FISCAL ESTIMATE		No. / Adm. Rule No.			
DOA-2048 (R 10/94) ORIGINAL UPDATED			ch. ATCP 30		
☐ CORRECTED	SUPPLEMENTAL	Amendment	No. (If Applicable)		
Subject:					
Creation/Merging of Additional Atrazine Prohibition	on Area				
Fiscal Effect					
State: No State Fiscal Effect	¬				
Check below only if bill makes a direct appropriation appropriation.		May be possible to absorb within agency's budget? Yes No			
☐ Increase Existing Appropriation ☐ Increase Existing Revenues ☐ Decrease Existing Appropriation ☐ Decrease Existing Revenues ☐ Decrease Costs ☐ Create New Appropriation ☐ Decrease Existing Revenues ☐ Decrease Costs					
Local:		5. Types of Local Gov.	Unit Affected:		
No local government costs		Towns Villages			
The recal government costs	crease Revenues				
		Counties Cities			
	ermissive Mandatory	Other			
	ecrease Revenues	School Districts			
	ermissive Mandatory	WTCS Districts			
		Affected Ch. 20 Appropriations: 20.115(7)(r)			
Assumptions Used in Arriving at Fiscal Estimate					
State Government					
The rule will be administered by the Agricultural Resource Management Division of the Department of Agriculture, Trade and Consumer Protection (DATCP). The following estimate is based on prohibiting the use of atrazine on approximately 8,640 extra acres of land in Wisconsin.					
Administration and enforcement of the proposal will involve new costs for the department. Specialist and field investigator staff time will be needed for inspections and enforcement in the additional prohibition area (0.1 FTE, costing approximately \$7,800). Enforcement activities will be conducted in conjunction with current compliance inspections, but at increased levels to ensure compliance within the prohibition area.					
Soil sampling conducted in the expanded prohibition area to determine compliance with the rules will require an estimated \$2,000 in analytical services.					
Total Annual Costs: \$9,800					
DATCP anticipates no additional costs for other state agencies. Water sampling programs within the Department of Natural Resources and local health agencies may receive short-term increased interest by individuals requesting samples.					
On Local Units of Government					
The rule does not mandate that local government resources be expended on sample collection, rule administration or enforcement. The rule is therefore not expected to have any fiscal impact on local units of government. County agricultural agents will likely receive requests for information on provisions of the rule and on weed control strategies with eliminated reliance on atrazine. This responsibility will probably be incorporated into current extension programs with no net fiscal impact. Long - Range Fiscal Implications					
Agency/prepared by: (Name & Phone No.)	Authorized Signature/Telephone No.		Date		
DATCP Rick Graham ph. 608-224-4502	Bill Walker, 608-224-4353				

FISCAL ESTIMATE WORKSHEET	2009 SESSION						
Detailed Estimate of Annual Fiscal Effect ORIGINAL UPI	DATED		LRB or Bill No/Adm. Rule No. Am				
Tiseal Effect	JPPLEMENT AL	ch. ATCP 30					
SUBJECT	T LEWIENT AL						
Creation/Merging of Additional Atrazine Prohibition Area	as						
I. One-time Cost or Impacts for State and/or Local Government (do not include in annualized fiscal effect):							
Costs are recurring; see below.							
II. Annualized Cost:		Annualized Fiscal Impact on State funds from:		te funds from:			
A. State Costs by Category		Increased Costs Decreased Costs		reased Costs			
1. State Operations - Salaries and Fringes		\$7,800		\$ -0			
2. (FTE Position Changes)		(0.1 FTE)		(-0 FTE)			
3. State Operations - Other Costs		\$2,000		- 0			
•		. ,					
4. Local Assistance		0		- 0			
5. Aids to Individuals or Organizations		0		- 0			
TOTAL State Costs by Category		\$ 9,800		\$ -0			
B. State Costs by Source of Funds		Increased Costs	Dec	reased Costs			
1. GPR		\$ 0		\$ -0			
2. FED		0		- 0			
3. PRO/PRS		0		- 0			
4. SEG/SEG-S		\$9,800		- 0			
III. State Revenues -		Increased Revenue	Decre	ased Revenue			
Complete this section only when proposal will increase or decrease state revenues (e.g., tax increase, decrease i	n license fees)						
GPR Taxes		\$ 0		\$ - 0			
GPR Earned		0		- 0			
• FED		0		- 0			
• PRO/PRS		0		- 0			
SEG/SEG-S		0		- 0			
TOTAL	State Revenues	\$ 0		\$ - 0			
NET ANNUALIZED FISCAL IMPACT							
	<u>STATE</u>		LOCA	<u>L</u>			
NET CHANGE IN COSTS	\$ <u>9,800</u>		\$_	0			
NET CHANGE IN REVENUES	\$0			\$ <u>0</u>			
Agency Prepared by: (Name & Phone No.) DATCP	Authorized Signatur	re/Telephone No.		Date			

Bill Walker, 608-224-4353

Rick Graham, ph. 608-224-4502