Wisconsin Department of Administration
Division of Executive Budget and Finance
DOA-2048 (R10/2000)

Fiscal Estimate — 2010 Session

🛛 Original	Updated	LRB Number	AmendmentNumberifApplicable		
Corrected	Supplemental	Bill Number	Administrative Rule Number NR 400, 419, 421, 422, 423 & 439		
Subject Fiscal estimate for Board Order AM-44-10, proposed rules affecting NR 400, 419, 421, 422, 423 and 439 pertaining to the correcting of deficiencies identified by the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).					
Fiscal Effect State: No State F	iscal Effect		Increase Coste May be possible to absorb		

or affects a sum sufficient appropriation.	w ithin agency's budget.		
□ Increase Existing Appropriation □ Increase Existing Revenues	□ Yes □ No		
 Decrease Existing Appropriation Create New Appropriation 	Decrease Costs		
Local: 🛛 No Local Government Costs			
1. □ Increase Costs 3. □ Increase Revenues	5. Types of Local Governmental Units Affected:		
🗆 Permissive 🗆 Mandatory 🔅 🗆 Permissive 🗆 Mandatory	🛛 🗆 Towins 🔲 Villages 🔲 Cities		
2. Decrease Costs 4. Decrease Revenues	Counties Others		
Permissive Mandatory Permissive Mandatory	School Districts UVTCS Districts		
Fund Sources Affected	Affected Chapter 20 Appropriations		
🗆 GPR 🔲 FED 🖾 PRO 🗌 PRS 🔲 SEG 🗆 SEG-S	20.370 2 (bg) and 2 (bh)		

Assumptions Used in Arriving at Fiscal Estimate

Rule Summary:

The Department has proposed to revise a portion of the state's volatile organic compound (VOC) reasonably available control technology (RACT) rules to address deficiencies identified by the U.S. EPA. Federally approved VOC RACT rules are required by the Clean Air Act and necessary for redesignation of the state's current nonattainment counties (Kenosha, Milw aukee, Ozaukee, Racine, Sheboygan, Washington and Waukesha).

State Fiscal Impact:

The proposed rule revisions will not create a significant effect on state government because the majority of sources affected are already inspected, permitted and otherw ise regulated by the Department. How ever, the Department estimates that the modifications to the industrial solvent cleaning rules will result in an estimated 75 tons per year (tpy) future reduction in reported VOC emissions. Therefore, the Department may lose up to \$2,700 (75 tpy * \$35.71/ton VOC) in emission fee revenue annually. The U.S. EPA control technology guidance (CTG) for industrial cleaning solvents estimates that there are 97 affected sources in Wisconsin with baseline VOC emissions from solvent use of 1,791 tpy. The Department concluded in Board Order AM-19-08 that implementation of recommendations in the industrial solvent cleaning CTG would result in an estimated 400 tpy future reduction in reported VOC emissions. The proposed rule revisions to address the deficiencies identified by the U.S. EPA only affect approximately 18 of the 97 facilities identified in the CTG. This is based on the number of participants at stakeholder meetings held by the Department in Milw aukee and Wauke sha. Therefore, the Department estimates that proposed rule revisions will result in an estimated 75 ton/year future reduction in reported VOC emissions.

Private Sector Fiscal Impact:

The Department does not expect any large fiscal impacts to the private sector from the proposed revisions to the industrial solvent cleaning regulations. In fact, the U.S. EPA has stated in their industrial solvent cleaning CTG that affected sources may either incur minimal additional costs or realize a savings, depending primarily on facts such as how much they currently spend to operate with high-VOC content solvent. Based on Board Order AM-24-08, for the industrial watew aterfacilities, if controls were necessary, they would largely be designed to reduce VOC emissions by restricting the waste stream's exposure to ambient air. This is accomplished by installing water seals at those process points (drains, junction boxes, man holes, etc.) where the waste streamis exposed to the ambient air. According to a report on industrial wastewater, there would be a cost (amortized over 10 years) between \$1900 and \$4300 per ton VOC reduction to install these control devices. How ever, the Department is not aw are of any facilities that would be subject to these control requirements. The Department does not expect any large fiscal impacts to the private sector from the proposed rule revisions to the synthetic organic chemical manufacturing industry (SOCMI) because the rule changes are relatively minor.

Long-Range Fiscal Implications

Prepared By:	Telephone No.	Agency
Joseph Polasek	266-2794 Department of Natural Resources	
Authorized Signature	Telephone No.	Date (mm/dd/ccyy)
/S/	266-2794	12/30/10

Wisconsin Department of Administration Division of Executive Budget and Finance DOA-2047 (R10/2000)

Fiscal Estimate Worksheet — 2010 Session

Detailed Estimate of Annual Fiscal Effect

🛛 Original	Updated	LRB Number	AmendmentNumberifApplicable
Corrected	Supplemental	Bill Number	Administrative Rule Number NR 400, 419, 421, 422, 423 & 439

Subject

Fiscal estimate for Board Order AM-44-10, proposed rules affecting NR 400, 419, 421, 422, 423 and 439 pertaining to the correcting of deficiencies identified by the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

One-time Costs or Revenue Impacts for State and/or Local Government (do not include in annualized fiscal effect):

Annualized Costs:	Annualized Fiscal Impact on State Funds from:				
A. State Costs by Category		Increa	sed Costs	Decreased C	Costs
State Operations — Salaries and Fringes		\$		\$ -	
(FTE Position Changes)		(FTE) (-	FTE)
State Operations — Other Costs				-	
Local Assistance				-	
Aids to Individuals or Organizations				-	
Total State Costs by Category		\$		\$ -	
B. State Costs by Source of Funds		Increa	Increased Costs Decreas		Costs
GPR		\$		\$ -	
FED				-	
PRO/PRS				-	
SEG/SEG-S				-	
Complete this only whe increase or decrease state	n proposal will e revenues (e.g.,	Increase	Increased Revenue Decreased Rever		evenue
tax increase, decrease in license fee, etc.) GPR Taxes		\$		\$ -	
GPR Earned				-	
FED				-	
PRO/PRS				-	
SEG/SEG-S				- 2,70	0
Total State Revenues		\$		\$ - 2,70	0
Net	Annualized Fi	scal Impact			
		<u>State</u>		Local	
Net Change in Costs	\$		\$		
Net Change in Revenues \$		- \$2700	\$		
Prepared By: Telephone		e No.	Agency		
Joe Polasek 266-2794			Department of Natural Resources		
Authorized Signature Telephone		e No.	Date (mm/d	Date (mm/dd/ccyy)	
/S/ 260			12/30/10		