Fiscal Estimate - 2017 Session

☑ Original ☐ Updated	☐ Corrected ☐ Supple	emental					
LRB Number 17-1999/1	Introduction Number SB-07	'4					
Description court orders regarding the installation of an igni	ition interlock device and providing a criminal p	enalty					
Fiscal Effect							
Appropriations Reve	ease Existing enues rease Existing enues To absorb within ager enues Decrease Costs						
Local: No Local Government Costs Indeterminate 1. Increase Costs Permissive Mandatory 2. Decrease Costs Permissive Mandatory Permissive Mandatory Permissive Mandatory Permissive Mandatory Permissive Mandatory Districts Districts							
Fund Sources Affected							
GPR FED PRO PRS SEG SEGS 20.395(5)(cq)							
Agency/Prepared By	Authorized Signature	Date					
DOT/ Robert Combs (608) 266-1449	Jennifer Peters (608) 267-6979	2/13/2017					

Fiscal Estimate Narratives DOT 2/13/2017

LRB Number 17-1999/1	Introduction Number SB-074	Estimate Type	Original				
Description							
court orders regarding the installation of an ignition interlock device and providing a criminal penalty							

Assumptions Used in Arriving at Fiscal Estimate

Currently when a court orders an ignition interlock device restriction, that restriction is applied upon issuance of the violator's driver license for a minimum of 1 year. Courts currently have the option to immediately order IID installations on the vehicles belonging to the violator, but not on that individual's driver license. If a driver has been revoked due to OWI, has not reinstated his or her driver's license, has a pending IID restriction waiting for that reinstatement, and is caught driving, he or she would be convicted of operating after revocation. However, the driver would not be convicted of violating the IID restriction on their license.

This bill would require the IID restriction ordered by the court to begin on the date of the order, rather than upon license issuance. The requirement that the restriction last no less than one year after issuance of the license is left in place, resulting in potentially longer IID restriction durations.

Currently a violator who removes, disconnects, tampers with or otherwise circumvents the operation of an IID can have his or her IID restriction extended by 6 months for each violation.

This bill allows a judge to specify a deadline for the installation of an IID in the violator's vehicle. Additionally, this bill adds "fails to install by deadline" to the existing list of justifications for 6 month extensions.

DMV uses automated driver license systems to process court orders, to relay information to law enforcement, and to aid its customers online with status checks and application processes. All of these services would need to be updated to allow for this new restriction.

If there is a violation of a court ordered deadline for IID installation, the department assumes that there would be another ticket written by law enforcement, per request from the court who issued the deadline, to carry a 6 month extension of the IID restriction through the DMV licensing system. The court chooses these deadlines, so it is assumed that the deadlines would not conflict with sentencing, suspensions, revocations, or other factors that would make it impossible for the individual to comply with the order.

Changes to existing department IT systems would be needed to meet the requirements of this bill. The cost of these changes would be approximately \$155,740.

Long-Range Fiscal Implications

None.

Fiscal Estimate Worksheet - 2017 Session

Detailed Estimate of Annual Fiscal Effect

\boxtimes	Original	Updated		Corrected		Supplemental	
LR	B Number	17-1999/1		Introduction Num	ber	SB-074	
	cription rt orders regard	ing the installation of an	igniti	on interlock device and pr	oviding	a criminal penalty	
	ne-time Costs ualized fiscal		r Sta	te and/or Local Governn	nent (d	o not include in	
		g IT systems would be n ıld be approximately \$15		d to meet the requirement).	s of this	s bill. The cost of	
II. A	nnualized Cos	its:		Annualized Fiscal Impact on funds from:			
				Increased Costs		Decreased Costs	
A. S	State Costs by	Category					
Si	tate Operations	- Salaries and Fringes		\$		\$0	
(F	TE Position Ch	anges)				(-0.0 FTE)	
Si	tate Operations	- Other Costs				0	
Lo	ocal Assistance					0	
Ai	ids to Individua	ls or Organizations				0	
Щ	TOTAL State	Costs by Category		\$		\$0	
B. S	State Costs by	Source of Funds					
G	PR					0	
F	ED					0	
Р	RO/PRS					0	
S	EG/SEG-S					0	
		s - Complete this only x increase, decrease in		proposal will increase one fee, ets.)	or deci	ease state	
				Increased Rev		Decreased Rev	
G	PR Taxes			\$		\$0	
G	PR Earned					. 0	
F	ED					0	
Р	RO/PRS					0	
S	EG/SEG-S					0	
Щ	TOTAL State			\$		\$0	
		NET ANNU	JALIZ	ED FISCAL IMPACT			
				<u>State</u>		<u>Local</u>	
NET CHANGE IN COSTS		\$0	\$				
NE.	T CHANGE IN I	REVENUE		\$0		\$	
Agency/Prepared By Auth			thorized Signature		Date		
		nnifer Peters (608) 267-6979		2/13/2017			