## **Fiscal Estimate - 2019 Session**

$\boxtimes$	Original	☐ Upd	ated	Corrected	Supplem	ental			
LRE	3 Number	19-5378/1		ntroduction Num	ber <b>AB-083</b> 5				
Description creating a legislative office of inspector general and making an appropriation									
Fisca	Fiscal Effect								
State	No State Fiscal Indeterminate Increase E Appropriat Decrease Appropriat	Existing ions Existing	Increase Exist Revenues Decrease Exist Revenues	sting absorb	se Costs - May be po within agency's bud ⊠Yes ase Costs				
Loca	No Local Gov Indeterminate 1. Increase Permiss 2. Decrease	e Costs Sive Mandatory	3. Increase Reve Permissive 4. Decrease Reve Permissive	nue Units Af Mandatory □ Cou enue □ Sch	vns	Cities			
Fund Sources Affected Ch. 20 Appropriations									
	GPR 🔲 FED	PRO 🛛	PRS SEG	SEGS 20.437(3)(a	a) and (3)(k)				
Age	ncy/Prepared	Ву	Autho	rized Signature		Date			
DCF	F/ Sasha Bong (	(608) 422-6348	Kim Sv	wissdorf (608) 422-635	1	2/13/2020			

# Fiscal Estimate Narratives DCF 2/13/2020

LRB Number 19-5378/1	Introduction Number	AB-0835	Estimate Type	Original					
Description									
creating a legislative office of inspector general and making an appropriation									

#### Assumptions Used in Arriving at Fiscal Estimate

Assembly Bill 835 creates a Legislative Office of the Inspector General (OIG), consisting of 14 inspectors general and staff that would be assigned to and housed at the headquarters of certain state agencies, the Board of Regents of the University of Wisconsin System, the Wisconsin Economic Development Corporation, and the Wisconsin Housing and Economic Development Authority. The bill eliminates existing Offices of the Inspector General at the Departments of Health Services and Children and Families. Each agency must provide office space for the assigned Legislative Inspector General and must pay for services provided.

Currently, DCF has an OIG staffed by 6.0 FTE positions with a total budget of \$852,700 (\$395,600 GPR and \$457,100 PR-S). For the purposes of this fiscal estimate, it is assumed that the Departments of Workforce Development and Children and Families would split the costs of a Legislative OIG according to each agency's proportional budget size. Using this methodology, DWD would pay 20.6 percent of the Legislative OIG's costs, and DCF would pay the remaining 79.4 percent. The Department of Workforce Development estimates total annualized costs for the inspector general at \$178,900 with one-time costs of \$3,500. Assuming DCF pays 79.4 percent of these total costs, DCF would pay \$144,800 for the shared inspector general in the first year and \$142,000 annually thereafter. It is unknown how many staff the inspector general would appoint to perform OIG duties. This cost estimate would increase if additional staff were hired to support the Legislative OIG shared by DWD and DCF.

This bill also prohibits agencies from expending any savings generated by a Legislative OIG investigation until the costs incurred by the Legislative OIG relating to the investigation are paid. In the 2019-21 biennium, approximately \$758.9 million, or 56 percent, of DCF's budget consists of federal funding. Each federal program is likely to have different requirements as to how recovered funds are treated, which could prohibit DCF from using recovered federal funds to pay costs associated with a Legislative OIG investigation. Therefore, this fiscal estimate assumes that DCF would need to retain the GPR portion of its current OIG budget, totaling \$395,600, in order to have sufficient funding available to pay for Legislative OIG costs that cannot be paid using recovered federal funds.

Considering the SFY20 budget of DCF's current OIG (\$852,700), when the estimated costs of AB 835 (\$144,800 in year and \$142,000 thereafter) and GPR retention to cover any prohibition against using recovered federal funds to pay for the Legislative OIG (\$395,600) are accounted for, the net savings estimated as a result of the bill total \$312,300 PR-S in the first year and \$315,100 PR-S annually thereafter.

Although this fiscal estimate calculates net savings, savings are only achievable to the extent costs do not increase. Any increase in staff or other costs associated with the Legislative OIG would result in decreased cost savings to DCF. In addition, the net savings calculated in this estimate do not reflect the decrease in hours that would be dedicated to DCF OIG efforts under the structure created in AB 835. Currently, with 6.0 FTE positions, DCF has capacity for 12,480 hours of work dedicated to OIG functions. Under the bill, DCF would have fewer hours available for OIG-related work per person. Under the current DCF OIG structure, each FTE position has 2,080 hours available for OIG functions. Assuming the cost-sharing split between DCF and DWD also applies to the hours spent for each agency's work, each FTE position in the Legislative OIG created in AB 835 would have approximately 1,652 hours available for DCF OIG work.

#### **Long-Range Fiscal Implications**

### Fiscal Estimate Worksheet - 2019 Session

Detailed Estimate of Annual Fiscal Effect

☑ Original ☐ Upda	itea	Corrected	Supplemental	
LRB Number 19-5378/1		Introduction Number	er AB-0835	
<b>Description</b> creating a legislative office of inspecto	or general and	d making an appropriation		
I. One-time Costs or Revenue Impa			do not include in	
annualized fiscal effect):	ots for otate	and/or Local Government (	ao not meigae m	
II. Annualized Costs:		Annualized Cied	allemant on funda from	
II. Allitualized Costs.		Annualized Fiscal Impact on funds from:  Increased Costs Decreased Costs		
A. State Costs by Category		moreased costs	Decreased Costs	
State Operations - Salaries and Frin	naes	\$	\$-446,200	
(FTE Position Changes)			(-6.0 FTE)	
State Operations - Other Costs		144,800	-10,900	
Local Assistance				
Aids to Individuals or Organizations				
TOTAL State Costs by Categor	У	\$144,800	\$-457,100	
B. State Costs by Source of Funds				
GPR				
FED				
PRO/PRS		144,800	-457,100	
SEG/SEG-S				
III. State Revenues - Complete this	only when p	roposal will increase or dec	rease state revenues	
(e.g., tax increase, decrease in lice				
		Increased Rev	Decreased Rev	
GPR Taxes		\$	\$	
GPR Earned	***			
FED				
PRO/PRS				
SEG/SEG-S				
TOTAL State Revenues		\$	\$	
NE	T ANNUALIZ	ZED FISCAL IMPACT		
		<u>State</u>	<u>Loca</u>	
NET CHANGE IN COSTS		\$-312,300	\$	
NET CHANGE IN REVENUE		\$	\$	
Agency/Prepared By	Αι	thorized Signature	Date	
DCF/ Sasha Bong (608) 422-6348	Kir	m Swissdorf (608) 422-6351	2/13/2020	