

WISCONSIN LEGISLATIVE COUNCIL STAFF

RULES CLEARINGHOUSE

Ronald Sklansky
Director
(608) 266-1946

Richard Sweet
Assistant Director
(608) 266-2982



David J. Stute, Director
Legislative Council Staff
(608) 266-1304

One E. Main St., Ste. 401
P.O. Box 2536
Madison, WI 53701-2536
FAX: (608) 266-3830

CLEARINGHOUSE RULE 95-020

Comments

[NOTE: All citations to “Manual” in the comments below are to the Administrative Rules Procedures Manual, prepared by the Revisor of Statutes Bureau and the Legislative Council Staff, dated October 1994.]

2. Form, Style and Placement in Administrative Code

a. It is unclear why the rule differentiates between “advanced standing” and “transcribed credit” in s. PI 42.04 (1) (c) 1. and 2. “Advanced standing” is defined in s. PI 42.03 (1) as “...postsecondary credit granted upon technical college enrollment...for a secondary course...” Thus, it appears that granting “advanced standing” or granting postsecondary credit for a secondary course are essentially the same. The definition of “articulated agreement” adds further confusion as it refers to postsecondary credit which may be applied toward advanced standing. It appears that the relevant issue is whether a technical college district will grant postsecondary credit for a secondary course taken at a high school.

b. In s. PI 42.02, the first occurrence of “in” should be changed to “for.”

c. Although s. PI 42.03 (2) defines the term “articulated agreement,” other portions of the rule use the term “articulation agreement.” This discrepancy should be corrected.

d. In s. PI 42.03 (7), (8) and (9), “defined” should be changed to “given.”

e. Section PI 42.03 (11) should be rewritten to read as follows: “‘Technical college district’ has the meaning given in s. 38.01 (5), Stats.”

f. In s. PI 42.03 (12), the phrase “the provisions of” should be deleted.

g. In s. PI 42.04 (1) (c) 1. and 2., “from” should be changed to “by.”

h. Section PI 42.04 (3) should be rewritten in the active voice to specify to whom the requirement applies. In addition, if the intent of the rule is to prohibit the release of any personally identifiable information, the rule should be rewritten to state that prohibition more directly.

i. Section PI 42.04 (4), as written, grants the Department of Public Instruction (DPI) permission to report the fact that personally identifiable information has been collected, but does not actually grant DPI authority to release the personally identifiable information. If the intent of the rule is to authorize DPI to release personally identifiable information to the Wisconsin Technical College System Board, the rule should be rewritten to specify this.