## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE SUMMARY OF PUBLIC HEARING COMMENTS AND AGENCY RESPONSE

Page 1 of 3

Clearinghous	se Rule Number: 08-085	ion: Madison						
	r: Comm 21.095 and 62.1	October 14, 2008						
Relating to: Carbon Monoxide Alarms								
Comments:	Presenter,							
Oral or	Group Represented,	Comments/Recommendations		Agency Response				
Exhibit No.	City and State							
speaker #1	Jeff Wiswell, Public Affairs Counsel Apartment Association South Central Wisconsin Madison	Believes that there are good reasons for the adoption of a carbon monoxide law.  Is pleased that the type of power source is not dictated further for existing construction.  Is comfortable with the statutory exemptions, allowing the omission of carbon monoxide alarms when there are no garages and the fuel burning appliances are sealed combustion and under warranty.  Concerned about some of the specificity aspects of the location of the carbon monoxide alarms as mandated by the legislation. (Did not elaborate with any details.)		Support noted.				
written	David Bloom Wisconsin State Fire Chiefs Association Madison	Registered in favor of the proposed rules.		Support noted.				
written	Gary Goyke Wisconsin Rental Housing Legislative Council, Madison	Requests to be actively involved in the development of the permanent rule.		The proposed permanent rule basically reflects the emergency rule which implements 2007 Wisconsin Act 205. The permanent rule does clarify a few issues of the Act, but the substantive provisions of the rules are established by the Act.				
written	David Lind, Fire Marshall North Shore Fire Dept. Bayside	Raises the question as to how will the proposed carbon monox enforced.	de legislation be	For new residential construction, requirements will be checked through plan review and field inspection.				
		Suggests that language as a note should be added to address who install the devices.	ere and where not	The law and manufacturer's instructions address where to install the alarms; where not to install the alarms is dependent upon variables including the selection of the alarms and changing technology.				
		Asks who will enforce the installation and inspection in existing	ng buildings.	The department is working with the Comm 14 Fire Prevention Council to develop an inspection strategy to coincide with yearly fire inspections.				
		Asks whether testing language will be added, including replace on a regular basis, such as yearly.	ment of batteries	It does not appear to be prudent to dictate specific testing and battery provisions in light of the various alarm				

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE SUMMARY OF PUBLIC HEARING COMMENTS AND AGENCY RESPONSE

Page 2 of 3

Clearinghouse Rule Number: 08-085				Hearing Location: Madison		
Rule Number: Comm 21.095 and 62.1200				Hearing Date: October 14, 2008		
Relating to:	Carbon Monoxide Alarms					
Comments: Oral or Exhibit No.	Presenter, Group Represented, City and State	Comments/Recommendations		Agency Response		
	Lind continued	Supports the overall life safety purposes of the legislation.		technologies available. Support noted.		
written	Brad Boycks, Director of Government Affairs Wisconsin Builders Association Madison	Expresses concern about the requirements for interconnected and detectors that expand beyond that spelled out in 2007 Wisconsi also recognized plug in detectors.		The more specific requirements regarding carbon monoxide alarm power sources and interconnection were established under provisions of ss. 101.02 (15) (a) and (j) and 101.63 (1), Stats. Section 101.02 (15) (a) and (j), Stats., grants the department the ability to establish construction and maintenance standards that will protect the health, safety and welfare of occupants in public buildings and places of employment. Section 101.63 (1), Stats., grants the department the authority to establish construction standards for one- and 2- family dwellings and components thereof. In most cases, carbon monoxide alarms for dwelling unit sleeping areas can be accomplished by using combination smoke/carbon monoxide alarm devices. Smoke alarms are all ready required to be hard-wired and interconnected within dwelling units.		
written	Daniel Gengler, Chairperson Wisconsin Alliance for Fire Safety (email)	Registers in full support of the language.		Support noted.		
written	Jeff Wiswell, Public Affairs Counsel Apartment Association South Central Wisconsin Madison	In noting the differences in the installation provisions for smoke s. 101.145 (4), Stats., and that for carbon monoxide alarms und Wisconsin Act 205, suggests that the department call upon the revisit the area of the installation of alarms to eliminate any pofor all parties.	ler 2007 Legislature to	The department will provide assistance to the Legislature if and when requested.		
written	Randall Dahmen, PE Waunakee	<ul> <li>Raises several questions and asks for clarification concerning th carbon monoxide alarms as directed under s. 101.149 (2) (a), St</li> <li>Subds. 2. and 3., Asks whether the reference to "adja includes those units above or below a unit that contain appliance.</li> <li>Asks how is the 15 feet measured under subds. 2. and dwelling units.</li> </ul>	cats.,: cent units" ns a fuel burning	"Subdivision 2. does not make a reference to adjacent units". The final rule clarifies that adjacent applies to units on the same floor level.  The 15-foot distance relates to the alarm being within 15 feet of each sleeping area within the dwelling unit, not the location of one dwelling unit in relation to other dwelling		

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE SUMMARY OF PUBLIC HEARING COMMENTS AND AGENCY RESPONSE

Page 3 of 3

				$\epsilon$		
Clearinghouse Rule Number: 08-085				Hearing Location: Madison		
Rule Number: Comm 21.095 and 62.1200				Hearing Date: October 14, 2008		
Relating to: Carbon Monoxide Alarms						
Comments:	Presenter,	Comments/Recommendations		Agency Response		
Oral or	Group Represented,					
Exhibit No.	City and State					
Dahmen continued  • Subds. 4. and 5., Asks whether the reference to 75 floors above or below the unit.		involved other	units. Subdivision 4., specifically states within the room that has a fuel-burning appliance. Comm 62.1200(2)(a)3. has been clarified that the measurement is along the hallway from unit's door.			
		Suggests that duplicate rules be placed also in chapter Comm 6-HVAC designer truly know if a furnace is to be open fuel burnir their final HVAC design.	•	The installation of carbon monoxide alarms is required for all types of fuel burning appliances, not just furnaces. For new construction, carbon monoxide alarms are required for all fueling burning furnaces, even sealed-combustion types. See Comm 62.1200(2). Duplicate rules are contrary to the required administrative rule drafting format.		
		Asks that clarification be provided relative to the installation rethe owner versus the designer submitting the plans.	sponsibility of	Statutorily the building owner is ultimately responsible relative to code compliance; this is the same as for any provision in the building codes.		
		Provides a copy of New York City's ordinance or information remonoxide alarms.	elative to carbon	Information acknowledged.		