ADMINISTRATIVE RULES Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

1. Type of Estimate and Analysis x Original Updated Corrected		
2. Administrative Rule Chapter, Title and Number Chapters SPS 60/Authority, Scope, Purpose and Definitions; 61/Licensing of Schools and Specialty Schools; 62/Regulation of Schools and Specialty Schools; 65/Persons Providing Practical Instruction In Schools; and 205/Barbers		
3. Subject Barbers, and Barbering and Cosmetology Schools and Instructors		
4. Fund Sources Affected	5. Chapter 20, Stats. Appropriations Affected None.	
6. Fiscal Effect of Implementing the Rule I No Fiscal Effect Increase Existing Revenues Indeterminate Decrease Existing Revenues		e Costs Absorb Within Agency's Budget Pase Cost
7. The Rule Will Impact the Following (Check All That Apply) State's Economy Specific Businesses/Sectors Local Government Units Public Utility Rate Payers Small Businesses (if checked, complete Attachment A)		
8. Would Implementation and Compliance Costs Be Greater Than \$20 million?		
9. Policy Problem Addressed by the Rule The rule implements the barbering components of 2011 Wisconsin Act 190.		
 Summary of the businesses, business sectors, associations representing business, local governmental units, and individuals that may be affected by the proposed rule that were contacted for comments. The Department used its advisory committee as the primary source for determining the potential impacts of both the technical and administrative elements of these rules. A responsibility of committee members is to bring forth any concerns they may be aware of or have with the current requirements and with these rule changes, and any concerns for any potential economic impacts from the changes. The Committee includes two barber members, one barber/cos metology member, one barber/cos metology instructor, one educator member, and one apprentice representative from the Department of Workforce Development. 		
11. Identify the local governmental units that participated in the development of this EIA. None.		
 Summary of Rule's Economic and Fiscal Impact on Specific Businesses, Business Sectors, Public Utility Rate Payers, Local Governmental Units and the State's Economy as a Whole (Include Implementation and Compliance Costs Expected to be Incurred) The Department estimates that these rules will have a slight fiscal impact. They will require staff time in the Division of Policy Development and Division of Management Services. The total one-time salary and fringe costs are estimated to be approximately \$5,071. There are no additional on-going salary and fringe costs estimated at this time. These rules are not expected to impose significant additional costs or other impacts on other entities because the primary effect of the rules is to no longer require barbering to comply with the more intensive requirements that are applied to cosmetology. 		
13. Benefits of Implementing the Rule and Alternative(s) to Implementing the Rule The rules essentially provide the framework for licensing barbers, barbering managers, and barbering establishments. No alternate framework would be available if the rules are not implemented.		
14. Long Range Implications of Implementing the Rule None known or anticipated.		
15. Compare With Approaches Being Used by Federal Government The federal government does not regulate barbering professions in the states.		
16. Compare With Approaches Being Used by Neighboring States (Illinois, Iowa, Michigan and Minnesota) See the detailed comparison in the rule analysis that precedes the rules.		
17. Contact Name		18. Contact Phone Number
Sam Rockweiler		608-266-0797