

**DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE**  
**SUMMARY OF PUBLIC HEARING COMMENTS AND AGENCY RESPONSE**

Clearinghouse Rule Number: 09-050		Hearing Location: Madison	
Rule Number: Chapters Comm 2 and 82		Hearing Date: August 13, 2009	
Relating to: Permits to Operate, Registration and Filing Fees			
Comments: Oral or Exhibit No.	Presenter, Group Represented, City and State	Comments/Recommendations	Agency Response
Written #1	Loretta Trapp, Clack Corporation Windsor	<p>Suggests revising line 7 in Table 82.20-1 requiring plan reviews on water treatment systems for two separate circumstances:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Water reuse systems</li> <li>• Contaminants treatment of non-community wells or private wells when directed by DNR</li> </ul> <p>Suggests revising the sizing criteria in ch. Comm 82 to more accurately reflect actual flow rates; contends current requirements results in gross over sizing and poor performance. Revisions to include sizing requirements if retention tanks are used.</p> <p>Suggests revising ch. Comm 82 relative to limit for bypasses to acute contaminants rather than both chronic and acute; also limit criteria to devices critical for treatment rather than devices needed to reduce maintenance frequency.</p> <p>Contends the ch. Comm 82 is inconsistent with ch. NR 812; suggests that delaying rule implementation until NR 812 is changed.</p> <p>Suggests chs. Comm 82 and 84 be revised to limit the scope of required product approval of water treatment devices to products installed in one- and two-two-family dwellings, two or less dwelling units in multi-family dwellings and in-store, consumer self-service, bottled water vending machines.</p> <p>Suggests that because of limited staff time consideration should be made to create a specific list for review of critical water treatment devices tailored for plan review on non-community well installations.</p>	<p>The department believes that the suggestion would not clarify the requirement more than the proposed language.</p> <p>The suggestion is not germane to the objectives of the rule package. The suggestion will be considered in the next update of the plumbing code.</p> <p>The suggestion is not germane to the objectives of the rule package. The suggestion will be considered in the next update of the plumbing code.</p> <p>Comment is not germane to the rule package.</p> <p>The suggestion is not germane to the objectives of the rule package. The suggestion will be considered in the next update of the plumbing code.</p> <p>The suggestion is not germane to the objectives of the rule package. The suggestion will be considered in the next update of the plumbing code.</p>
Written #2	Jeffrey Hellenbrand, Clack Corporation Windsor	<p>Suggests revising line 7 in Table 82.20-1 requiring plan reviews on water treatment systems for two separate circumstances:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Water reuse systems</li> <li>• Contaminants treatment of non-community wells or private wells when directed by DNR</li> </ul>	See response under Trapp.

**DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE**  
**SUMMARY OF PUBLIC HEARING COMMENTS AND AGENCY RESPONSE**

Clearinghouse Rule Number: 09-050		Hearing Location: Madison	
Rule Number: Chapters Comm 2 and 82		Hearing Date: August 13, 2009	
Relating to: Permits to Operate, Registration and Filing Fees			
Comments: Oral or Exhibit No.	Presenter, Group Represented, City and State	Comments/Recommendations	Agency Response
	Hellenbrand continued	<p>Suggests revising the sizing criteria in ch. Comm 82 to more accurately reflect actual flow rates; contends current requirements results in gross over sizing and poor performance. Revisions to include sizing requirements if retention tanks are used.</p> <p>Suggests revising ch. Comm 82 relative to limit for bypasses to acute contaminants rather than both chronic and acute; also limit criteria to devices critical for treatment rather than devices needed to reduce maintenance frequency.</p> <p>Contends the ch. Comm 82 is inconsistent with ch. NR 812; suggests that delaying rule implementation until NR 812 is changed.</p> <p>Suggests chs. Comm 82 and 84 be revised to limit the scope of required product approval of water treatment devices to products installed in one- and two-two-family dwellings, two or less dwelling units in multi-family dwellings and in-store, consumer self-service, bottled water vending machines.</p> <p>Suggests that because of limited staff time consideration should be made to create a specific list for review of critical water treatment devices tailored for plan review on non-community well installations.</p>	