Page 1 of 15

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE SUMMARY OF PUBLIC HEARING COMMENTS AND AGENCY RESPONSE

Clearinghou	se Rule Number: 08-047		Hearing Locati	on: Madison
Rule Numbe	r: Ch. Comm 16		Hearing Date: .	June 18, 2008
Relating to:	Electrical Construction			
Comments: Oral or Exhibit No.	Presenter, Group Represented, City and State	Comments/Recommendations		Agency Response
Speaker 1	John R. Grau Affordable Electric, Inc. 337 W. Donges Bay Road Mequon, WI 53092	a. Opposes the requirement for arc-fault circuit-interrupters (AFC expense of the devices, that the devices are not readily available stesters are not readily available. b. Opposes tamper-resistant outlets because these devices are not available and believes the fiscal estimate is not accurate. Believe requirements will add an estimated \$1,500 to the cost of a 2,000 home because these receptacles are not 80 cents, but \$4 at Menarcan be found.	t readily st these -square-foot	 a. Disagree. The National Electrical Code (NEC) first included arc-fault circuit-interrupters (AFCI) in its 1999 edition with an effective date for the AFCI protection of January 1, 2002. When considering that edition, the department did not adopt the NEC requirement for arc-fault circuit-interrupters in dwelling-unit bedrooms. The 2008 NEC requirements expand the use of the device to additional circuits supplying outlets in a dwelling including dining rooms, living rooms, family rooms, hallways, sun rooms, recreation rooms, libraries, parlors, dens and similar rooms or areas. The AFCI device now provides the level of protection that was originally expected. A recent check of availability at a major home repair store indicated that AFCI circuit breakers were available and priced at less than \$40 each. There is no requirement for electricians or others to purchase a tester for AFCI devices. Underwriters Laboratories mandates that each device be provided with its own test button to determine if the device is functioning properly. No testing beyond that of the test button is required for the devices. b. Disagree. Tamper-resistant receptacles as required in NEC section 406.11 are available from manufacturers in various grades including a lower-priced homeowner line that is typically used in dwelling applications; a specification grade that would be common in a commercial application; and a hospital grade. A device in the \$4 price range is more indicative of a specification grade device. The Department is confident that its fiscal estimate is accurate.

Page 2 of 15

Clearinghous	Clearinghouse Rule Number: 08-047 Hearing Locat		Hearing Locati	on: Madison
Rule Number	Rule Number: Ch. Comm 16 Hearing Date			June 18, 2008
Relating to: I	Electrical Construction			
Comments: Oral or Exhibit No.	Presenter, Group Represented, City and State	Comments/Recommendations		Agency Response
		c. Opposes the removal of GFCI exceptions for sump pumps, ref freezers because GFCI in these applications have proved to be probable Believes this is an area where the Wisconsin code does not have with the NEC.	oblematic.	c. Agree in part. The proposal has been modified with an exception that permits a single receptacle without GFCI protection for sump and sewage pumps.
Speaker 2 Exhibit 1	Cindi Gruebling Wisconsin Builders Assoc. 4868 High Crossing Blvd. Madison, WI 53704	a. Requests removal of the requirement relating to tamper-resistant receptacles throughout new dwellings. Believes increased costs will be more substantial than the Department's estimate. Includes a statement from National Association of Home Builders' draft indicating there is no scientific research available which has proven tamper-resistant receptacles are more effective than other safety devices that are currently available on the market. Believes the NEC section 406.11 should not apply in WI until further study can be done on its impact on affordable housing.		a. Disagree. The effectiveness of these passive devices should exceed that of manual devices such as receptacle plugs or caps.
		b. Opposes the requirement that AFCIs be required throughout all new dwelling construction. Believes there is no hard data proving that AFCIs throughout a new dwelling will prevent fires. Indicates the increased costs will be more substantial than the Department's estimate because the requirement may include hidden costs in labor and the use of additional wiring supplies. Indicates higher costs will price prospective home buyers out of the market and recommends that NEC section 210.12 should not apply in Wisconsin.		b. Disagree. See response to Speaker 1. Comment a.
		c. Indicates general acceptance of ground-fault circuit interrupter location specified in NEC 210.8 (A) (1) through (8), but oppose unfinished basements that may have sump or sewage pumps. Pro language excepting ground fault protection for a dedicated branch single receptacle for sewage or sump pumps.	s the inclusion of oposes new	c. Agree. The proposal has been modified with an exception that permits a single receptacle without GFCI protection for sump and sewage pumps.

Page 3 of 15

Clearinghous	Clearinghouse Rule Number: 08-047 Hearing Local		Hearing Locati	tion: Madison	
Rule Number	Rule Number: Ch. Comm 16 Hearing Date:		Hearing Date:	June 18, 2008	
Relating to: I	Electrical Construction				
Comments:	Presenter,				
Oral or	Group Represented,	Comments/Recommendations		Agency Response	
Exhibit No.	City and State				
Speaker 3 Exhibit 2	David Boetcher IBEW State Conference 916 Lexington Way Waunakee, WI 53597	a. Expresses concern that the Comm 16.110 requirement that removes meter sockets and meter pedestals from the requirements under NEC section 110.10 will put workers and the public at risk. Indicates the removal of the NEC requirement will result in improperly applied and underrated meter sockets and meter pedestals. Recommends the use of the NEC section 110.10 without modification.		a. Agree. The proposal has been modified to eliminate the exception in Comm 16.110 (2).	
		b. Recommends eliminating the exception in Comm 16.430 relating to the location of the disconnecting means. Indicates this is a safety requirement for workers and having the disconnecting means located within sight of a motor encourages proper use of "lock-out/tag-out" by offering a nearby location to safety disconnect the motor. Believes this is also consistent with federal regulations.		b. Agree. The proposal has been modified to eliminate the exception in Comm 16.430.	
		c. Proposes eliminating the addition in Comm 16.517 relating to essential electrical system overcurrent devices be selectively coordinated with all supply side overcurrent protective devices for a fault with duration of 0.1 seconds or longer. Indicates the NEC has had this requirement for nearly 15 years and provides safety for all types of overloads, short-circuits, ground faults and arcing faults, and for all times associated with these overcurrent conditions and recommends the use of the NEC without modification to provide the safest conditions.		c. Agree. The proposal has been modified to eliminate the addition in Comm 16.517.	

Page 4 of 15

Clearinghous	Clearinghouse Rule Number: 08-047 Hearing Location			ion: Madison
Rule Numbe	Rule Number: Ch. Comm 16 Hearing Date: 3		June 18, 2008	
Relating to: 1	Electrical Construction			
Comments: Oral or Exhibit No.	Presenter, Group Represented, City and State	Comments/Recommendations		Agency Response
Speaker 4 Exhibit 3	Gordon Pierret Ring & DuChateau, Inc. 10101 Innovation Drive Milwaukee, WI 53097	Supports the requirements under sections Comm 16.517, 16.700 16.708 relating to selective coordination because he believes the as indicated in NFPA 70 has not accomplished its primary goal provide safer electrical systems. Indicates the following reasons current electrical distribution system has not become safer: • Inadvertent raising the arc flash levels. • Ground fault tripping issues. • Electrical design ramifications. Indicates the Code Making Panels associated with NFPA 99 has the insertion of the 0.1 second language, which is similar to Wiproposed language.	current language , which is to are why the	Support noted. However, the proposal has been modified to eliminate the additions in Comm 16.517, 16.700 (4), 16.701 (3) and 16.708 relating to a mandated time limit. This allows the engineer to selectively coordinate the system to the extent feasible given the parameters of devices available from manufacturers.
Speaker 5 Exhibit 4	Pattie Stone Metropolitan Builders Assn. N16 W23321 Stone Ridge Waukesha, WI 53188	Opposes AFCI and GFCI requirements. Comments similar to SExhibit 1.	Speaker 2.	Agree in part. The proposal has been modified with an exception that permits a single receptacle without GFCI protection for sump and sewage pumps. See response to Speaker 1. Comment a. and response to Speaker 2. Comment c.
Speaker 6 Exhibit 5	Brad Gruenewald We Energies/ Wisconsin Utilities Assoc. 189 E. Gauer Circle Milwaukee, WI 53207	Supports the exception for meter pedestal as specified in Comm the following reasons: 1. Electrical code advisory council voted for exclusion. 2. Meter sockets and pedestals are tested under UL 414. 3. We Energies customers are having no problem with meter so pedestals due to this issue. 4. The NEC requirement would increase costs of at least \$1,250. 5. The weakest link in meter sockets and pedestals is the meter 6. Other states such as Georgia and Florida are not enforcing NI 7. Wisconsin has ruled before on the issue that "Fault current recurrently being required for meter sockets."	ockets and Ofor customers. EC 110.10.	Support noted. However, the proposal has been modified to eliminate the exception in Comm 16.110 (2) because of concerns expressed during the public hearing.

Page 5 of 15

Clearinghouse Rule Number: 08-047 Hearing Local			eation: Madison	
Rule Numbe	r: Ch. Comm 16	Hearing Date	e: June 18, 2008	
Relating to: Electrical Construction				
Comments: Oral or Exhibit No.	Presenter, Group Represented, City and State	Comments/Recommendations	Agency Response	
Speaker 7 Exhibit 6	Steve Hansen Senior Field Engineer N38 W32973 Lake Country Drive Nashotah, WI 53058	Comment similar to Speaker 3. Exhibit 2. Comment c. Indicates concern that the Wisconsin proposal will not require selective coordination under short circu conditions. Cites the Code Making Panel 13 (CMP13) comment 13-204 in the 2008 ROC (report on comments), "The overriding theme of Articles 700 and 701 is to keep the power on throughout an emergency situation, for life safety. Selective coordination increases the reliability of the emergency system. Selective coordination is essential for the continuity of service required in emergency and legally required standby circuits."	Agree. The proposal has been modified to eliminate the addition in Comm 16.517.	
Speaker 8 Exhibit 7	Bill Neitzel City of Madison 1405 Droster Road Madison, WI 53716	a. Recommends deleting Comm 16.210 (5) relating to common area branch circuits because it is an addition to the requirements of NEC 210.25 and does require separation of common area branch circuits for service upgrades for existi 2-family dwellings only.	ng T	
		b. Recommends deleting Comm 16.110 (2) relating to circuit impedance, and that the requirements specified in NEC 110.10 do not apply to meter sockets at meter pedestals.	b. Agree. The proposal has been modified to eliminate the exception in Comm 16.110 (2).	
		c. Recommends changing the proposal code language in Comm 16.230 (3) (b) relating to the allowable length of service entrance busways. Suggests the following: "Service entrance busway shall be permitted to exceed 8 feet with written permission of the department."	c. Agree. By removing the proposed language the base rule of 8 feet applies.	
		d. Recommends deleting Comm 16.334 (2) relating to nonmetallic-sheathed cable: Types NM, NMC and NMS.	d. Disagree. There have been no reports of problems with these installations.	
		e. Recommends deleting the Department's exception in Comm 16.430 relating a separate disconnecting means that does not require a motor where the disconnecting means for the controller is individually capable of being locked in the open position.	exception in Comm 16.430.	

Page 6 of 15

Clearinghous	Clearinghouse Rule Number: 08-047 Hearing Locat		on: Madison	
Rule Numbe	Rule Number: Ch. Comm 16 Hearing Date:			June 18, 2008
Relating to:	Electrical Construction			
Comments: Oral or	Presenter, Group Represented,	Comments/Recommendations		Agency Response
Exhibit No.	City and State	f. Recommends deleting Comm 16.517 relating to essential elective overcurrent device to be selectively coordinated with all supply protective devices for faults with a duration of 0.1 seconds or low overcurrent devices being selectively coordinated with all supply protective devices for faults with a duration of 0.1 seconds or low h. Recommends deleting Comm 16.701(3) relating to legally resystem overcurrent devices shall be selectively coordinated with overcurrent protective devices for faults with a duration of 0.1 seconds or low in Recommends deleting Comm 16.701(3) relating to legally resystem overcurrent devices for faults with a duration of 0.1 seconds or low in Recommends deleting Comm 16.708 relating to critical operations of the second of	side overcurrent nger. cy system v side overcurrent nger. equired standby all supply side conds or longer. ettions power all supply side	 f. Agree. The proposal has been modified to eliminate the addition in Comm 16.517. g. Agree. The proposal has been modified to eliminate the addition in Comm 16.700 (4). h. Agree. The proposal has been modified to eliminate the addition in Comm 16.701 (3). i. Agree. The proposal has been modified to eliminate the addition in Comm 16.708.
Speaker 9 Exhibit 8	Bob Fahey Self and City of Janesville 15535 W. Francis Road Evansville, WI 53536	 a. Opposes removal of Comm 16.430 relating to disconnecting a against the Electrical Advisory Council's unanimous vote. Requirementation be provided to support lessening the requirements. Indicates this puts the state at odds with OSHA requirements, a states that have adopted NEC regulations without issues. Believ NEC will create a safer environment for electricians and will recoperating costs. b. Supports Comm 16.210 (4) relating to AFCI in dwellings. It technology will make things safer, and the benefits outweigh the 	uests that s of the NEC. and surrounding ves enforcing duce labor and Believes the new	 a. Agree. The proposal has been modified to eliminate the exception in Comm 16.430. b. Support noted.

Page 7 of 15

Clearinghous	se Rule Number: 08-047		Hearing Locati	ion: Madison
Rule Number	r: Ch. Comm 16		Hearing Date:	June 18, 2008
Relating to: 1	Electrical Construction			
Comments: Oral or Exhibit No.	Presenter, Group Represented, City and State	Comments/Recommendations		Agency Response
		c. Opposes the less restrictive requirements in Comm 16.110 re pedestals and meter sockets that will cause dangerous situations utility personnel and the public. Believes meter sockets and met should be rated for the fault current available from the electrical a safe electrical installation.	for electricians, er pedestals	c. Agree. The proposal has been modified to eliminate the exception in Comm 16.110 (2).
			d. Supports the adoption of NEC 406.11 for tamper-resistant receptacles in dwelling units because it will protect young children who may not realize the dangers of electricity.	
Speaker 10	Thomas Domitrovich Eaton Corporation 4038 St Rt 151 Aliquippa, PA 15001	a. Indicates the requirement under Comm 16.210 relating to AFCI technology will detect arcing and sparking and will prevent fires before they begin. This requirement protects entire branch circuit and connected cords. Indicates the homeowner may replace receptacles and switches without the aid of a licensed electrician, and this will protect the home's circuits. As for affordable housing, these homes are typically smaller and require less arc-fault technology, even with the expansion of the code. Understands the concern of technology availability, but if adopted, manufacturers would stock it.		a. Support noted.
		b. Supports the ground fault technology in basements and all circuits and appliances because UL has made many changes to address new products so they do not nuisance trip.		b. Support noted.
		c. Supports the requirements under Comm 16.700 and 16.708. Common similar to Speaker 4, but adds that licensed professional engineer qualified to optimize selective coordination as mandated by the	ers are best	c. Support noted. However, the proposal has been modified to eliminate the additions in Comm 16.700 (4) and 16.708. This allows the engineer to selectively coordinate the system to the extent feasible given the parameters of devices available from manufacturers.
		d. Supports the requirements under Comm 16.110. Comments Speaker 6.	are similar to	d. Support noted. However, the proposal has been modified to eliminate the exception in Comm 16.110 (2) because of concerns expressed during the public hearing.

Page 8 of 15

Clearinghous	Clearinghouse Rule Number: 08-047 Hearing Locat		Hearing Locati	on: Madison
Rule Numbe	r: Ch. Comm 16		Hearing Date:	June 18, 2008
Relating to:	Electrical Construction			
Comments: Oral or Exhibit No.	Presenter, Group Represented, City and State	Comments/Recommendations		Agency Response
Speaker 11	Russell E. Sanders NFPA 3257 Beals Branch Road Louisville, KY 40206	Supports proposed rules on arc-fault circuit interrupter protection administration reported 70,000 fires last year caused by electrical resulting in 500 deaths and \$900 million in property loss. The Oroducts Safety Commission (CPSC) indicates that 50% of the have been preventable with AFCI receptacles. Regarding tamper-receptacles, CPSC reports that 2,400 children were shocked and sticking objects in unprotected receptacles, and all could have be with tamper-resistant receptacles. Regarding the costs of AFCI, Association of Electrical Inspectors (Ohio Chapter) found that it about \$160 to include these in affordable housing. The CPSC deaffordable housing with 75 receptacles would cost \$35–\$40 more include tamper-resistant receptacles because they are only about 3 per receptacle at local chain stores.	I faults and Consumer se fires would -resistant burned due to the International would cost etermined that in per home to	Support noted.
Speaker 12 Exhibit 9	John L. Cyr NECA Milwaukee Chapter 18735 Davidson Road Brookfield, WI 53045	Opposes proposed rules with comments similar to Speaker 9. C notes that this violates OSHA and possibly federal regulations.	omment a., and	Agree. The proposal has been modified to eliminate the exception in Comm 16.430.
Speaker 13	Fred W. Brown Self (High Electron) N3977 Meadow Drive Cambridge, WI 53523	 a. Opposes Comm 16.110 (2) relating to safety hazard. Believes comply with NEC. b. Opposes Comm 16.310 relating to de-rating of conductors. In Wisconsin should move to the national standard. c. Supports 406.11 and 517.18 (c) relating to tamper-resistant re Suggests that an "or" should be added to include a shock fault c which is a newer technology. d. Opposes Comm 16.430 relating to disconnecting means. Believes issue is the word "impracticable" and suggests Wisconsin should national standard. 	exceptacles. ircuit interceptor, ieves the main	 a. Agree. The proposal has been modified to eliminate the exception in Comm 16.110 (2). b. Disagree. No substantiation of a problem has been provided. c. Support noted. New technology should be submitted on a national level. d. Agree. The proposal has been modified to eliminate the exception in Comm 16.430.

Page 9 of 15

Clearinghous	se Rule Number: 08-047		Hearing Locati	ion: Madison
Rule Numbe	r: Ch. Comm 16		Hearing Date:	June 18, 2008
Relating to:	Electrical Construction			
Comments: Oral or Exhibit No.	Presenter, Group Represented, City and State	Comments/Recommendations		Agency Response
		e. Opposes Comm 16.517, 16.700 (4), 16.701 (3) and 16.708 resecond selective coordination. Explains the NEC deals with selection coordination in health care to insure that over current protection Believes lowering the limit is dangerous, but indicates it is up	ective devices work.	e. Agree. The proposal has been modified to eliminate the additions in Comm 16.517, 16.700 (4), 16.701 (3) and 16.708. See response to Speaker 3. Comment c. and Speaker 4.
Speaker 14	Tim Crnko Cooper Industries 321 W. Argonne St. Louis, MO 63122	 a. Opposes Comm 16.110 relating to electrical installations. Cosimilar to Speaker 9. Comment c. and Speaker 13. Comment a. b. Opposes Comm 16.430. Comments are similar to Speaker 3 and Speaker 9. Comment a. c. Indicates selective coordination is in the NEC because of life state has this exemption. By lessening the code, it puts everyon and liability. Urges the state to adopt NEC code as is. 	. Comment b.	 a. Agree. The proposal has been modified to eliminate the exception in Comm 16.110 (2). b. Agree. The proposal has been modified to eliminate the exception in Comm 16.430. c. Agree. The proposal has been modified to eliminate the additions in Comm 16.517, 16.700 (4), 16.701 (3) and 16.708. See response to Speaker 3. Comment c. and Speaker 4.
Speaker 15 Exhibit 10	Edwin Wickman GE Consumer & Industrial 2 Towne Square Southfield, MI 48076	Supports proposed rules under Comm 16.517, 16.700, 16.701 and 16.708 relating to selective coordination. Suggests the engineers be allowed to design and do their job. These changes are similar to what the Florida Agency for Healthcare Administration (AHCA) has required for many years. The trade off is between NEC's depth (fully selective on a few circuits) versus the AHCA's breadth (0.1 second for the entire facility). Indicates that any selective solution should be considered "manufacturer proprietary." Believes today's technology would allow mixing different manufacturers or mixing fuses and breakers in a selective system design. Believes market competition will force optimized selective solution that will reduce size and cost of system.		Support noted. However, the proposal has been modified to eliminate the additions in Comm 16.517, 16.700 (4), 16.701 (3) and 16.708 relating to a mandated time limit. This allows the engineer to selectively coordinate the system to the extent feasible given the parameters of devices available from manufacturers.
Speaker 16	John H. Schwab, Jr. EdEc. Insp. Assn. SE WI 12015 W Underwood	a. Opposes Comm 16.110 relating to electrical installations. Cosimilar to Speaker 3. Comment a. and Speaker 9. Comment a.	omments are	a. Agree. The proposal has been modified to eliminate the exception in Comm 16.110 (2).

Page 10 of 15

Clearinghous	se Rule Number: 08-047	Hear	ring Location: Madison
Rule Numbe	r: Ch. Comm 16	Hear	ring Date: June 18, 2008
Relating to: 1	Electrical Construction		
Comments: Oral or Exhibit No.	Presenter, Group Represented, City and State	Comments/Recommendations	Agency Response
	Wauwatosa, WI 53226	b. Opposes Comm 16.700 and 16.517 relating to selective coordination Indicates the 0.1 second is six cycles and that can cause a lot of damage kill someone.	
		c. Supports the proposed removal of arc-fault exemption clause to coord NEC.	dinate with c. Support noted.
		d. Supports the comments of Speaker 12 about Comm 16.430 relating disconnecting means.	d. Agree. The proposal has been modified to eliminate the exception in Comm 16.430.
Exhibit 11	Kevin Benner WI IAEI 1190 Fieldview Dr Menasha, WI 54952	Indicates he has received correspondence from electricians expressing di that Comm 16.38 relating to disconnecting means is <u>not</u> being remove 2008 version of Comm 16.	
Exhibit 12	Wade Rudolph, CBET, CHFM WI Healthcare Engineering Association Co-Chair of Codes & Standards Committee	Supports proposed draft changes to Comm 16.517, 16.700, 16.701 and relating to selective coordination. Explains these sections apply to host without them, "healthcare will be forced to provide electrical distribe equipment and design concepts that will reduce the system reliability, maintainability and flexibility." Indicates the NFPA requires selective coordination throughout the entire tripping range. Explains that there is that the vast majority of electrical faults experienced in healthcare facili lower levels, which means the 0.1 second or less tripping curves are selective reached.	eliminate the additions in Comm 16.517, 16.700 (4), 16.701 (3) and 16.708 relating to a mandated time limit. See response to Speaker 4.
Exhibit 13	Mike Koslowske Heritage Woodworks, Inc. 1874 Commercial Way Green Bay, WI 54311	a. Opposes AFCI requirements in new housing. Indicates there is no d supports the installation of tamper-resistant receptacles would save live Believes it will only add to the cost of new construction by \$800–\$1,1 home, not \$30 as the Department indicates.	s. Speaker 2. Comment b.
		b. Opposes GFCIs for sump and sewer pumps in new homes as they w unintended consequences if they trip during electrical storms and cause	

Page 11 of 15

Clearinghous	se Rule Number: 08-047	Hea	ring Location: Madison
Rule Number	r: Ch. Comm 16	Hea	ring Date: June 18, 2008
Relating to: I	Electrical Construction		
Comments: Oral or	Presenter, Group Represented,	Comments/Recommendations	Agency Response
Exhibit No.	City and State		
		flooding.	sump and sewage pumps.
Exhibit 14	Ronald L. Derrick Derrick Companies 1505 Hwy 65 New Richmond, WI 54017	Comments similar to Exhibit 13.	Agree in part. See responses to Speaker 1. Comment a. and Speaker 2. Comments b. and c.
Exhibit 15	Monarch Homes 10425 W North Ave, #345 Wauwatosa, WI 53226	Comments similar to Exhibit 13.	Agree in part. See responses to Speaker 1. Comment a. and Speaker 2. Comments b. and c.
Exhibit 16	Vincent J. Saporita Cooper Bussman PO Box 14460 St. Louis, MO 63178	Comments similar to Speaker 3. Exhibit 2. Comment c. and includes test report and videos to support the position.	a CD with Agree. The proposal has been modified to eliminate the addition in Comm 16.517.
Exhibit 17	Dave Johnson Manitowoc Co. Home Builders Association 820 South 8 th St. Manitowoc, WI 54220	Comments similar to Exhibit 13.	Agree in part. See responses to Speaker 1. Comment a. and Speaker 2. Comments b. and c.
Exhibit 18	Joseph R. Bissing Bissing Electric, Inc. 2390 W. Nordale Dr. Appleton, WI 54914	Comments similar to Exhibit 13.	Agree in part. See responses to Speaker 1. Comment a. and Speaker 2. Comments b. and c.
Exhibit 19	Bill Skewes WI Utilities Association 44 E Mifflin St, #202 Madison, WI 53703	Supports changes to Comm 16.110 (2) relating to the Department exc meter sockets and meter pedestals. Indicates that if the proposal is rej- WUA assumes the interpretation given by the Department in 1989 rel meter socket exemption would remain in effect.	exted, the eliminate the exception in Comm 16.110 (2) because of
Exhibit 20	Michael L. Leibham, P.E. Berners-Schober Associates, Inc. 310 Pine St. Green Bay, WI 54301	Supports the proposed changes, especially for Comm 16.517, 16.700, 16.708 relating to selective coordination.	Support noted. However, the proposal has been modified to eliminate the additions in Comm 16.517, 16.700 (4), 16.701 (3) and 16.708. See response to Speaker 4.

Page 12 of 15

Clearinghous	se Rule Number: 08-047	He	aring Location: Madison
Rule Numbe	r: Ch. Comm 16	He	aring Date: June 18, 2008
Relating to: 1	Electrical Construction		
Comments: Oral or	Presenter, Group Represented,	Comments/Recommendations	Agency Response
Exhibit No.	City and State		
Exhibit 21	Roger W. Elliott St. Joseph's Hospital 2661 County Hwy I Chippewa Falls, WI 54729	Supports the proposed changes for Comm 16.517, 16.700, 16.701 an relating to selective coordination. Reiterates the importance of these the healthcare industry. Points out that the lives of hospital patients jeopardy if the facility is unable to provide timely, reliable and quick circuit breaker technology.	changes to eliminate the additions in Comm 16.517, 16.700 (4), 16.701 (3) and 16.708. See response to Speaker 4.
Exhibit 22	Thomas D. Stank Mercy Health System 1000 Mineral Point Ave. Janesville, WI 53547	Comments similar to Exhibit 21.	Support noted. However, the proposal has been modified to eliminate the additions in Comm 16.517, 16.700 (4), 16.701 (3) and 16.708. See response to Speaker 4.
Exhibit 23	Dale Scherbert Community Memorial Hospital W180 N8085 Town Hall Menomonee Falls, WI 53051	Comments similar to Exhibit 21.	Support noted. However, the proposal has been modified to eliminate the additions in Comm 16.517, 16.700 (4), 16.701 (3) and 16.708. See response to Speaker 4.
Exhibit 24	Roger A. Haines WI Healthcare Engineering Association	Comments similar to Exhibit 21.	Support noted. However, the proposal has been modified to eliminate the additions in Comm 16.517, 16.700 (4), 16.701 (3) and 16.708. See response to Speaker 4.
Exhibit 25	Greg Graunke Mercy Medical Center 500 S. Oakwood Rd. Oshkosh, WI 54904	Opposes proposed change that would mandate hospitals use fuses inscircuit breakers as it would take longer to locate and install a fuse that to reset a circuit breaker.	
Exhibit 26	John McGinnis, CHFM, NFPA, ASHE 791 Summit Ave. Oconomowoc, WI 53066	Comments similar to Exhibit 21.	Support noted. However, the proposal has been modified to eliminate the additions in Comm 16.517, 16.700 (4), 16.701 (3) and 16.708. See response to Speaker 4.
Exhibit 27	Tim Klein Holy Family Memorial 2300 Western Ave. Manitowoc, WI 54221	Comments similar to Exhibit 21.	Support noted. However, the proposal has been modified to eliminate the additions in Comm 16.517, 16.700 (4), 16.701 (3) and 16.708. See response to Speaker 4.

Page 13 of 15

Clearinghouse Rule Number: 08-047			earing Location: Madison	
			earing Date: June 18, 2008	
Relating to: I	Electrical Construction			
Comments: Oral or Exhibit No.	Presenter, Group Represented, City and State	Comments/Recommendations	Agency Response	
Exhibit 28	Tamarah Cox Aspirus Wausau Hospital 333 Pine Ridge Blvd Wausau, WI 54401	Comments similar to Exhibit 21.	Support noted. However, the proposal has been modified to eliminate the additions in Comm 16.517, 16.700 (4), 16.701 (3) and 16.708. See response to Speaker 4.	
Exhibit 29	Jim Rugg Via e-mail	a. Opposes AFCIs required in new home construction.b. Opposes GFCIs for sump and sewer pumps in new home construct	 a. Disagree. See response to Speaker 1. Comment a. b. Agree. The proposal has been modified with an exception that permits a single receptacle without GFCI protection for sump and sewage pumps. 	
Exhibit 30	John Stoker, Mike Spahr, and Cindi Gruebling	Comments similar to Exhibit 29.	Agree in part. See responses to Speaker 1. Comment a. and Speaker 2. Comments b. and c.	
Exhibit 31	Tom Kruse Via e-mail	Opposes the required installation of tamper-resistant receptacles in new Comments are similar to Exhibit 13. Comment a.	w homes. Disagree. See responses to Speaker 1. Comment b. and Speaker 2. Comment a.	
Exhibit 32	Ron Janikowski Via e-mail	Opposes modifying NEC 110.10 to exempt meter sockets and meter p from the requirement.	Agree. See response to Speaker 3. Comment a.	
Exhibit 33	Rick S. Leverenz, PE KJWW Engineering Consultants 802 W. Broadway, #312 Madison, WI 53713	Comments similar to Exhibit 21.	Support noted. However, the proposal has been modified to eliminate the additions in Comm 16.517, 16.700 (4), 16.701 (3) and 16.708. See response to Speaker 4.	
Exhibit 34	Ron Klassen Wallner Builders 12424 W. Lancaster Ave. Butler, WI 53007	a. Supports tamper-resistant receptacles because cost is low and would safety.b. Opposes the required installation of AFCIs because cost would be		

Page 14 of 15

Clearinghouse Rule Number: 08-047			Hearing Location: Madison	
Rule Number: Ch. Comm 16			Hearing Date: June 18, 2008	
Relating to: 1	Electrical Construction			
Comments:	Presenter,			
Oral or	Group Represented,	Comments/Recommendations		Agency Response
Exhibit No.	City and State			
		c. Opposes GFCIs for unfinished basement appliances as it would potential health and safety hazard.	ıld pose a	c. Agree. The proposal has been modified with an exception that permits a single receptacle without GFCI protection for sump and sewage pumps.
Exhibit 35	Tina Prosser Via e-mail	Supports requiring tamper-resistant receptacles in dwellings.		Support noted.
Exhibit 36	Doug Schnell Schnell Electric	Comments similar to Exhibit 13.		Agree in part. See responses to Speaker 1. Comment a. and Speaker 2. Comments b. and c.
Exhibit 37	Dave Welsh Eaton Corporation 1000 Cherrington Pkwy Moon Township, PA 15108	Supports keeping the exception to NEC 110.10 in Comm 16.1 meter sockets and meter pedestals.	10 (2) relating to	Support noted. However, the proposal has been modified to eliminate the exception in Comm 16.110 (2) because of concerns expressed during the public hearing.
Exhibit 38	Matthew Stanford WI Hospital Assn 5510 Research Park Dr Madison, WI 53725	Supports revisions to ss. Comm 16.517, 16.700, 16.701, and 16.708, but opposes proposals that would force hospitals to use fused systems rather than circuit breakers. Comments similar to Exhibit 21.		Support noted. However, the proposal has been modified to eliminate the additions in Comm 16.517, 16.700 (4), 16.701 (3) and 16.708. See response to Speaker 4.
Exhibit 39	Jim Reif Jim Reif Builders 150 Semi Dr. Francis Creek, WI 54214	Comments similar to Exhibit 13.		Agree in part. See responses to Speaker 1. Comment a. and Speaker 2. Comments b. and c.
Exhibit 40	Jerry L. Hofman, PE The Durham Company PO Box 908 Lebanon, MO 65536	Supports keeping the exemption of NEC 110.10 for meter sock pedestals in Comm 16.110 (2).	et and meter	Support noted. However, the proposal has been modified to eliminate the exception in Comm 16.110 (2) because of concerns expressed during the public hearing.
Exhibit 41	Joel Gmack Gmack Development, Inc.	Comments similar to Exhibit 13.		Agree in part. See responses to Speaker 1. Comment a. and Speaker 2. Comments b. and c.

Page 15 of 15

Clearinghouse Rule Number: 08-047 Hearing Lo			ng Location: Madison			
Rule Number: Ch. Comm 16 Hear			Hearing Date: June 18, 2008			
Relating to: Electrical Construction						
Comments:	Presenter,					
Oral or	Group Represented,	Comments/Recommendations	Agency Response			
Exhibit No.	City and State					
Exhibit 42	Monte Ewing 827 Liliana Terr. Oregon, WI 53575	Proposes a change in s. Comm 16.680 that would be in addition to the requirements of NEC 680.42 (B) and NEC 680.43 (D) regarding bonding Proposes that "Equipotential Bonding of Perimeter Surfaces required by 680.26 (B) (2) and bonding of Pool Water required by NEC 680.26 (C) apply to a listed self-contained spa or hot tub constructed with nonmetall Provides background information on the new proposal.	NEC and NEC 680.43 (D) relating to equipotential bonding.			