**Report From Agency** 

## ADMINISTRATIVE RULES REPORT TO LEGISLATURE CLEARINGHOUSE RULE 09-107

# By the Department of Health Services relating to Ch. DHS 105, Personal Care Providers, Ch. 106, Provider Rights and Responsibilites, Ch. DHS 133, Home Health Agencies

#### Basis and Purpose of Proposed Rule

Section 49.45 (2) (a) 11., Stats., authorizes the Department to establish criteria for certification of providers of Medical Assistance, and to certify providers who meet certification criteria and promulgate rules to implement the statute. Section 49.45 (10), Stats., authorizes the Department to promulgate rules consistent with its duties in administering Medical Assistance, including its duties relating to reimbursement for personal care services by certified providers. Section 49.45 (42) (c), Stats., allows the Department to charge a fee to certify a provider of personal care services that is not an independent living center (ILC), county department, a federally recognized American Indian tribe or band in Wisconsin or a licensed home health agency.

The Department proposes standards by which to certify freestanding personal care agencies, county departments, home health agencies, federally recognized American Indian tribes or bands in Wisconsin, and Independent Living Centers as personal care providers. The proposed rules include certification and fee requirements for persons that want to directly bill and be reimbursed by the Medical Assistance program for the personal care services provided to recipients of Medical Assistance. The rules include new provisions that all personal care providers must follow.

#### Responses to Legislative Council Rules Clearinghouse Recommendations

The Department accepted the comments made by the Legislative Council Rules Clearinghouse and modified the proposed rule where suggested.

#### Final Regulatory Flexibility Analysis

The proposed rule will affect at least 10% of the small businesses affected by the rule, but the rules will not have a significant economic impact on those businesses.

#### Changes to the Analysis or Fiscal Estimate

#### Analysis

The Department included information concerning requirements for branch office approval and fees and requirements for counties, ILCs and federally recognized American Indian tribes or bands to provide identifying information about the personal care provider and those agencies and individuals that provide Medicaid personal care services through a contract with the personal care provider.

#### **Fiscal Estimate**

No changes were made to the fiscal estimate.

#### **Public Hearing Summary**

The Department began accepting public comments on the proposed rule via the Wisconsin

Administrative Rules Website on December 11, 2009. One public hearing was held in Madison on February 3, 2010. The Department accepted comments on the proposed rule until 4:30 pm on February 4. A total of 9 persons attended the public hearing.

The Department held a second public hearing in Madison on May 12, 2010. The Department began accepting public comments via the Wisconsin Administrative Rules Website on April 6, 2010. The Department accepted comments on the proposed rule until 4:30 pm on May 13, 2010. No comments were received via the Department's website or at the public hearing.

### List of Public Hearing Attendees and Commenters

The following is a complete list of the persons who attended the public hearing or submitted comments on the proposed rule, the position taken by the commenter and whether or not the individual provided written or oral comments.

Name and Address		Position Taken (Support or Opposed)	Action (Oral or Written)
1.	Laurie L. Lane 3262 Church Street St 1 Stevens Point, WI 54481	None taken	Observer only
2.	Stephanie Noel, Quality Assurance and Program Development Coordinator New Health Services 2020 W. Wells Street Milwaukee, WI 53233	None taken	Observer only
3.	Jean Rumachik, Director of Home Care Society's Assets, Inc. 5200 Washington Ave. Racine, WI 53406	None taken	Oral and written
4.	Michael Blumenfeld 16 N. Carroll Street Suite 800 Madison, WI 53703	None taken	Observer only
5.	Burton A. Wagner 22 E. Mifflin Street Suite 600 Madison, WI 53703	None taken	Oral and written
6.	Amy Weiss Gemini Cares, Inc. 840 Enterprise Drive Slinger, WI 53086	Support	Oral
7.	Jody Krainer Milwaukee Center for Independence 2020 W. Wells Street Milwaukee, WI 53233	None taken	Observer
8.	Jennifer Fasula Midstate Independent Living Consultants, Inc. 3262 Church Street Stevens Point, WI 54481	Oppose	Oral
9.	Ginger Reimer Independence First, WPSA 540 South 1 <sup>st</sup> Street	None taken	Observer

Name and Address	Position Taken	Action
	(Support or Opposed)	(Oral or Written)
Milwaukee, WI 53204		

Public Comments and Department Responses The number(s) following each comment corresponds to the number assigned to the individual listed in the Public Hearing Attendees and Commenters section of this document.

Rule Provision Public Comment Department Response   General Is there a requirement in the rule that addresses how an agency can provide supervision or management to an offsite or satellite location? Is there a requirement in the rule that pertains to supervision of offsite or satellite offices? The proposed rule did not include provisions related to supervision of offsite or satellite locations. However, based on experience with other programs that provide services to clients statewide, the Department has amended DHS 105.17 and added clarifying language to give the Department the ability to require separate approval of a branch office if the Department determines that the branch office, because the volume of services provided or the distance between the branch office and the parent agency, cannot adequately share supervision and administration with the parent agency. The Department further amended the proposed rule in response to comments from providers of personal care services and identified requirements that may be satisfied by policies and practices that are adopted by the entity that owns or controls the agency and that are applicable to other required offices.   General The commenter questions why the mergency rule when Independent Living Centers said they would be able to meet the needs of the clients in Wisconsin. 8 2009 Act 28, the 2009-11 biennial budget bill, expanded the types of entities of entites other than those that historically have provided MAPC (ILCs, courty agencies, tribes and home health agencies) are now legally entited to apply for certification to provide these services. If DHS did not go forward with the administrative rules DHS would have no standards to apply to
commentaddresses how an agency can provide supervision or management to an offsite or satellite location? Is there a requirement in the rule that pertains to supervision of offsite or satellite offices?related to supervision of offsite or satellite locations. However, based on experience with other programs that provide services to clients statewide, the Department has amended DHS 105.17 and added clarifying language to give the Department the ability to require separate approval of a branch office, because the volume of services provided or the distance between the branch office, because the volume of services provided or the distance between the branch office, because the volume of services and identified requirements that may be satisfied by policies and administration with the parent agency. The Department further amended the proposed rule in response to comments from providers of personal care services and identified requirements that may be satisfied by policies and practices that are adopted by the entity that owns or controls the agency and that are applicable to other required offices.General commentThe commenter questions why the Department went forward with the emergency rule when Independent Living Centers said they would be able to meet the needs of the clients in Wisconsin. 82009 Act 28, the 2009-11 biennial budget bill, expanded the types of entities other than those that historically have provided MAPC (ILCs, county agencies) are now legally entitled to apply for certification to provide these services. If DHS did no go forward with the administrative rules DHS would have no standards to apply to
Supervision or management to an offsite or satellite location? Is there a requirement in the rule that pertains to supervision of offsite or satellite offices? 6locations. However, based on experience with other programs that provide services to clients statewide, the Department has amended DHS 105.17 and added clarifying language to give the Department the ability to require separate approval of a branch office, because the volume of services provided or the distance between the branch office, because the volume of services provided or the distance between the branch office and the parent agency, cannot adequately share supervision and administration with the parent agency. The Department further amended the proposed rule in response to comments from providers of personal care services and identified requirements that are adopted by the entity that owns or controls the agency and that are applicable to other required offices.General commentThe commenter questions why the Department went forward with the emergency rule when Independent Living Centers said they would be able to meet the needs of the clients in Wisconsin. 82009 Act 28, the 2009-11 biennial budget bill, expanded the types of entities that can be certified by the Department as personal care services providers of period MAPC (ILCs, county agencies, tribes and home health agencies) are now legally entitled to apply for certification to provide these services. If DHS did no go forward with the administrative rules DHS would have no standards to apply to
or satellite location? Is there a requirement in the rule that pertains to supervision of offsite or satellite offices?other programs that provide services to clients statewide, the Department has amended DHS 105.17 and added clarifying language to give the Department the ability to require separate approval of a branch office if the Department determines that the branch office, because the volume of services provided or the distance between the branch office and the parent agency, cannot adequately share supervision and administration with the parent agency. The Department further amended the proposed rule in response to comments from providers of personal care services and identified requirements that may be satisfied by policies and practices that are adopted by the entity that owns or controls the agency and that are applicable to other required offices.General commentThe commenter questions why the Department went forward with the emergency rule when Independent Living Centers said they would be able to meet the needs of the clients in Wisconsin. 82009 Act 28, the 2009-11 biennial budget bill, expanded the types of entities other that those that historically have provided MAPC (ILCs, county agencies, tribes and home health agencies) are now legally entitled to apply for certification to provide these services. If DHS did not go forward with the administrative rules DHS would have no standards to apply to
requirement in the rule that pertains to supervision of offsite or satellite offices?statewide, the Department has amended DHS 105.17 and added clarifying language to give the Department the ability to require separate approval of a branch office if the Department determines that the branch office, because the volume of services provided or the distance between the branch office and the parent agency, cannot adequately share supervision and administration with the parent agency. The Department further amended the proposed rule in response to comments from providers of personal care services and identified requirements that may be satisfied by policies and practices that are adopted by the entity that owns or controls the agency and that are applicable to other required offices.General commentThe commenter questions why the emergency rule when Independent Living Centers said they would be able to meet the needs of the clients in Wisconsin. 82009 Act 28, the 2009-11 biennial budget bill, expanded the types of entities that can be certified by the Department. Therefore, types of entities other than those that historically have provided MAPC (ILCs, county agencies, tribes and home health agencies) are now legally entitled to apply for certification to provide these services. If DHS did not go forward with the administrative rules DHS would have no standards to apply to
supervision of offsite or satellite offices?105.17 and added clarifying language to give the Department the ability to require separate approval of a branch office if the Department determines that the branch office, because the volume of services provided or the distance between the branch office and the parent agency, cannot adequately share supervision and administration with the parent agency. The Department further amended the proposed rule in response to comments from providers of personal care services and identified requirements that may be satisfied by policies and practices that are adopted by the entity that owns or controls the agency and that are applicable to other required offices.General commentThe commenter questions why the emergency rule when Independent Living Centers said they would be able to meet the needs of the clients in Wisconsin. 82009 Act 28, the 2009-11 biennial budget bill, expanded the types of entities that can be certified by the Department. Therefore, types of entities of entities of the department. Therefore, types of entities of entities of the department. Therefore, types of entities of entities of the dapatinent. Therefore, types of entities of entities of the dapatinent. Therefore, types of entities of the dapatine tipe ad in to go forward with the administrative rules DHS would have no standards to apply to
6the Department the ability to require separate approval of a branch office if the Department determines that the branch office, because the volume of services provided or the distance between the branch office and the parent agency, cannot adequately share supervision and administration with the parent agency. The Department further amended the proposed rule in response to comments from providers of personal care services and identified requirements that may be satisfied by policies and practices that are adopted by the entity that owns or controls the agency and that are applicable to other required offices.General commentThe commenter questions why the Department went forward with the emergency rule when Independent Living Centers said they would be able to meet the needs of the clients in Wisconsin. 82009 Act 28, the 2009-11 biennial budget bill, expanded the types of entities other than those that historically have provided MAPC (ILCs, county agencies, tribes and home health agencies) are now legally entitled to apply for certification to provide these services. If DHS did not go forward with the administrative rules DHS would have no standards to apply to
approval of a branch office if the Department determines that the branch office, because the volume of services provided or the distance between the branch office and the parent agency, cannot adequately share supervision and administration with the parent agency. The Department further amended the proposed rule in response to comments from providers of personal care services and identified requirements that may be satisfied by policies and practices that are adopted by the entity that owns or controls the agency and that are applicable to other required offices.General commentThe commenter questions why the Department went forward with the emergency rule when Independent Living Centers said they would be able to meet the needs of the clients in Wisconsin. 82009 Act 28, the 2009-11 biennial budget bill, expanded the types of entities that can be certified by the Department as personal care services providers reimbursable by Medical Assistance. Section 49.45 (42) (d) 3. e., Stats., under rules pronulgated by the department. Therefore, types of entities other than those that historically have provided MAPC (ILCs, county agencies, tribes and home health agencies) are now legally entitled to apply for certification to provide these services. If DHS did not go forward with the administrative rules DHS would have no standards to apply to
General commentThe commenter questions why the Department went forward with the amends of the clients in Wisconsin.2009 Act 28, the 2009-11 biennial budget bill, expanded the types of entities that can be certification to provided MAPC (ILCs, county agencies, tribes and home health agencies, tribes and home health 
Seneral commentThe commenter questions why the Department went forward with the emergency rule when Independent Living 
between the branch office and the parent agency, cannot adequately share supervision and administration with the parent agency. The Department further amended the proposed rule in response to comments from providers of personal care services and identified requirements that may be satisfied by policies and practices that are adopted by the entity that owns or controls the agency and that are applicable to other required offices.General commentThe commenter questions why the Department went forward with the emergency rule when Independent Living Centers said they would be able to meet the needs of the clients in Wisconsin. 82009 Act 28, the 2009-11 biennial budget bill, expanded the types of entities that can be certified by the Department as personal care services providers reinbursable by Medical Assistance. Section 49.45 (42) (d) 3. e., Stats., under rules promulgated by the department. Therefore, types of entities other than those that historically have provided MAPC (ILCs, county agencies) are now legally entitled to apply for certification to provide these services. If DHS did not go forward with the administrative rules DHS would have no standards to apply to
agency, cannot adequately share supervision and administration with the parent agency. The Department further amended the proposed rule in response to comments from providers of personal care services and identified requirements that may be satisfied by policies and practices that are adopted by the entity that owns or controls the agency and that are applicable to other required offices.General commentThe commenter questions why the Department went forward with the emergency rule when Independent Living Centers said they would be able to meet the needs of the clients in Wisconsin. 82009 Act 28, the 2009-11 biennial budget bill, expanded the types of entities that can be certified by the Department as personal care services providers reimbursable by Medical Assistance. Section 49.45 (42) (d) 3. e., Stats., under rules promulgated by the department. Therefore, types of entities other than those that historically have provided MAPC (ILCs, county agencies, tribes and home health agencies) are now legally entitled to apply for certification to provide these services. If DHS did not go forward with the administrative rules DHS would have no standards to apply to
and administration with the parent agency. The Department further amended the proposed rule in response to comments from providers of personal care services and identified requirements that may be satisfied by policies and practices that are adopted by the entity that owns or controls the agency and that are applicable to other required offices.General commentThe commenter questions why the Department went forward with the emergency rule when Independent Living Centers said they would be able to meet the needs of the clients in Wisconsin. 82009 Act 28, the 2009-11 biennial budget bill, expanded the types of entities that can be certified by the Department as personal care services providers reimbursable by Medical Assistance. Section 49.45 (42) (d) 3. e., Stats., under rules promulgated by the department. Therefore, types of entities other than those that historically have provided MAPC (ILCs, county agencies, tribes and home health agencies) are now legally entitled to apply for certification to provide these services. If DHS did not go forward with the administrative rules DHS would have no standards to apply to
The Department further amended the proposed rule in response to comments from providers of personal care services and identified requirements that may be satisfied by policies and practices that are adopted by the entity that owns or controls the agency and that are applicable to other required offices.General commentThe commenter questions why the Department went forward with the emergency rule when Independent Living Centers said they would be able to meet the needs of the clients in Wisconsin.2009 Act 28, the 2009-11 biennial budget bill, expanded the types of entities that can be certified by the Department as personal care services providers reimbursable by Medical Assistance. Section 49.45 (42) (d) 3. e., Stats., under rules promulgated by the department. Therefore, types of entities other than those that historically have provided MAPC (ILCs, county agencies) are now legally entitled to apply for certification to provide these services. If DHS did not go forward with the administrative rules DHS would have no standards to apply to
General commentThe commenter questions why the Department went forward with the emergency rule when Independent Living Centers said they would be able to meet the needs of the clients in Wisconsin. 8rule in response to comments from providers of personal care services and identified requirements that may be satisfied by policies and practices that are adopted by the entity that owns or controls the agency and that are applicable to other required offices.General commentThe commenter questions why the Department went forward with the emergency rule when Independent Living Centers said they would be able to meet the needs of the clients in Wisconsin. 82009 Act 28, the 2009-11 biennial budget bill, expanded the types of entities that can be certified by the Department as personal care services providers reimbursable by Medical Assistance. Section 49.45 (42) (d) 3. e., Stats., under rules promulgated by the department. Therefore, types of entities other than those that historically have provided MAPC (ILCs, county agencies, tribes and home health agencies) are now legally entitled to apply for certification to provide these services. If DHS did not go forward with the administrative rules DHS would have no standards to apply to
General commentThe commenter questions why the Department went forward with the emergency rule when Independent Living Centers said they would be able to meet the needs of the clients in Wisconsin.2009 Act 28, the 2009-11 biennial budget bill, expanded the types of entities that can be certified by the Department as personal care services providers reimbursable by Medical Assistance. Section 49.45 (42) (d) 3. e., Stats., under rules promulgated by the department. Therefore, types of entities other than those that historically have provided MAPC (ILCs, county agencies, tribes and home health agencies) are now legally entitled to apply for certification to provide these services. If DHS did not go forward with the administrative rules DHS would have no standards to apply to
General commentThe commenter questions why the Department went forward with the emergency rule when Independent Living Centers said they would be able to meet the needs of the clients in Wisconsin.2009 Act 28, the 2009-11 biennial budget bill, expanded the types of entities that can be certified by the Department as personal care services providers reimbursable by Medical Assistance. Section 49.45 (42) (d) 3. e., Stats., under rules promulgated by the department. Therefore, types of entities other than those that historically have provided MAPC (ILCs, county agencies, tribes and home health agencies) are now legally entitled to apply for certification to provide these services. If DHS did not go forward with the administrative rules DHS would have no standards to apply to
General commentThe commenter questions why the Department went forward with the emergency rule when Independent Living Centers said they would be able to meet the needs of the clients in Wisconsin. 82009 Act 28, the 2009-11 biennial budget bill, expanded the types of entities that can be certified by the Department as personal care services providers reinbursable by Medical Assistance. Section 49.45 (42) (d) 3. e., Stats., under rules promulgated by the department. Therefore, types of entities other than those that historically have provided MAPC (ILCs, county agencies) are now legally entitled to apply for certification to provide these services. If DHS did not go forward with the administrative rules DHS would have no standards to apply to
General commentThe commenter questions why the Department went forward with the emergency rule when Independent Living Centers said they would be able to meet the needs of the clients in Wisconsin. 82009 Act 28, the 2009-11 biennial budget bill, expanded the types of entities that can be certified by the Department as personal care services providers reimbursable by Medical Assistance. Section 49.45 (42) (d) 3. e., Stats., under rules promulgated by the department. Therefore, types of entities other than those that historically have provided MAPC (ILCs, county agencies, tribes and home health agencies) are now legally entitled to apply for certification to provide these services. If DHS did not go forward with the administrative rules DHS would have no standards to apply to
General commentThe commenter questions why the Department went forward with the emergency rule when Independent Living Centers said they would be able to meet the needs of the clients in Wisconsin. 82009 Act 28, the 2009-11 biennial budget bill, expanded the types of entities that can be certified by the Department as personal care services providers reimbursable by Medical Assistance. Section 49.45 (42) (d) 3. e., Stats., under rules promulgated by the department. Therefore, types of entities other than those that historically have provided MAPC (ILCs, county agencies) are now legally entitled to apply for certification to provide these services. If DHS did not go forward with the administrative rules DHS would have no standards to apply to
General commentThe commenter questions why the Department went forward with the emergency rule when Independent Living Centers said they would be able to meet the needs of the clients in Wisconsin.2009 Act 28, the 2009-11 biennial budget bill, expanded the types of entities that can be certified by the Department as personal care services providers reimbursable by Medical Assistance. Section 49.45 (42) (d) 3. e., Stats., under rules promulgated by the department. Therefore, types of entities other than those that historically have provided MAPC (ILCs, county agencies) are now legally entitled to apply for certification to provide these services. If DHS did not go forward with the administrative rules DHS would have no standards to apply to
comment Department went forward with the emergency rule when Independent Living Centers said they would be able to meet the needs of the clients in Wisconsin. 8
emergency rule when Independent Living Centers said they would be able to meet the needs of the clients in Wisconsin. 8
Centers said they would be able to meet the needs of the clients in Wisconsin. 8 S Centers said they would be able to meet the needs of the clients in Wisconsin. 8 S S Centers said they would be able to meet the needs of the clients in Wisconsin. 8 S S Centers said they would be able to meet the needs of the clients in Wisconsin. 8 S S Centers said they would be able to meet the needs of the clients in Wisconsin. 8 S Centers said they would be able to meet the needs of the clients in Wisconsin. 8 S Centers services providers reimbursable by Medical Assistance. Section 49.45 (42) (d) 3. e., Stats., under rules promulgated by the department. Therefore, types of entities other than those that historically have provided MAPC (ILCs, county agencies, tribes and home health agencies) are now legally entitled to apply for certification to provide these services. If DHS did not go forward with the administrative rules DHS would have no standards to apply to
the needs of the clients in Wisconsin. 8 Assistance. Section 49.45 (42) (d) 3. e., Stats., under rules promulgated by the department. Therefore, types of entities other than those that historically have provided MAPC (ILCs, county agencies, tribes and home health agencies) are now legally entitled to apply for certification to provide these services. If DHS did not go forward with the administrative rules DHS would have no standards to apply to
8 under rules promulgated by the department. Therefore, types of entities other than those that historically have provided MAPC (ILCs, county agencies, tribes and home health agencies) are now legally entitled to apply for certification to provide these services. If DHS did not go forward with the administrative rules DHS would have no standards to apply to
Therefore, types of entities other than those that historically have provided MAPC (ILCs, county agencies, tribes and home health agencies) are now legally entitled to apply for certification to provide these services. If DHS did not go forward with the administrative rules DHS would have no standards to apply to
that historically have provided MAPC (ILCs, county agencies, tribes and home health agencies) are now legally entitled to apply for certification to provide these services. If DHS did not go forward with the administrative rules DHS would have no standards to apply to
county agencies, tribes and home health agencies) are now legally entitled to apply for certification to provide these services. If DHS did not go forward with the administrative rules DHS would have no standards to apply to
agencies) are now legally entitled to apply for certification to provide these services. If DHS did not go forward with the administrative rules DHS would have no standards to apply to
certification to provide these services. If DHS did not go forward with the administrative rules DHS would have no standards to apply to
did not go forward with the administrative rules DHS would have no standards to apply to
rules DHS would have no standards to apply to
this new class of MAPC agencies.
General There are inconsistencies between DHS No response necessary.
comments 105.17 and the Family Care requirements
related to visits, record keeping and
infection control. However, the
commenter states that no changes need to
be made to DHS 105.17.

	Under family care DN arrestate and	
	Under family care, RN oversight and	
	supervisory visits and physician orders are	
	not always required. Some managed care	
	organizations have advised providers that	
	they do not need physician orders or nurse	
	supervisory visits.	
	5, 6	
General	Since the inception of the Medical	The provisions of ss. DHS 105.17 and DHS
comments	Assistance personal care program on July	107.112 apply to all clients who receive
	1, 1988 the rules only applied to persons	personal care services regardless of pay type.
	funded by Medicaid. On December 10,	(When services that would otherwise be
	2009 the Wisconsin Personal Services	regarded as personal care are provided as
	Association (WPSA) was informed by the	supportive home care (SHC) services under
	Department that the rules apply to all pay	any of the Home and Community-based
	sources.	Services Waivers, including fee-for-service
		waivers like CIP and Family Care, the
	WPSA is concerned about the	managed care waiver, the SHC services are
	Department's intent to apply the rule to	provided under separate SHC Medicaid waiver
	recipients of service that are not eligible	provider standards rather than these rules.)
	for medical assistance. Chapter DHS 101	provider standards ratio and and these rates.
	-108 provide guidance and limitations of	To respond to concerns related to costs to
	the medical assistance program.	comply with the rule for non Medicaid
	Individuals, who are not a part of the	recipients the Department has amended s. DHS
	medical assistance program and pay for	105.17 (2) (b) 2. to no longer require physician
	their service directly through some other	
		orders for personal care services for clients
	third party payer, should not be subject to	who are not Medicaid recipients and has $105, 17, (1p), (d), 2$ to allow
	the controls and limitations imposed on	created s. DHS 105.17 (1n) (d) 2. to allow
	medical assistance recipients and the	clients who are not Medicaid recipients to
	related costs.	waive the requirement for the 60 day RN
		supervisory review and visit.
	The commenter would like clarification as	
	to whether the rule will apply to all	
	funding sources or only Medicaid	
	recipients. If the rule is applied to all	
	funding sources some providers may drop	
	out of the program because they can not	
	pay the costs associated with physician	
	orders, nurse supervisory visits, etc., for	
	non Medicaid clients.	
	3, 5, 8	
DHS 105.17	There is an inconsistency between DHS	The Department has amended s. DHS 105.17
(1w) (f) 2.	133, Home Health Agencies rule and DHS	(1w) (f) 2. to be consistent with s. DHS 133.09
	105.17 Personal Care provider rule. DHS	(3) (a) 2.
	133.09 (3) (a) 2. requires the home health	
	agency to provide a written notice of	
	discharge at least 10 working days in	
	advance of discharge. DHS 105.17 (1w)	
	(f) 2. requires a written notice of	
	discharge at least 14 calendar days in	
	abonaigo ai Rusi i realchdar days ill	

	advance of discharge. 3	
DHS 105.17 (2) (b) 5.	Amend the provision to read, "Give the personal care worker instructions about the services to be performed and arrange for an appropriate person to demonstrate to the personal care worker how to perform the services." 5	The Department has amended s. DHS 105.17 (2) (b) 5. as requested by the commenter.