## ADMINISTRATIVE RULES Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

1. Type of Estimate and Analysis ☑ Original □ Updated □ Corrected		
2. Administrative Rule Chapter, Title and Number CSB 2.40		
3. Subject Exclusion of [ <sup>123</sup> I]ioflupane		
4. Fund Sources Affected □ GPR □ FED ⊠ PRO □ PRS □ SEG □ SEG-S	5. Chapt 20.165(2	er 20, Stats. Appropriations Affected $l)(g)$
6. Fiscal Effect of Implementing the Rule         ☑ No Fiscal Effect       □ Increase Existing Revenues         □ Indeterminate       □ Decrease Existing Revenues		ase Costs I Absorb Within Agency's Budget ease Cost
7. The Rule Will Impact the Following (Check All That Apply)         State's Economy       Specific Businesses/Sectors         Local Government Units       Public Utility Rate Payers         Small Businesses (if checked, complete Attachment A)		
8. Would Implementation and Compliance Costs Be Greater Than \$20 million?		
<ul> <li>9. Policy Problem Addressed by the Rule</li> <li>On September 11, 2015, the United States Department of Justice, Drug Enforcement Administration published its final rule in the Federal Register removing [<sup>123</sup>I]ioflupane from schedule II of the federal Controlled Substances Act. The Wisconsin Controlled Substances Board took affirmative action on October 13, 2015 to similarly exclude [<sup>123</sup>I]ioflupane under chapter 961, Stats. effective October 19, 2015 to allow for publication in the Administrative Register.</li> <li>10. Summaryof the businesses, business sectors, associations representing business, local governmental units, and individuals that may be affected by the proposed rule that were contacted for comments.</li> </ul>		
This rule was posted for economic comments for 14 days and none were received. 11. Identify the local governmental units that participated in the development of this EIA.		
None		
<ol> <li>Summaryof Rule's Economic and Fiscal Impact on Specific Businesses, Business Sectors, Public Utility Rate Payers, Local Governmental Units and the State's Economyas a Whole (Include Implementation and Compliance Costs Expected to be Incurred)</li> <li>There is no economic or fiscal impact.</li> </ol>		
13. Benefits of Implementing the Rule and Alternative(s) to Implementing the Rule The benefit is for the federal and state controlled substance acts to be in conformity.		
14. Long Range Implications of Implementing the Rule [ <sup>123</sup> I]ioflupane will not be treated as a controlled substance.		
15. Compare With Approaches Being Used by Federal Government The federal government removed [ <sup>123</sup> I]ioflupane from scheduling on September 11, 2015.		
16. Compare With Approaches Being Used by Neighboring States (Illinois, Iowa, Michigan and Minnesota) Iowa excludes [ <sup>123</sup> I]ioflupane from scheduling. Illinois, Michigan and Minnesota does not exclude [ <sup>123</sup> I]ioflupane.		
17. Contact Name		18. Contact Phone Number
Sharon Henes		(608) 261-2377

## ADMINISTRATIVE RULES Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

This document can be made available in alternate formats to individuals with disabilities upon request.

## ADMINISTRATIVE RULES Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

## ATTACHMENT A

1. Summary of Rule's Economic and Fiscal Impact on Small Businesses (Separatelyfor each Small Business Sector, Include Implementation and Compliance Costs Expected to be Incurred)

2. Summary of the data sources used to measure the Rule's impact on Small Businesses

3. Did the agency consider the following methods to reduce the impact of the Rule on Small Businesses?

Less Stringent Compliance or Reporting Requirements

Less Stringent Schedules or Deadlines for Compliance or Reporting

Consolidation or Simplification of Reporting Requirements

Establishment of performance standards in lieu of Design or Operational Standards

Exemption of Small Businesses from some or all requirements

Other, describe:

4. Describe the methods incorporated into the Rule that will reduce its impact on Small Businesses

5. Describe the Rule's Enforcement Provisions

6. Did the Agency prepare a Cost Benefit Analysis (if Yes, attach to form)

🗆 Yes 🗌 No