STATE OF WISCONSIN DEPARTMENT OF ADMINISTRATION DOA-2050 (C04/2012) DIVISION OF EXECUTIVE BUDGET AND FINANCE 101 EAST WILSON STREET, 10TH FLOOR P.O. BOX 7864 MADISON, WI 53707-7864 FAX: (608) 267-0372

EXISTING ADMINISTRATIVE RULESFiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

1. Type of Estimate and Analysis			
☐ Repeal ☑ Modification			
2. Administrative Rule Chapter, Title and Number			
Ins 17.35 (4), Wis. Admin. Code, Primary coverage; requirements; persmissible exclusions; deductibles.			
3. Date Rule promulgated and/or revised; Date of most recent Evaluation			
September 1, 1998 last revised. 4. Plain Language Analysis of the Rule, its Impact on the Policy Problem that Justified its Creation and Changes in Technology,			
Economic Conditions or Other Factors Since Promulgation that alter the need for or effectiveness of the Rule.			
The current regulation permits insurers offering medical malpractice insurance to include deductibles or coinsurance			
clauses while retaining the responsibility for payment of the total amount of indemnity up to the limits specified in s.			
655.23 (4), Stats., and recoup the amount of the deductible or coinsurance from the insureds. At the time this rule was			
first created typical deductibles or coinsurance ranged between 10-20% if used. The Board of Governors for the Injured Patients and Families Compensation Fund (Fund) determined following several years of analysis and debate to limit the			
amount of deductibles to the prevailing use in the marketplace not to exceed 25% including all cost sharing provisions.			
The proposed rule clarifies what is considered the policy that is to be filed with the commissioner and limits the total			
provider exposure under a liability policy not to exceed 25%. The proposed rule retains the ability for the insurer or			
foreign insurer to recoup the deductible or other cost sharing mechanism after the insurer or foreign insurer has satisfied			
its financial obligation.			
5. Describe the Rule's Enforcement Provisions and Mechanisms			
Medical malpractice policy forms are required to be filed and approved prior to use in the State. The rule does not alter			
existing enforcement capabilities of the Commissioner to ensure compliance with regulations that are cross referenced in			
s. Ins 17.35 (1) to s. 631.20, Stats. Section 631.20 (2) through (6), Stats., details the form disapproval processes and			
enforcement provisions.			
6. Repealing or Modifying the Rule Will Impact the Following (Check All That Apply) ☐ Specific Businesses/Sectors ☐ Public Utility Rate Payers			
☐ State's Economy ☐ Small Businesses			
☐ Local Government Units			
7. Summary of the Impacts, including Compliance Costs, identifying any Unnecessary Burdens the Rule places on the ability of Small			
Business to conduct their Affairs. The impact of this among the sould be negligible to small businesses. Small businesses that are directly impacted.			
The impact of this amendment should be negliable to small businesses. Small businesses that are directly impacted, small health care providers to the best of the Fund's knowledge currently have compliant policies of insurance so there			
would be no additional costs. Small businesses receiving health care services should similarly not be impacted by this			
rule as the majority of providers currently have compliant plans. To the extent that premiums for coverage increases for			
the providers not currently insured with a compliant policy, there may be a shift in costs to the public but the Office			
cannot measure the extent to which a provider or health care system group may cost shift.			
8. List of Small Businesses, Organizations and Members of the Public that commented on the Rule and its Enforcement and a Summary of their Comments.			
The Office sought comments from all of the following:			
Wisconsin Hospital Association			
Medical Society of Wisconsin			
Health and Life Advisory Council Members			
Members of the Board of Governors for the Fund			
Wisconsin Restaurant Association			
Wisconsin Chiropractice Association Wisconsin Counties Association			
WISCOURIE COMMES ASSOCIATION			
Thrivent Insurance			

STATE OF WISCONSIN DEPARTMENT OF ADMINISTRATION DOA-2050 (C04/2012) DIVISION OF EXECUTIVE BUDGET AND FINANCE 101 EAST WILSON STREET, 10TH FLOOR P.O. BOX 7864 MADISON, WI 53707-7864 FAX: (608) 267-0372

EXISTING ADMINISTRATIVE RULESFiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

The Alliance
Humana Insurance
United Healthcare
Independent Insurance Agents of Wisconsin
Sentry Insurance
Anthem Blue Crosss
Medical College of Wisconsin
Capitol Consultants
National Federal of Independent Business Association
Minstry Healthcare
Interested members of the public

The Office recevied two comments that were nearly identical from the Wisconsin Hospital Association and Medical Society of Wisconsin commented that each is unaware of publically available information that the economic impact would be less than \$50,000.

9. Did the Agency consider anyof the following Rule Modifications to reduce the Impact of the Rule on Small Businesses in lieu of repeal?		
Less Stringent Compliance or Reporting Requirements		
Less Stringent Schedules or Deadlines for Compliance or Reporting		
☐ Consolidation or Simplification of Reporting Requirements		
☐ Establishment of performance standards in lieu of Design or Operational Standards		
☐ Exemption of Small Businesses from some or all requirements		
☑ Other, describe: The office relied upon information it received during examination of insurers and determined that small businesses directly regulated by the rule would not be impacted as the level of the deductible reflects the current marketplace for approximately 2/3s of the market including small businesses.		
10. Fund Sources Affected	11. Chapter 20, Stats. Appropriations Affected	
☐ GPR ☐ FED ☐ PRO ☐ PRS ☐ SEG ☐ SEG-S	None	
12. Fiscal Effect of Repealing or Modifying the Rule ☑ No Fiscal Effect ☐ Increase Existing Revenues ☐ Indeterminate ☐ Decrease Existing Revenues	☐ Increase Costs ☐ Could Absorb Within Agency's Budget ☐ Decrease Cost	
13. Summary of Costs and Benefits of Repealing or Modifying the Rule		
The cost to modifying the rule is an increase in primary insurance coverage if the health care provider has an		
arrangement that includes cost sharing arrangements greater than 25%. The benefit of the amendment include limited		
exposure of liability for providers to 25% of the total liability limits. The cost is mitigated to some extent by the ability		
for the larger health care provider groups to self-insure if desired with the modifications to s. Ins 17.50, Wis. Admin.		
Code. The proposed rule containes a delayed initial applicability date to allow providers to make informed decsions		
regarding coverage options.		
14. Did the Agency prepare a Cost Benefit Analysis (if Yes, attach to form) ☐ Yes ☑ No		
15. Long Range Implications of Repealing or Modifying the Rule		
The proposed modifications will increase premiums for a small percentage of current Fund participants, however the		

The proposed modifications will increase premiums for a small percentage of current Fund participants, however the Office both in statute and regulation are providing viable more cost neutral options for providers that are available to providers prior to the initial applicability of this rule.

STATE OF WISCONSIN DEPARTMENT OF ADMINISTRATION DOA-2050 (C04/2012) DIVISION OF EXECUTIVE BUDGET AND FINANCE 101 EAST WILSON STREET, 10TH FLOOR P.O. BOX 7864 MADISON, WI 53707-7864 FAX: (608) 267-0372

EXISTING ADMINISTRATIVE RULESFiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

16. Compare With Approaches Being Used by Federal Government		
There is no comparable approach at the federal level as there is no similar Fund at the federal level.		
17. Compare With Approaches Being Used by Neighboring States (Illinois, Iowa, Michigan and Minnesota)		
No neighboring states have comparable Funds.		
18. Contact Name	19. Contact Phone Number	
Julie E. Walsh	608-264-8101	

This document can be made available in alternate formats to individuals with disabilities upon request.