Fiscal Estimate - 2017 Session						
I Original I Updated	Corrected	Supplemental				
LRB Number 17-5307/1	Introduction Number	er <b>SB-745</b>				
<b>Description</b> deference by courts to agency interpretations of law, notice and comment requirements for guidance documents issued by agencies, and agency rule-making authority <b>Fiscal Effect</b>						
State: No State Fiscal Effect Indeterminate Increase Existing Appropriations Decrease Existing Decrease Existing Decrease Existing	rease Existing to abso enues	e Costs - May be possi rb within agency's budg Yes INo se Costs	get			
Local: No Local Government Costs Indeterminate 1. Increase Costs Permissive Mandatory 2. Decrease Costs Permissive Mandatory Permissive Mandatory Permissive Mandatory Permissive Mandatory Permissive Mandatory Permissive Mandatory Permissive Mandatory Permissive Mandatory Permissive Mandatory Permissive Mandatory						
Fund Sources Affected Affected Ch. 20 Appropriations   Image: Section of the s						
Agency/Prepared By	Authorized Signature	Date				
DNR/ Joe Polasek (608) 266-2794	Joe Polasek (608) 266-2794		018			

## Fiscal Estimate Narratives DNR 3/20/2018

LRB Number 17-5307/1	Introduction Number	SB-745	Estimate Type	Original		
Description						
deference by courts to agency interpretations of law, notice and comment requirements for guidance documents issued by agencies, and agency rule-making authority						

## Assumptions Used in Arriving at Fiscal Estimate

Assembly Bill 880 proposes: (a) prohibiting courts from granting any deference to a state agency's experience, technical competence or specialized knowledge; (b) requiring agencies to meet new publication and public comment requirements for guidance issued by agencies in the future; and (c) clarifying that settlement agreements, consent decrees and court orders do not provide authority to an agency to promulgate rules. Regarding existing guidance documents, the legislation requires that by July 1, 2018 agencies either certify that the guidance meets the requirements of the bill or the guidance must follow the publication requirements of the bill.

## Fiscal Effect

1. Increased costs for the DNR are anticipated under the bill's new requirement for all agencies issuing guidance to follow specific publication and public comment procedures.

2. Additionally, the requirement that courts grant no deference to agencies could lead to increased litigation in the future, which would further increase costs for the DNR.

3. The bill could also lead the DNR to increasingly engage outside experts during litigation, which would also increase costs.

## Long-Range Fiscal Implications