

## ADMINISTRATIVE RULES Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

---

1. Type of Estimate and Analysis

Original    Updated    Corrected

---

2. Administrative Rule Chapter, Title and Number

CSB 2.45

---

3. Subject

Scheduling AB-FUBINACA and ADB-PINACA

---

4. Fund Sources Affected

GPR    FED    PRO    PRS    SEG    SEG-S

5. Chapter 20, Stats. Appropriations Affected

20.165(1)(g)

---

6. Fiscal Effect of Implementing the Rule

No Fiscal Effect    Increase Existing Revenues    Increase Costs  
 Indeterminate    Decrease Existing Revenues    Could Absorb Within Agency's Budget  
 Decrease Cost

---

7. The Rule Will Impact the Following (Check All That Apply)

State's Economy    Specific Businesses/Sectors  
 Local Government Units    Public Utility Rate Payers  
 Small Businesses (if checked, complete Attachment A)

---

8. Would Implementation and Compliance Costs Be Greater Than \$20 million?

Yes    No

---

9. Policy Problem Addressed by the Rule

The United States Department of Justice, Drug Enforcement Administration scheduled AB-FUBINACA and ADB-PINACA as schedule I controlled substances effective September 6, 2016. The Wisconsin Controlled Substance Board took affirmative action on October 6, 2016 to similarly treat AB-FUBINACA and ADB-PINACA as schedule I controlled substances effective October 10, 2016. The Board is currently promulgating a final rule.

---

10. Summary of the businesses, business sectors, associations representing business, local governmental units, and individuals that may be affected by the proposed rule that were contacted for comments.

This rule was posted for economic comments and none were received.

---

11. Identify the local governmental units that participated in the development of this EIA.

None.

---

12. Summary of Rule's Economic and Fiscal Impact on Specific Businesses, Business Sectors, Public Utility Rate Payers, Local Governmental Units and the State's Economy as a Whole (Include Implementation and Compliance Costs Expected to be Incurred)

This rule will not have an economic or fiscal impact.

---

13. Benefits of Implementing the Rule and Alternative(s) to Implementing the Rule

The benefit is for the federal and state controlled substances acts to be in conformity. In addition, it is in the best interest of Wisconsin citizens to schedule synthetic marijuana as schedule I controlled substances.

---

14. Long Range Implications of Implementing the Rule

AB-FUBINACA and ADB-PINACA will be treated as schedule I controlled substances.

---

15. Compare With Approaches Being Used by Federal Government

The federal government has scheduled AB-FUBINACA and ADB-PINACA as schedule I controlled substances.

---

16. Compare With Approaches Being Used by Neighboring States (Illinois, Iowa, Michigan and Minnesota)

Illinois, Iowa and Minnesota have scheduled AB-FUBINACA and ADB-PINACA as schedule I controlled substances. Michigan has not scheduled AB-FUBINACA and ADB-PINACA as controlled substances.

---

17. Contact Name

18. Contact Phone Number

**ADMINISTRATIVE RULES**  
**Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis**

Sharon Henes

| (608) 261-2377

---

This document can be made available in alternate formats to individuals with disabilities upon request.

**ADMINISTRATIVE RULES**  
**Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis**

**ATTACHMENT A**

---

1. Summary of Rule's Economic and Fiscal Impact on Small Businesses (Separately for each Small Business Sector, Include Implementation and Compliance Costs Expected to be Incurred)

---

2. Summary of the data sources used to measure the Rule's impact on Small Businesses

---

3. Did the agency consider the following methods to reduce the impact of the Rule on Small Businesses?

- Less Stringent Compliance or Reporting Requirements
- Less Stringent Schedules or Deadlines for Compliance or Reporting
- Consolidation or Simplification of Reporting Requirements
- Establishment of performance standards in lieu of Design or Operational Standards
- Exemption of Small Businesses from some or all requirements
- Other, describe:

---

4. Describe the methods incorporated into the Rule that will reduce its impact on Small Businesses

---

5. Describe the Rule's Enforcement Provisions

---

6. Did the Agency prepare a Cost Benefit Analysis (if Yes, attach to form)

- Yes    No
-