Fiscal Estimate - 2017 Session

☑ Original ☐ Update	ed Corrected S	Supplemental						
LRB Number 17-1676/1	Introduction Number A	B-0057						
Description requiring child support compliance for FoodShare benefits								
Fiscal Effect								
State: No State Fiscal Effect Indeterminate Increase Existing Appropriations Decrease Existing Appropriations Create New Appropriations		- May be possible n agency's budget ☑ No s						
Permissive Mandatory 2. Decrease Costs 4.	5. Types of Local Government Un Affected Permissive Mandatory Decrease Revenue Permissive Mandatory Districts	its Village Cities Others WTCS Districts						
Fund Sources Affected	Affected Ch. 20 Appl	ropriations						
☐ GPR ☐ FED ☐ PRO ☐ PR	RS SEG SEGS 20.435(4)(a), (bm), (b) (pa)	n), (n), (nn), and						
Agency/Prepared By	Authorized Signature	Date						
DHS/ Thomas Kelly (608) 266-0734	Andy Forsaith (608) 266-7684	4/11/2017						

Fiscal Estimate Narratives DHS 4/11/2017

LRB Number 17-1676/1	Introduction Number	AB-0057	Estimate Type	Original				
Description								
requiring child support compliance for FoodShare benefits								

Assumptions Used in Arriving at Fiscal Estimate

This bill prohibits certain individuals and parents who refuse to cooperate in determining the paternity of a child or establishing or enforcing a child support order from being eligible for FoodShare benefits. The bill also prohibits certain parents who refuse to cooperate in providing or obtaining support for their child or who are delinquent in child support payments and do not satisfy an exception specified in the bill from being eligible for FoodShare benefits.

This proposal will require significant changes to the Client Assistance for Reemployment and Economic Support (CARES) eligibility system, which is used to manage FoodShare enrollment. The Department estimates that this proposal will require 6,000 hours of programming. These hours represent both initial programming for the FoodShare policy change as well as testing the system changes to ensure interoperability with the rest of the CARES system. The total one-time CARES programming cost for the project will be \$704,200 AF (\$352,100 GPR and \$352,100 FED).

It is estimated that it will require position authority for 0.50 FTE to develop the enrollment policy changes and track the impact of this policy change. The ongoing cost for salary, fringe, supplies, and services for this position is estimated to be \$47,000 AF (\$23,500 GPR and \$23,500 FED), and one-time costs will total \$7,500 AF (\$3,750 GPR and \$3,750 FED).

In addition, this proposal would also require additional training for the approximately 1,400 income maintenance (IM) staff at the ten IM consortia, the Milwaukee Enrollment Services Center (MilES), and tribal IM agencies with regard to the changes to the CARES system. These costs will be realized as one-time costs as the policy change is implemented. Then, these training materials will be incorporated into ongoing training. These training costs are estimated to be \$12,800 AF (\$6,400 GPR and \$6,400 FED). Ongoing training costs are estimated to be \$700 AF (\$350 GPR and \$350 FED) annually.

Prior to 2008, state statutes included a similar requirement to the one in this bill. On January 1, 2008, 1,100 individuals regained eligibility for FoodShare when the law was repealed. During calendar year 2007, the statewide average monthly FoodShare caseload was 165,194 cases. From December 2015 through November 2016, the statewide average monthly FoodShare caseload was 356,303 cases. With this growth in caseload, it is estimated that 2,357 cases will include individuals who will lose eligibility for FoodShare.

In addition to the estimated number of individuals who will lose eligibility, there are a total of 259,628 child support cases in arrears in Wisconsin. Of these, it is estimated that 20% of the payers of those child support orders are on FoodShare. These individuals would be at risk of losing eligibility and will need to be sent notifications informing them of the policy change. The cost of this mailing is estimated at \$52,000 AF (\$26,000 GPR and \$26,000 FED) in first year of implementation. The ongoing cost of these mailings is estimated to be \$50,800 AF (\$25,400 GPR and \$25,400 FED) annually.

Finally, it is estimated that about 2,400 cases would cycle in and out of compliance each year. This will generate work for IM staff. The Department estimates that IM consortia and tribal IM agencies will require an additional \$39,400 AF (\$19,700 GPR and \$19,700 FED) to perform this work. The cost for MilES is estimated to be \$17,800 AF (\$8,900 GPR and \$8,900 FED) and position authority for 0.26 FTE.

The total costs for this policy change is estimated to be \$725,700 AF (\$362,850 GPR and \$362,850 FED) in one-time costs and \$155,700 AF (\$77,850 GPR and \$77,850 FED) in ongoing annual costs.

Long-Range Fiscal Implications

The cost of this policy change in state fiscal year 2020 and beyond is estimated to be \$155,700 AF

(\$77,850 GPR and \$77,850 FED).

Fiscal Estimate Worksheet - 2017 Session

Detailed Estimate of Annual Fiscal Effect

X	Original	Updated		Corrected		Supplemental			
LR	B Number	17-1676/1		Introduction Num	ber	AB-0057			
Description requiring child support compliance for FoodShare benefits									
	I. One-time Costs or Revenue Impacts for State and/or Local Government (do not include in annualized fiscal effect):								
	,								
One-time costs are estimated at \$725,700 AF (\$362,850 GPR and \$362,850 FED). II. Annualized Costs: Annualized Fiscal Impact on funds from									
	Amuanzea oos) L3 .		Increased Costs		Decreased Costs			
A. S	State Costs by	Category							
S	tate Operations	- Salaries and Fringes	,	\$58,800		\$			
(F	TE Position Ch	nanges)		(0.8 FTE)					
S	tate Operations	- Other Costs		57,500					
L	ocal Assistance	:		39,400		223.07			
Α	ids to Individua	ls or Organizations							
Ш	TOTAL State	Costs by Category		\$155,700		\$			
В. 9	State Costs by	Source of Funds			****				
G	PR			77,850					
∏F	ED			77,850					
P	RO/PRS								
S	EG/SEG-S								
		s - Complete this only x increase, decrease in		n proposal will increase onse fee, ets.)	or decr	ease state			
	- A contraction of the contracti			Increased Rev		Decreased Rev			
G	PR Taxes	**************************************		\$		\$			
G	PR Earned								
F	ED								
P	RO/PRS								
S	EG/SEG-S								
TOTAL State Revenues		\$		\$					
	NET ANNUALIZED FISCAL IMPACT								
				<u>State</u>		<u>Local</u>			
NET CHANGE IN COSTS		\$155,700		\$					
NET CHANGE IN REVENUE		\$		\$					
Agency/Prepared By Aut			thorized Signature		Date				
DH	S/ Thomas Kell	y (608) 266-0734	An	ndy Forsaith (608) 266-7684 4/11/20					