## Fiscal Estimate - 2019 Session

☑ Original ☐ Updated	Correcte	ed [	Suppleme	ntal
LRB Number 19-5092/4	Introductio	n Number	AB-0867	
<b>Description</b> groundwater monitoring, CAFO fees, nutrient m program, and making an appropriation	anagement plans, well o	construction rules	s, the SnapPlu	IS
Fiscal Effect				
Appropriations Rev	ease Existing renues crease Existing renues	☑Increase Costs absorb within a ☑Yes ☑Decrease Cos	agency's budg	ssible to get No
Permissive Mandatory Perr  2. Decrease Costs 4. Dec	ease Revenue nissive Mandatory rease Revenue missive Mandatory	5.Types of Local Units Affected Towns Counties School Districts	Government  Village Others WTCS Districts	Cities
Fund Sources Affected	Α	ffected Ch. 20 A	ppropriation	S
☐ GPR ☐ FED ☐ PRS ☐ PRS ☐	SEG SEGS 20	0.370 (4)(ca), (4)(	(cb), (9)(ag), (	9)(ap)
Agency/Prepared By	Authorized Signat	ure		Date
DNR/ Paul Neumann (608) 266-0818	Paul Neumann (608	3) 266-0818		4/1/2020

# Fiscal Estimate Narratives DNR 4/1/2020

LRB Number 19-5092/4	Introduction Number	AB-0867	Estimate Type	Original	
Description groundwater monitoring, CAFO fees, nutrient management plans, well construction rules, the SnapPlus program, and making an appropriation					

#### Assumptions Used in Arriving at Fiscal Estimate

The bill contains several provisions that impact the Department.

1. It increases the annual fee for concentrated animal feeding operations (CAFOs) from \$345 to \$650. In addition, it requires a CAFO to pay a permit renewal fee of \$3,270 once every five years instead of the \$650 annual fee.

Fiscal Effect: The fiscal effect of this provision is based on the following assumptions:

- A. A total of 313 permitted CAFOs, which reflects the number in existence at the conclusion of FY 2019;
- B. Annual permit revenue, under current law, is estimated to be \$107,985 (313 CAFOs x \$345);
- C. An estimated \$80,000 per position in the CAFO program for salary and fringe benefit expenses;
- D. CAFO permits are in effect for 5 years; therefore, it is estimated that, on average, approximately 62 CAFOs will be up for permit renewal each year and would thus pay the proposed \$3,270 permit renewal fee; and E. The remaining 251 CAFOs (313 total CAFOs 62 paying the renewal fee) would, on average, pay the proposed \$650 annual fee each year.

Based on these assumptions, annual permit revenue for CAFOs would increase by an estimated \$257,905 [(62 permit renewals  $\times$  \$3,270) + (251 annual permits  $\times$  \$650) - \$107,985 of current law permit revenue]. The additional revenue would be enough to support 3 program staff (\$257,905/\$80,000 per FTE).

2. It requires the Department to establish a plan to expand nutrient management planning and cost-sharing availability to meet the goal of having all farmers and all manure landspreading operations covered by a nutrient management plan (NMP) by 2030.

Fiscal Effect: The Department interprets this provision of the bill to mean that it would conduct an inventory of existing NMPs, quantify gaps, and then determine cost-share levels necessary to fill the gaps.

Based on this interpretation, it is estimated that it would require 1.0 FTE a year to complete the NMP inventory and quantify funding gaps at an estimated cost of \$80,000 for salary and fringe benefits. Once the overall funding gap has been determined, additional cost-share funding would be needed that is above and beyond existing budgetary resources.

3. It allows the Department to require groundwater monitoring at any manure landspreading location in sensitive areas of the state if a public health risk exists.

Fiscal Effect: The fiscal effect of this provision is indeterminate.

4. It requires the Department, as necessary, to establish rules and update existing rules relating to well construction and pump installation to provide enhanced requirements to protect groundwater in sensitive geologic formations.

Fiscal Effect: The Department estimates that it would require 420 hours of staff time and \$24,700 of salary and fringe expenditures to establish and update administrative rules.

5. It requires the Department to collaborate with DATCP, the U.S. Department of Agriculture Natural Resources

Conservation Service, the University of Wisconsin-Extension, and the University of Wisconsin-Madison Department of Soil Science to update the SnapPlus program, to take into account nutrient loss.

Fiscal Effect: The Department currently allocates about \$180,000/yr. in the SnapPlus program from its nonpoint source contractual funding [appropriation 20.370 (9)(at)]. Assuming that current funding levels for nonpoint source contracts persist, the Department would implement this provision of the bill from its existing budgetary resources. Therefore, there is no fiscal effect to this provision of the bill.

6. It creates sum sufficient appropriations for the Department and DHS to perform emergency testing of public and private water supplies near areas of known contamination from perfluoroalkyl or polyfluoroalkyl substances (PFAS), and for DNR to assist municipalities and the public in addressing local sites that are contaminated with PFAS.

Fiscal Effect: The fiscal effect of this provision is based on the following assumptions:

- A. Coordination with additional staff from DHS and local health departments to collect rapid response samples from private wells and transient non-community public systems;
- B. All other public water systems would utilize existing staff to collect samples;
- C. The State Lab of Hygiene (SLOH) would increase lab capacity to ensure rapid response times for samples collected;
- D. An estimated 400 rapid response samples per year would be analyzed at SLOH at a cost of \$270/sample (cost includes sample collection kits, analysis and shipping costs); and
- E. The additional workload for the Department would require 1.0 FTE hydrogeologist position.

Based on these assumptions, annual costs are estimated as follows:

A. \$99,000 for salary, fringe benefit and supply expenses associated with the hydrogeologist position B. \$108,000 for sample testing expenses (\$270 x 400)

#### Long-Range Fiscal Implications

### Fiscal Estimate Worksheet - 2019 Session

Detailed Estimate of Annual Fiscal Effect

☑ Original	Updated	Corrected	Supplemental
LRB Number	19-5092/4	Introduction Numb	er <b>AB-0867</b>
<b>Description</b> groundwater moniton program, and making	oring, CAFO fees, nutrient mar ng an appropriation	nagement plans, well construc	ction rules, the SnapPlus
I. One-time Costs annualized fiscal (	or Revenue Impacts for State	e and/or Local Government	(do not include in
420 hours and \$24	,000 to complete a nutrient ma ,700 to establish rules and upd de enhanced requirements to p	late existing rules relating to v	vell construction and pump
II. Annualized Cos	ets:	Annualized Fis	scal Impact on funds from:
		Increased Costs	Decreased Costs
A. State Costs by	Category		
State Operations	s - Salaries and Fringes	\$99,000	\$
(FTE Position Ch	nanges)	(1.0 FTE)	
State Operations	s - Other Costs	108,000	MATTER STORMER
Local Assistance			
Aids to Individua	ls or Organizations		
TOTAL State	Costs by Category	\$207,000	\$
B. State Costs by	Source of Funds		
GPR			
FED			
PRO/PRS			
SEG/SEG-S		207,000	
	s - Complete this only when , decrease in license fee, ets		ecrease state revenues
		Increased Rev	Decreased Rev
GPR Taxes		\$	\$
GPR Earned	A CONTRACTOR OF THE CONTRACTOR		
FED			
PRO/PRS		257,900	
SEG/SEG-S			STITE CONTROL TO THE STITE STATE OF THE STAT
TOTAL State		\$257,900	\$
	NET ANNUAL	IZED FISCAL IMPACT	
		<u>State</u>	
NET CHANGE IN		\$207,000	\$
NET CHANGE IN	REVENUE	\$257,900	\$

Agency/Prepared By		Authorized Signature	Date
	DNR/ Paul Neumann (608) 266-0818	Paul Neumann (608) 266-0818	4/1/2020