the city of Milwaukee and authorizing the city to spend a portion of that money on neighborhood economic revitalization

Assumptions Used in Arriving at Fiscal Estimate

This bill creates a mechanism to determine the income tax increment generated by certain tax incremental districts (TIDs) in the city of Milwaukee and authorizes the city to spend a portion of that revenue on neighborhood economic revitalization.

Once a special tax incremental district is created, the Department of Revenue must determine the income tax incremental base (ITIB) of the district, which is the total amount of wages paid to all employees who work in the special TID in the year in which it was created. DOR is also required to determine the ITIB of an existing special TID as soon as practicable after the effective date of the bill.

For each year that a special TID exists after it is created and for each year that one of the eight specified special TIDs exists after DOR determines its ITIB, DOR must determine the value income increment (VII) and the income tax increment (ITI) that relate to the TID. The VII is the difference between the total amount of wages paid to all employees who work in a special TID in a given year and the ITIB. The ITI is the amount of income taxes paid by employees in a year on the VII.

For each year that the DOR determines the ITI, it is required to forward to the city that created the special TID 50% of the ITI and that city is required to use the money only for neighborhood economic revitalization as defined by the city's governing body.

In determining which areas of the city may benefit from such revitalization, the governing body must take into consideration a number of factors that relate to the area, including crime rate, poverty level, unemployment rate, and percentage of the neighborhood's residents who receive some form of public assistance.

DOR does not collect the information necessary to administer this bill. The department expects to incur \$147,000 in one-time costs and an additional \$92,000 in ongoing costs in order to develop and implement the required forms and procedures.

The Census Bureau maintains the Longitudinal Employer-Household Dynamics (LEHD) program, which captures local area employment data. Based on an analysis of this data for the eight existing eligible TIDs named in the bill, the TIDs accounted for about 1.6% of Milwaukee County jobs in 2014. Milwaukee County accounted for about \$918.8 million in net state income tax, so if the tax is in proportion to the jobs, the TIDs accounted for about \$14.6 million in net tax. Because the bill provisions are specific to wages, and wages account for about 71% of aggregate adjusted gross income in Wisconsin, an approximate wage-related tax liability for the existing TIDs is about \$10.4 million. The base years for the existing TIDs range from 1993 to 2015, so it is necessary to compute the ITI for each TID separately, yielding an approximate value for 50% of the combined ITI of \$1.9 million from the base years to 2017 and \$2.3 million from the base years to 2018. To the extent that employment patterns change, that actual results differ from the LEHD based figures, or that the number of eligible TIDs changes, the transferred amounts could also differ.

Long-Range Fiscal Implications

Fiscal Estimate Worksheet - 2017 Session

Detailed Estimate of Annual Fiscal Effect

☑ Original ☐ Updated		Corrected		Supplemental				
LRB Number 17-1583/1		Introduction Nun	nber	AB-0367				
Description creating a mechanism to determine the income tax increment generated by certain tax incremental financing districts in the city of Milwaukee and authorizing the city to spend a portion of that money on neighborhood economic revitalization								
I. One-time Costs or Revenue Impacts for	State	and/or Local Govern	ment (c	lo not include in				
annualized fiscal effect):								
DOR will incur \$147,000 to develop and implement the required forms and procedures								
II. Annualized Costs:	Annualized Fiscal Impact on funds from:							
		Increased Costs		Decreased Costs				
A. State Costs by Category	······································							
State Operations - Salaries and Fringes		\$92,000		\$				
(FTE Position Changes)		(1.0 FTE)						
State Operations - Other Costs								
Local Assistance		1,900,000						
Aids to Individuals or Organizations								
TOTAL State Costs by Category		\$1,992,000		\$				
B. State Costs by Source of Funds								
GPR		1,992,000						
FED								
PRO/PRS								
SEG/SEG-S								
III. State Revenues - Complete this only when proposal will increase or decrease state revenues (e.g., tax increase, decrease in license fee, ets.)								
		Increased Rev		Decreased Rev				
GPR Taxes		\$		\$				
GPR Earned								
FED								
PRO/PRS								
SEG/SEG-S								
TOTAL State Revenues		\$		\$				
NET ANNUA	LIZE	FISCAL IMPACT						
		<u>State</u>		<u>Local</u>				
NET CHANGE IN COSTS		\$1,992,000)	\$				
NET CHANGE IN REVENUE		\$	<u></u>	\$1,900,000				
Agency/Prepared By Auth		orized Signature		Date				
DOR/ Bradley Caruth (608) 261-8984 Jamie Adams (608) 266-6785			6/15/2017					