

ADMINISTRATIVE RULES Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

1. Type of Estimate and Analysis

Original Updated Corrected

2. Administrative Rule Chapter, Title and Number

Trans 200 / Specific Information and Business Signs / 200.06 (7) (b) 3.

3. Subject

Administrative rule language change.

4. Fund Sources Affected

GPR FED PRO PRS SEG SEG-S

5. Chapter 20, Stats. Appropriations Affected

20.395 (3) (eq)

6. Fiscal Effect of Implementing the Rule

| | | |
|---|--|--|
| <input type="checkbox"/> No Fiscal Effect | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Increase Existing Revenues | <input type="checkbox"/> Increase Costs |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Indeterminate | <input type="checkbox"/> Decrease Existing Revenues | <input type="checkbox"/> Could Absorb Within Agency's Budget |
| | | <input type="checkbox"/> Decrease Cost |

7. The Rule Will Impact the Following (Check All That Apply)

| | |
|---|---|
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> State's Economy | <input type="checkbox"/> Specific Businesses/Sectors |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Local Government Units | <input type="checkbox"/> Public Utility Rate Payers |
| | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Small Businesses (if checked, complete Attachment A) |

8. Would Implementation and Compliance Costs Be Greater Than \$20 million?

Yes No

9. Policy Problem Addressed by the Rule

The current rule language allows two (2) categories of motorist services on the same sign, with a maximum of three (3) business panels for each motorist service category, not to exceed a total of six (6) business panels. The new rule language would allow a combination of two (2) categories of motorist services on the same sign, with a maximum of four (4) business panels from one motorist service category and two (2) business panels from a second motorist service category, not to exceed a total of six (6) business panels.

10. Summary of the businesses, business sectors, associations representing business, local governmental units, and individuals that may be affected by the proposed rule that were contacted for comments.

Motorist services businesses, such as GAS, FOOD, LODGING, CAMPING and ATTRACTIONS that may participate in the Specific Information Signs (SIS) program may be affected by the proposed rule.

11. Identify the local governmental units that participated in the development of this EIA.

WisDOT

12. Summary of Rule's Economic and Fiscal Impact on Specific Businesses, Business Sectors, Public Utility Rate Payers, Local Governmental Units and the State's Economy as a Whole (Include Implementation and Compliance Costs Expected to be Incurred)

The businesses that this rule language change will affect may increase the number of motorists that take advantage of the services they provide, resulting in a positive economic impact. Statewide economic and fiscal impacts are expected to be minimal, due to the small number of business entities that would likely be affected.

13. Benefits of Implementing the Rule and Alternative(s) to Implementing the Rule

At certain interchanges throughout the state, more businesses that fall under the categories of GAS, FOOD, LODGING, CAMPING and ATTRACTIONS could be listed on Specific Information Signs (SIS), thereby reducing the number of businesses on the "Waiting List" at those intersections.

14. Long Range Implications of Implementing the Rule

A long-range implication of changing the rule language is the generation of more revenue from the collection of additional permit fees payable to WisDOT.

ADMINISTRATIVE RULES
Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

15. Compare With Approaches Being Used by Federal Government

The Manual on Uniform Traffic Control Devices (MUTCD) 2009 edition adopted by Wisconsin allows the combination described in #9 above.

16. Compare With Approaches Being Used by Neighboring States (Illinois, Iowa, Michigan and Minnesota)

Iowa, Michigan and Minnesota comply with the 2009 MUTCD by allowing three (3) business logo panels for two (2) motorist service types (for a total of six (6) business logo panels), or four (4) of one motorist service type and two (2) of the other motorist service type (for a total of six (6) panels), which conforms to the intended rule language change in Wisconsin. In Illinois, the approach is different when the "ATTRACTION" category is included on a sign with multiple categories. When the "ATTRACTION" category is included on a sign with multiple categories, one logo panel space must always be available to add another business logo panel from one of the other motorist service types, which include: GAS, FOOD, LODGING, CAMPING or 24-HOUR PHARMACY. This approach differs from the rule language changes Wisconsin wishes to enact.

17. Contact Name

John Noll

18. Contact Phone Number

608-266-0318

This document can be made available in alternate formats to individuals with disabilities upon request.

ADMINISTRATIVE RULES Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

ATTACHMENT A

1. Summary of Rule's Economic and Fiscal Impact on Small Businesses (Separately for each Small Business Sector, Include Implementation and Compliance Costs Expected to be Incurred)

The businesses that this rule language change will affect may increase the number of motorists that take advantage of the services they provide, resulting in a positive economic impact. Statewide economic and fiscal impacts are expected to be minimal, due to the small number of business entities that would likely be affected.

2. Summary of the data sources used to measure the Rule's impact on Small Businesses

By counting the number of interchanges statewide where this rule change could be implemented, and assuming the business is open a minimum of 16 hours per day, multiplied by the number of days in a year, multiplied by 1 customer per additional hour per day spending a minimum \$10 for each visit, this rule language change could increase motorist service business sales more than \$11 million per year.

3. Did the agency consider the following methods to reduce the impact of the Rule on Small Businesses?

- Less Stringent Compliance or Reporting Requirements
- Less Stringent Schedules or Deadlines for Compliance or Reporting
- Consolidation or Simplification of Reporting Requirements
- Establishment of performance standards in lieu of Design or Operational Standards
- Exemption of Small Businesses from some or all requirements
- Other, describe:

N/A

4. Describe the methods incorporated into the Rule that will reduce its impact on Small Businesses

The impact will be positive on all businesses, so small businesses will be fully eligible to participate.

5. Describe the Rule's Enforcement Provisions

There are no rule enforcement provisions aside from eligibility.

6. Did the Agency prepare a Cost Benefit Analysis (if Yes, attach to form)

- Yes No
-