



WISCONSIN LEGISLATIVE COUNCIL RULES CLEARINGHOUSE

Scott Grosz and Jessica Karls-Ruplinger
Clearinghouse Co-Directors

Terry C. Anderson
Legislative Council Director

Laura D. Rose
Legislative Council Deputy Director

CLEARINGHOUSE RULE 13-024

Comments

[NOTE: All citations to “Manual” in the comments below are to the Administrative Rules Procedures Manual, prepared by the Legislative Reference Bureau and the Legislative Council Staff, dated November 2011.]

2. Form, Style and Placement in Administrative Code

a. In s. PI 47.03 (2) (a), the introductory sentence should be written as: “For the teacher evaluation model, the alignment of framework and rubrics to the Interstate Teacher Assessment and Support Consortium standards and to each of the following domains:”. In addition, the subdivision paragraphs should be numbered as subdivisions. The comment about the introductory sentence also applies to par. (b).

b. In s. PI 47.03 (4), pars. (c) and (d) should be numbered subs. (5) and (6) because they do not set forth application deadlines. In par. (c), “paragraph (d)” should be replaced with “sub. (6)”.

4. Adequacy of References to Related Statutes, Rules and Forms

a. In the analysis, under “Summary of factual data and analytical methodologies”, “2011” should be inserted before “Wisconsin Act 166”.

b. In s. PI 47.02 (1), “118.40 (2r)” should be replaced with “s. 118.40 (2r), Stats”. In addition, in s. PI 47.02 (3), “, Stats” should be inserted after “s. 115.415”. [s. 1.07 (2), Manual.]

c. In s. PI 47.02 (11), it appears that the citation should be to s. 115.415 (2), Stats.

5. Clarity, Grammar, Punctuation and Use of Plain Language

a. In s. PI 47.01 (1), “Equivalent Models” and “Educator Effectiveness System” should not be capitalized. [s. 1.01 (4), Manual.] This comment applies throughout the proposed rule.

b. In s. PI 47.01 (2), “can” should be replaced with “may”. [s. 1.01 (2), Manual.]

c. In s. PI 47.02, it appears that the definition of “equivalency” in sub. (4) could be deleted since it is the common definition of “equivalency”.

d. In s. PI 47.03 (1), “consortia” should be replaced with “consortium”.

e. In s. PI 47.03 (2) (c), in subd. 1., “has” should be replaced with “have”. In subds. 5. and 6., a phrase such as “The equivalency model includes” should be inserted at the beginning of the first sentence of each subdivision to be consistent with the rest of the list.

f. In s. PI 47.03 (4) (intro.), a phrase such as “in the year preceding the school year in which the equivalency model is intended to be used” should be inserted after “deadlines”. Also, that sentence should end with a colon.

g. In s. PI 47.03 (4) (a), a comma should be inserted after “consortium of school districts”. This comment also applies to s. PI 47.05 (1).

h. In s. PI 47.03 (4) (a), “their” should be replaced with “its”.

i. In s. PI 47.04 (2), the sentence should clarify in what year the April 15 falls.

j. In s. PI 47.04 (3), it is not clear what is meant by “after the additional review period”. The applicant may submit additional information until May 15, so the date after which the application may no longer be approved should be after that.