STATE OF WISCONSIN DEPARTMENT OF ADMINISTRATION DOA-2049 (R03/2012) DIVISION OF EXECUTIVE BUDGET AND FINANCE 101 EAST WILSON STREET, 10TH FLOOR P.O. BOX 7864 MADISON, WI 53707-7864 FAX: (608) 267-0372

ADMINISTRATIVE RULES Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

1. Type of Estimate and Analysis ☑ Original ☐ Updated ☐ Corrected		
2. Administrative Rule Chapter, Title and Number CSB 4		
3. Subject Operation of the prescription drug monitoring program		
4. Fund Sources Affected ☐ GPR ☐ FED ☐ PRO ☐ PRS ☐ SEG ☐ SEG-S	5. Chapter 20, Stats. Appropriations Affected	
6. Fiscal Effect of Implementing the Rule ☑ No Fiscal Effect ☐ Increase Existing Revenues ☐ Indeterminate ☐ Decrease Existing Revenues	☐ Increase Costs ☐ Could Absorb Within Agency's Budget ☐ Decrease Cost	
☐ Local Government Units ☐ Publi	ific Businesses/Sectors c Utility Rate Payers I Businesses (if checked, complete Attachment A)	
8. Would Implementation and Compliance Costs Be Greater Than \$20 million? Yes No		
 9. Policy Problem Addressed by the Rule 2015 Act 55 requires rules defining what constitutes suspicious or critically dangerous conduct or practices for purposes of disclosure to relevant state boards and agencies, relevant agencies of other states and relevant law enforcement agencies under circumstances indicating suspicious or critically dangerous conduct or practices of a pharmacy, pharmacist, practitioner or patient. In addition, this rule makes minor clean-up changes. 10. Summary of the businesses, business sectors, associations representing business, local governmental units, and individuals that may be affected by the proposed rule that were contacted for comments. 		
This rule was posted for 14 days for economic comments and none were received.		
11. Identify the local governmental units that participated in the development of this EIA. None. This does not affect local governmental units.		
12. Summary of Rule's Economic and Fiscal Impact on Specific Businesses, Business Sectors, Public Utility Rate Payers, Local Governmental Units and the State's Economyas a Whole (Include Implementation and Compliance Costs Expected to be Incurred) This rule does not have an economic or fiscal impact on specific businesses, business sectors, public utility rate payers, local governmental units or the state's economy as a whole.		
13. Benefits of Implementing the Rule and Alternative(s) to Implementing the Rule The benefit to implement the rule is to provide notice to pharmacists, pharmacies, practitioners and patients as to the factors the board will consider in making determinations related to suspicious or critically dangerous conduct or practices. In addition, the clean-up revisions will create continuity and clarity throughout the rule.		
14. Long Range Implications of Implementing the Rule The long range implication is clarity.		
15. Compare With Approaches Being Used by Federal Government None		

16. Compare With Approaches Being Used by Neighboring States (Illinois, Iowa, Michigan and Minnesota)

STATE OF WISCONSIN DEPARTMENT OF ADMINISTRATION DOA-2049 (R03/2012) DIVISION OF EXECUTIVE BUDGET AND FINANCE 101 EAST WILSON STREET, 10TH FLOOR P.O. BOX 7864 MADISON, WI 53707-7864 FAX: (608) 267-0372

ADMINISTRATIVE RULES Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

Illinois and Michigan do not address proactive disclosure of suspicious or critically dangerous conduct or practices. Iowa does not allow for disclosure to regulatory agencies or law enforcement without and order, subpoena or other means of legal compulsion relating to a specific individual and supported by a determination of probable cause. The Minnesota Board of Pharmacy is required by statute to review the data submitted on at least a quarterly basis to determine if a patient meets criteria defined by the Board in consultation with an advisory task force. If a patient meets the criteria, the Board may disclose information about the patient to prescribers and pharmacists. Minnesota does not allow accessing the database for the sole purpose of identifying prescribers of controlled substances for unusual or excessive prescribing patterns without a valid search warrant or court order. No licensing board or agency may access the database for the purpose of obtaining information to be used to initiate or substantiate a disciplinary action against a prescriber.

17. Contact Name	18. Contact Phone Number
Sharon Henes	(608) 261-2377

This document can be made available in alternate formats to individuals with disabilities upon request.

STATE OF WISCONSIN DEPARTMENT OF ADMINISTRATION DOA-2049 (R03/2012) DIVISION OF EXECUTIVE BUDGET AND FINANCE 101 EAST WILSON STREET, 10TH FLOOR P.O. BOX 7864 MADISON, WI 53707-7864 FAX: (608) 267-0372

ADMINISTRATIVE RULES Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

ATTACHMENT A

 Summaryof Rule's Economic and Fiscal Impact on Small Businesses (Separatelyfor each Small Business Sector, Include Implementation and Compliance Costs Expected to be Incurred)
2. Summary of the data sources used to measure the Rule's impact on Small Businesses
3. Did the agency consider the following methods to reduce the impact of the Rule on Small Businesses?
☐ Less Stringent Compliance or Reporting Requirements
☐ Less Stringent Schedules or Deadlines for Compliance or Reporting
☐ Consolidation or Simplification of Reporting Requirements
☐ Establishment of performance standards in lieu of Design or Operational Standards
☐ Exemption of Small Businesses from some or all requirements
☐ Other, describe:
4. Describe the methods incorporated into the Rule that will reduce its impact on Small Businesses
5. Describe the Rule's Enforcement Provisions
6. Did the Agency prepare a Cost Benefit Analysis (if Yes, attach to form)
☐ Yes ☐ No