RULE REPORT

Department of Commerce

Clearingl No.:	nouse Rule	10-116				
Rule No.:	Chapter Cor	mm 133				
Relating to:	Film Produ	Film Production Accreditation Program				
Contact μ	person for subs	stantive questions:		Contact person for internal processing:		
Nam S e	am Rockweiler		Nam _ e	(same)		
Title C	ode Developm	ode Development Consultant				
Telephone 266-0797 Number		Telephone Number				
1. Ba	asis and purpo	se of the proposed	rule.			

As required by section 560.206 (4) of the Statutes, these rule changes would update chapter Comm 133 to make it consistent with the portions of 2007 Wisconsin Act 20 and 2009 Wisconsin Act 28 that relate to tax credits for film production services and film production company investments.

2. How the proposed rule advances relevant statutory goals or purposes.

The proposed rule changes would foster targeted development and growth of film, video, electronic game, broadcast entertainment and television productions in Wisconsin; and establishment of film production companies in Wisconsin.

3. Changes to the rule analysis or fiscal estimate that was prepared for public hearing.

No substantive changes were made to the rule analysis or fiscal estimate.

FINAL REGULATORY FLEXIBILITY ANALYSIS

Department of Commerce

	CLEARINGHOUSE RULE NO.: 10-116					
	RULE NO.: Chapter Comm 133					
	RELATING TO: Film Production Accreditation Program					
	Final regulatory flexibility analysis not required. (Statement of determination required.)					
1.	Reason for including or failing to include the following methods for reducing impact of the rule on small businesses: Less stringent compliance or reporting requirements; less stringent schedules or deadlines for compliance or reporting requirements; simplification of compliance or reporting requirements; establishment of performance standards to replace design or operational standards; exemption from any or all requirements.					
	Less stringent requirements are not proposed for small businesses because the directives under which these rules are proposed, in section 560.206 of the Statutes, do not provide such flexibility.					
2.	Issues raised by small businesses during hearings, changes in proposed rules as a result of comments by small businesses and reasons for rejecting any alternatives suggested by small businesses.					
	No issues were raised.					
3.	Nature and estimated cost of preparation of any reports by small businesses.					
	Applicants will need to newly submit verification of (1) purchasing corresponding tangible personal property and other items, property, and goods from Wisconsin-based sources; and (2) spending at least 35 percent of the total budget for an accredited production, in Wisconsin. Any corresponding cost is estimated to be substantially less than the financial benefit of the tax credit.					
4.	Nature and estimated cost of other measures and investments required of small businesses.					
	The rules are not expected to impose significant costs on small businesses for other measures					

5. Additional cost to agency of administering or enforcing a rule which includes any of the methods in 1. for reducing impact on small businesses.

that choose to pursue the tax credits.

None of the methods listed in 1. for reducing small-business impacts are included in the proposed rules.

because the rules address submittal of documentation, and other activities, only by applicants

6. Impact on public health, safety and welfare caused by including any of the methods in 1. for reducing impact on small businesses.

None of the methods listed in 1. for reducing small-business impacts are included in the proposed rules.

COM-10538 (N.03/97)

RESPONSE TO LEGISLATIVE COUNCIL CLEARINGHOUSE REPORT

Department of Commerce

CLEARINGHOUSE RULE NO.: 10-116					
RULE NO.: Chapter Comm 133					
RELATING TO: Film Production Accreditation Program					
Agency contact person for substantive questions.					
Name Sam Rockweiler :					
Title: Code Development Consultant					
Telephone 266-0797 No.					
Legislative Council report recommendations accepted in whole.					
Yes X No					
1. Review of statutory authority [s. 227.15(2)(a)]					
a. X Accepted					
b. Accepted in part					
c. Rejected					
d. Comments attached					
2. Review of rules for form, style and placement in administrative code [s. 227.15(2)(c)]					
a. Accepted					

COM-10539 (N.03/97)	(Continued on reverse side)
d. X Comments attached	
c. Rejected	
b. X Accepted in part	

3.	Review rules for conflict with or duplication of existing rules [s. 227.15(2)(d)]
	a. X Accepted
	b. Accepted in part
	c. Rejected
	d. Comments attached
4 .	Review rules for adequate references to related statutes, rules and forms [s. 227.15(2)(e)]
	a. X Accepted
	b. Accepted in part
	c. Rejected
	d. Comments attached
5 .	Review language of rules for clarity, grammar, punctuation and plainness [s. 227.15(2)(f)]
	a. X Accepted
	b. Accepted in part
	c. Rejected
	d. Comments attached
6 . 227.15	Review rules for potential conflicts with, and comparability to, related federal regulations [s $5(2)(g)$]
	a. X Accepted
	b. Accepted in part
	c. Rejected
	d. Comments attached
7.	Review rules for permit action deadline [s. 227.15(2)(h)]
	a. X Accepted
	b. Accepted in part
	c. Rejected

d.	Comments attached