STATE OF WISCONSIN DEPARTMENT OF ADMINISTRATION DOA-2050 (C04/2012) DIVISION OF EXECUTIVE BUDGET AND FINANCE 101 EAST WILSON STREET, 10TH FLOOR P.O. BOX 7864 MADISON, WI 53707-7864 FAX: (608) 267-0372

EXISTING ADMINISTRATIVE RULESFiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

Type of Estimate and Analysis Repeal		
2. Administrative Rule Chapter, Title and Number		
·		
Ins 17.50, Wis. Admin. Code, Self-insured plans for health care providers.		
3. Date Rule promulgated and/or revised; Date of most recent Evaluation		
January 1, 1990 when it was first created. There have been no revisions prior to this proposed rule.		
. Plain Language Analysis of the Rule, its Impact on the Policy Problem that Justified its Creation and Changes in Technology, Economic Conditions or Other Factors Since Promulgation that alter the need for or effectiveness of the Rule.		
The proposed rule implements s. 655.23, Stats., that requires health care providers to self-insurer or maintain insurance		
for the provider's health care liability coverage for purposes of effecting the coverage of the Injured Patients and Families Compensation Fund (Fund). The proposed rule defines "affiliated health care providers" to be two or more health care		
roviders that are etiher legal entities or are employed by one or more legal entities over which operating control is		
xercised and whose incomes are consolidated with the controlling legal entity in audited financial statements under		
enerally accepted accounting principles (GAAP). The term "provider" is amended to include, unless otherwise		
specified, both individual or affiliated health care providers. The rule modifies the intiail filing and funding requirements		
or providers to reflect the submission of GAAP statements on a consolidated basis and the preclusion of affiliated health		
are provider's ability to use letters of credit for intial funding. The rule also creates new provisions specifically		
ddressing the minimum funding level for affiliated health care providers as the greater of \$2,000,000 or the amount of		
ne actuarial estimate.		
. Describe the Rule's Enforcement Provisions and Mechanisms		
Section Ins 17.50 (12) and (13), Wis. Admin. Code, address compliance and regulatory oversight and remain unchanged		
y the proposed rule amendments.		
y the proposed rule amendments. Repealing or Modifying the Rule Will Impact the Following ☑ Specific Businesses/Sectors		
y the proposed rule amendments. Repealing or Modifying the Rule Will Impact the Following (Check All That Apply) Specific Businesses/Sectors Public Utility Rate Payers		
y the proposed rule amendments. Repealing or Modifying the Rule Will Impact the Following (Check All That Apply) State's Economy Small Businesses		
y the proposed rule amendments. Repealing or Modifying the Rule Will Impact the Following (Check All That Apply) State's Economy Local Government Units Specific Businesses/Sectors Public Utility Rate Payers Small Businesses		
y the proposed rule amendments. Repealing or Modifying the Rule Will Impact the Following (Check All That Apply) State's Economy Local Government Units Summary of the Impacts, including Compliance Costs, identifying any Unnecessary Burdens the Rule places on the ability of Small Business to conduct their Affairs.		
y the proposed rule amendments. Repealing or Modifying the Rule Will Impact the Following (Check All That Apply) Specific Businesses/Sectors Public Utility Rate Payers State's Economy Small Businesses Local Government Units Summary of the Impacts, including Compliance Costs, identifying any Unnecessary Burdens the Rule places on the ability of Small Business to conduct their Affairs. The impact of this amendment should be negligible to small businesses that are directly or indirectly affected by the rule.		
y the proposed rule amendments. Repealing or Modifying the Rule Will Impact the Following (Check All That Apply) State's Economy Local Government Units Summary of the Impacts, including Compliance Costs, identifying any Unnecessary Burdens the Rule places on the ability of Small Business to conduct their Affairs. Summary of this amendment should be negligible to small businesses that are directly or indirectly affected by the rule. The rule changes permit smaller entities to self-insure if that is desired and may be more cost effective for the entity.		
y the proposed rule amendments. Repealing or Modifying the Rule Will Impact the Following (Check All That Apply) State's Economy Local Government Units Summaryof the Impacts, including Compliance Costs, identifying any Unnecessary Burdens the Rule places on the ability of Small Business to conduct their Affairs. The impact of this amendment should be negligible to small businesses that are directly or indirectly affected by the rule. The rule changes permit smaller entities to self-insure if that is desired and may be more cost effective for the entity. The proposed rule retains current funding levels for individual or small business health care providers. As funding will		
y the proposed rule amendments. Repealing or Modifying the Rule Will Impact the Following (Check All That Apply) State's Economy Local Government Units Summary of the Impacts, including Compliance Costs, identifying any Unnecessary Burdens the Rule places on the ability of Small Business to conduct their Affairs. Summary of this amendment should be negligible to small businesses that are directly or indirectly affected by the rule. The rule changes permit smaller entities to self-insure if that is desired and may be more cost effective for the entity.		
y the proposed rule amendments. Repealing or Modifying the Rule Will Impact the Following (Check All That Apply) State's Economy Local Government Units Summaryof the Impacts, including Compliance Costs, identifying any Unnecessary Burdens the Rule places on the ability of Small Business to conduct their Affairs. The impact of this amendment should be negligible to small businesses that are directly or indirectly affected by the rule. The rule changes permit smaller entities to self-insure if that is desired and may be more cost effective for the entity. The proposed rule retains current funding levels for individual or small business health care providers. As funding will		
y the proposed rule amendments. Repealing or Modifying the Rule Will Impact the Following (Check All That Apply) Specific Businesses/Sectors Public Utility Rate Payers State's Economy Small Businesses Local Government Units Summary of the Impacts, including Compliance Costs, identifying any Unnecessary Burdens the Rule places on the ability of Small Business to conduct their Affairs. The impact of this amendment should be negligible to small businesses that are directly or indirectly affected by the rule. The rule changes permit smaller entities to self-insure if that is desired and may be more cost effective for the entity. The proposed rule retains current funding levels for individual or small business health care providers. As funding will ot change, the proposed rule should have little to no affect other than positive to non-health care small businesses. List of Small Businesses, Organizations and Members of the Public that commented on the Rule and its Enforcement and a		
y the proposed rule amendments. Repealing or Modifying the Rule Will Impact the Following (Check All That Apply) Specific Businesses/Sectors Public Utility Rate Payers State's Economy Small Businesses Local Government Units Summary of the Impacts, including Compliance Costs, identifying any Unnecessary Burdens the Rule places on the ability of Small Business to conduct their Affairs. The impact of this amendment should be negligible to small businesses that are directly or indirectly affected by the rule. The rule changes permit smaller entities to self-insure if that is desired and may be more cost effective for the entity. The proposed rule retains current funding levels for individual or small business health care providers. As funding will ot change, the proposed rule should have little to no affect other than positive to non-health care small businesses. List of Small Businesses, Organizations and Members of the Public that commented on the Rule and its Enforcement and a Summary of their Comments.		
y the proposed rule amendments. Repealing or Modifying the Rule Will Impact the Following (Check All That Apply)		
y the proposed rule amendments. Repealing or Modifying the Rule Will Impact the Following (Check All That Apply)		
y the proposed rule amendments. Repealing or Modifying the Rule Will Impact the Following (Check All That Apply)		
y the proposed rule amendments. Repealing or Modifying the Rule Will Impact the Following (Check All That Apply) State's Economy Local Government Units Summaryof the Impacts, including Compliance Costs, identifying any Unnecessary Burdens the Rule places on the ability of Small Business to conduct their Affairs. The impact of this amendment should be negligible to small businesses that are directly or indirectly affected by the rule. The rule changes permit smaller entities to self-insure if that is desired and may be more cost effective for the entity. The proposed rule retains current funding levels for individual or small business health care providers. As funding will ot change, the proposed rule should have little to no affect other than positive to non-health care small businesses. List of Small Businesses, Organizations and Members of the Public that commented on the Rule and its Enforcement and a Summaryof their Comments. The Office sought comments from all of the following: Wisconsin Hospital Association Medical Society of Wisconsin Health and Life Advisory Council Members		
y the proposed rule amendments. Repealing or Modifying the Rule Will Impact the Following (Check All That Apply) Specific Businesses/Sectors Public Utility Rate Payers State's Economy Small Businesses Small Busi		
y the proposed rule amendments. Repealing or Modifying the Rule Will Impact the Following (Check All That Apply)		
y the proposed rule amendments. Repealing or Modifying the Rule Will Impact the Following (Check All That Apply) State's Economy Small Businesses Small Businesses Small Businesses Small Businesses the Rule places on the ability of Small Business to conduct their Affairs. The impact of this amendment should be negligible to small businesses that are directly or indirectly affected by the rule. The rule changes permit smaller entities to self-insure if that is desired and may be more cost effective for the entity. The proposed rule retains current funding levels for individual or small business health care providers. As funding will ot change, the proposed rule should have little to no affect other than positive to non-health care small businesses. List of Small Businesses, Organizations and Members of the Public that commented on the Rule and its Enforcement and a Summary of their Comments. The Office sought comments from all of the following: Visconsin Hospital Association Medical Society of Wisconsin Health and Life Advisory Council Members Members of the Board of Governors for the Fund Visconsin Restaurant Association Visconsin Restaurant Association Visconsin Chiropractic Association		
y the proposed rule amendments. Repealing or Modifying the Rule Will Impact the Following (Check All That Apply) State's Economy Local Government Units Summary of the Impacts, including Compliance Costs, identifying any Unnecessary Burdens the Rule places on the ability of Small Business to conduct their Affairs. The impact of this amendment should be negligible to small businesses that are directly or indirectly affected by the rule. The rule changes permit smaller entities to self-insure if that is desired and may be more cost effective for the entity. The proposed rule retains current funding levels for individual or small business health care providers. As funding will not change, the proposed rule should have little to no affect other than positive to non-health care small businesses. Listof Small Businesses, Organizations and Members of the Public that commented on the Rule and its Enforcement and a Summary of their Comments. The Office sought comments from all of the following: Visconsin Hospital Association Medical Society of Wisconsin Health and Life Advisory Council Members Members of the Board of Governors for the Fund Visconsin Restaurant Association Visconsin Chiropractic Association Visconsin Counties Association		
y the proposed rule amendments. Repealing or Modifying the Rule Will Impact the Following (Check All That Apply) Public Utility Rate Payers State's Economy Small Businesses Small Businesses Local Government Units Small Businesses Summary of the Impacts, including Compliance Costs, identifying any Unnecessary Burdens the Rule places on the ability of Small Business to conduct their Affairs. The impact of this amendment should be negligible to small businesses that are directly or indirectly affected by the rule. The rule changes permit smaller entities to self-insure if that is desired and may be more cost effective for the entity. The proposed rule retains current funding levels for individual or small business health care providers. As funding will ot change, the proposed rule should have little to no affect other than positive to non-health care small businesses. List of Small Businesses, Organizations and Members of the Public that commented on the Rule and its Enforcement and a Summary of their Comments. The Office sought comments from all of the following: Visconsin Hospital Association Medical Society of Wisconsin Beath and Life Advisory Council Members Members of the Board of Governors for the Fund Visconsin Restaurant Association Visconsin Chiropractic Association Visconsin Counties Association Thrivent Insurance		

Independent Insurance Agents of Wisconsin

DIVISION OF EXECUTIVE BUDGET AND FINANCE 101 EAST WILSON STREET, 10TH FLOOR P.O. BOX 7864 MADISON, WI 53707-7864 FAX: (608) 267-0372

EXISTING ADMINISTRATIVE RULESFiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

Sentry Insurance		
Anthem Blue Cross		
Medical College of Wisconsin		
Capitol Consultants		
National Federal of Independent Business Association		
Ministry Healthcare		
Interested members of the public		
No comments were received.		
9. Did the Agency consider any of the following Rule Modifications to reduce the Impact of the Rule on Small Businesses in lieu of repeal?		
☐ Less Stringent Compliance or Reporting Requirements		
☐ Less Stringent Schedules or Deadlines for Compliance or Reporting		
Consolidation or Simplification of Reporting Requirements		
☐ Establishment of performance standards in lieu of Design or Operational Standards		
Exemption of Small Businesses from some or all requirements		
☑ Other, describe: The Office retained existing requirements that small businesses would need to comply with rather than		
increasing or otherwise modifying financial requirements for those	<u>`</u>	
	oter 20, Stats. Appropriations Affected	
☐ GPR ☐ FED ☐ PRO ☐ PRS ☐ SEG ☐ SEG-S None		
12. Fiscal Effect of Repealing or Modifying the Rule		
	ase Costs	
	d Absorb Within Agency's Budget	
	ease Cost	
13. Summaryof Costs and Benefits of Repealing or Modifying the Rule There is no cost to modifying this rule. The rule implements existing state law and makes possible larger health care entities with affiliated provider wanting to self-insure the ability to do so in a manner that is not cost prohibitive. Specifically, without the proposed rule large health care providers comprised of several hospitals, clinics and physicians were required to separate the business with each unique health care provider self-insuring rather than as proposed in this rule, the ability of that same health care system to self-insure affiliated providers in one self-insured plan.		
14. Did the Agency prepare a Cost Benefit Analysis (if Yes, attach to form) ☐ Yes ☐ No		
15. Long Range Implications of Repealing or Modifying the Rule		
The implications of the modified rule include possible increase in the number of registered self-insured affliated health		
care providers but the Office is able to absorb the increase without additional staffing or funds.		
16. Compare With Approaches Being Used by Federal Government		
There is no comparable approach at the federal level as there is no similar Fund at the federal level.		
17. Compare With Approaches Being Used by Neighboring States (Illinois, Iowa, Michigan and Minnesota) No neighboring states have comparable Funds.		
18. Contact Name	19. Contact Phone Number	
Julie E. Walsh	608-264-8101	

This document can be made available in alternate formats to individuals with disabilities upon request.

STATE OF WISCONSIN DEPARTMENT OF ADMINISTRATION DOA-2050 (C04/2012) DIVISION OF EXECUTIVE BUDGET AND FINANCE 101 EAST WILSON STREET, 10TH FLOOR P.O. BOX 7864 MADISON, WI 53707-7864 FAX: (608) 267-0372

EXISTING ADMINISTRATIVE RULESFiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis