

### Fiscal Estimate - 2017 Session

Original     
  Updated     
  Corrected     
  Supplemental

LRB Number <b>17-3290/1</b>	Introduction Number <b>SB-257</b>
<b>Description</b> the cumulative preference system for issuing Class A bear hunting licenses	
<b>Fiscal Effect</b>  <b>State:</b> <input type="checkbox"/> No State Fiscal Effect <input type="checkbox"/> Indeterminate <input type="checkbox"/> Increase Existing Appropriations <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Increase Existing Revenues <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Increase Costs - May be possible to absorb within agency's budget <input type="checkbox"/> Decrease Existing Appropriations <input type="checkbox"/> Decrease Existing Revenues <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Create New Appropriations <input type="checkbox"/> Decrease Costs	
<b>Local:</b> <input type="checkbox"/> No Local Government Costs <input type="checkbox"/> Indeterminate 1. <input type="checkbox"/> Increase Costs      3. <input type="checkbox"/> Increase Revenue <input type="checkbox"/> Permissive <input type="checkbox"/> Mandatory <input type="checkbox"/> Permissive <input type="checkbox"/> Mandatory 2. <input type="checkbox"/> Decrease Costs      4. <input type="checkbox"/> Decrease Revenue <input type="checkbox"/> Permissive <input type="checkbox"/> Mandatory <input type="checkbox"/> Permissive <input type="checkbox"/> Mandatory 5. Types of Local Government Units Affected <input type="checkbox"/> Towns <input type="checkbox"/> Village <input type="checkbox"/> Cities <input type="checkbox"/> Counties <input type="checkbox"/> Others <u>0</u> <input type="checkbox"/> School Districts <input type="checkbox"/> WTCS Districts	
<b>Fund Sources Affected</b> <b>Affected Ch. 20 Appropriations</b> <input type="checkbox"/> GPR <input type="checkbox"/> FED <input type="checkbox"/> PRO <input type="checkbox"/> PRS <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> SEG <input type="checkbox"/> SEG5	
<b>Agency/Prepared By</b> DNR/ Joe Polasek (608) 266-2794	<b>Authorized Signature</b> Joe Polasek (608) 266-2794
<b>Date</b> 5/23/2017	

## Fiscal Estimate Narratives

DNR 5/23/2017

LRB Number	17-3290/1	Introduction Number	SB-257	Estimate Type	Original
<b>Description</b> the cumulative preference system for issuing Class A bear hunting licenses					

### Assumptions Used in Arriving at Fiscal Estimate

The bill eliminates the minimum age requirement to purchase a bear preference point.

#### I. Costs

In order to implement the provisions of this bill, the Bureau of Customer and Outreach Services (COS) IT staff must modify the statewide automated system (Go Wild) to allow anyone, regardless of age, to purchase a bear preference point.

This would result in approximately 140 hours of staff time is required to accomplish this system modification, test its functionality, and retrain staff. One-time costs are estimated at \$7,000 (140 hrs. x \$50/hr. = \$7,000).

#### II. Revenue

With the minimum age requirement to purchase a preference point eliminated, it is assumed this bill would increase the number of Class A bear applications sold annually and likely increase revenue. While we cannot determine the number of newly authorized customers that would opt to purchase a bear preference point with the minimum age requirement for preference points eliminated, we can gauge the relative level of Wisconsin bear hunting interest by youth based on historical license sales data.

The number of 10 year olds who purchased a bear preference point or asked to be included in the bear license drawing in previous years is likely similar to the number of youth within each age group younger than 10 that would be newly eligible to purchase a bear preference point. From 2014-2016, there were an average of 192 ten year olds who purchased a Class A bear license application (preference point only or asked to be included in drawing). Assuming each age group younger than 10 years of age has a similar interest in bear hunting and would opt to purchase a bear preference point, the Department estimates revenue collected from Class A bear license applications to increase by approximately \$8,200/year. Note that one-half of the 9-year-old group are assumed to be newly eligible to apply for a preference point, since current statute allows a 9-year-old to apply if they will turn 10 before the start of the bear season for which they are applying, which is a six-month window of opportunity to apply as a 9 year old.

### Long-Range Fiscal Implications

## Fiscal Estimate Worksheet - 2017 Session

Detailed Estimate of Annual Fiscal Effect

Original     
  Updated     
  Corrected     
  Supplemental

<b>LRB Number</b> 17-3290/1	<b>Introduction Number</b> SB-257	
<b>Description</b> the cumulative preference system for issuing Class A bear hunting licenses		
<b>I. One-time Costs or Revenue Impacts for State and/or Local Government (do not include in annualized fiscal effect):</b>  One-time implementation costs of \$7,000 and 140 hrs. of staff time.		
<b>II. Annualized Costs:</b>	<b>Annualized Fiscal Impact on funds from:</b>	
	Increased Costs      Decreased Costs	
<b>A. State Costs by Category</b>		
State Operations - Salaries and Fringes	\$	\$
(FTE Position Changes)		
State Operations - Other Costs		
Local Assistance		
Aids to Individuals or Organizations		
<b>TOTAL State Costs by Category</b>	<b>\$</b>	<b>\$</b>
<b>B. State Costs by Source of Funds</b>		
GPR		
FED		
PRO/PRS		
SEG/SEG-S		
<b>III. State Revenues - Complete this only when proposal will increase or decrease state revenues (e.g., tax increase, decrease in license fee, etc.)</b>		
	Increased Rev	Decreased Rev
GPR Taxes	\$	\$
GPR Earned		
FED		
PRO/PRS		
SEG/SEG-S	8,200	
<b>TOTAL State Revenues</b>	<b>\$8,200</b>	<b>\$</b>
<b>NET ANNUALIZED FISCAL IMPACT</b>		
	<u>State</u>	<u>Local</u>
NET CHANGE IN COSTS	\$	\$
NET CHANGE IN REVENUE	\$8,200	\$
<b>Agency/Prepared By</b>		
<b>Authorized Signature</b>		<b>Date</b>
DNR/ Joe Polasek (608) 266-2794		5/23/2017
Joe Polasek (608) 266-2794		