DIVISION OF EXECUTIVE BUDGET AND FINANCE 101 EAST WILSON STREET, 10TH FLOOR P.O. BOX 7864 MADISON, WI 53707-7864 FAX: (608) 267-0372

## ADMINISTRATIVE RULES Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

1. Type of Estimate and Analysis ☑ Original ☐ Updated ☐ Corrected			
2. Administrative Rule Chapter, Title and Number Phar 7.04(1)(e)2.			
3. Subject Statutory reference changes within return or exchange of health items			
4. Fund Sources Affected ☐ GPR ☐ FED ☐ PRO ☐ PRS ☐ SEG ☐ SEG-S	5. Chapter 2	20, Stats. Appropriations Affected	
6. Fiscal Effect of Implementing the Rule  ☑ No Fiscal Effect ☐ Increase Existing Revenues ☐ Indeterminate ☐ Decrease Existing Revenues	☐ Increase ☐ Could Ab ☐ Decrease	sorb Within Agency's Budget	
☐ Local Government Units ☐ Pub	ecific Businesses/Sectors blic Utility Rate Payers nall Businesses <b>(if checked, complete Attachment A)</b>		
8. Would Implementation and Compliance Costs Be Greater Than \$20 million? ☐ Yes ☐ No			
9. Policy Problem Addressed by the Rule Currently there is a note for this section indicating various statutory references have been changed. This rule updates the section with current statutory references and eliminates the note.  10. Summaryof the businesses, business sectors, associations representing business, local governmental units, and individuals that may be affected by the proposed rule that were contacted for comments.  This rule was posted for 14 days for economic comments and none were received.			
11. Identify the local governmental units that participated in the development of this EIA.  None. This rule does not affect local governments.			
12. Summaryof Rule's Economic and Fiscal Impact on Specific Businesses, Business Sectors, Public Utility Rate Payers, Local Governmental Units and the State's Economyas a Whole (Include Implementation and Compliance Costs Expected to be Incurred)  This rule will not have an economic or fiscal impact on specific businesses, business sectors, public utility rate payers, local governmental units or the state's economy as a whole. This rule only corrects statutory references in the current rule.			
13. Benefits of Implementing the Rule and Alternative(s) to Implementing the Rule The benefit is to clean-up the rule to match current statutory references.			
14. Long Range Implications of Implementing the Rule The long range implication is the rule will have clarity without having to refer to the note to understand the references.			
15. Compare With Approaches Being Used by Federal Government None.			
16. Compare With Approaches Being Used by Neighboring States (Illinois, Iowa, Michigan and Minnesota) This rule purely updates the rule to reflect the current Wisconsin statute references. A comparison of the underlying rule which is not changing, Illinois and Iowa do not address correction facilities separately; Michigan's section relating to return or exchange of health items has a definition for "state correctional facility"; and Minnesota only allows the return of drugs and devices by hospitals, nursing homes and assisted living facilities.			
17. Contact Name Sharon Henes, Administrative Rules Coordinator		8. Contact Phone Number 608) 261-2377	

STATE OF WISCONSIN DEPARTMENT OF ADMINISTRATION DOA-2049 (R03/2012) DIVISION OF EXECUTIVE BUDGET AND FINANCE 101 EAST WILSON STREET, 10TH FLOOR P.O. BOX 7864 MADISON, WI 53707-7864 FAX: (608) 267-0372

## ADMINISTRATIVE RULES Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

## ATTACHMENT A

<ol> <li>Summary of Rule's Economic and Fiscal Impact on Small Businesses (Separately for each Small Business Sector, Include Implementation and Compliance Costs Expected to be Incurred)</li> </ol>
2. Summary of the data sources used to measure the Rule's impact on Small Businesses
<ul> <li>3. Did the agency consider the following methods to reduce the impact of the Rule on Small Businesses?</li> <li>Less Stringent Compliance or Reporting Requirements</li> <li>Less Stringent Schedules or Deadlines for Compliance or Reporting</li> <li>Consolidation or Simplification of Reporting Requirements</li> <li>Establishment of performance standards in lieu of Design or Operational Standards</li> <li>Exemption of Small Businesses from some or all requirements</li> <li>Other, describe:</li> </ul>
4. Describe the methods incorporated into the Rule that will reduce its impact on Small Businesses
5. Describe the Rule's Enforcement Provisions
6. Did the Agency prepare a Cost Benefit Analysis (if Yes, attach to form)  ☐ Yes ☐ No