STATE OF WISCONSIN DEPARTMENT OF ADMINISTRATION DOA-2049 (R03/2012) DIVISION OF EXECUTIVE BUDGET AND FINANCE 101 EAST WILSON STREET, 10TH FLOOR P.O. BOX 7864 MADISON, WI 53707-7864 FAX: (608) 267-0372

ADMINISTRATIVE RULES Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

1. Type of Estimate and Applysis		
Type of Estimate and Analysis Original □ Updated □ Corrected		
2. Administrative Rule Chapter, Title and Number CSB 2.55		
3. Subject Scheduling 5F-ADB, 5F-AMB, ADB-FUBINACA, MDMB	S-CHMICA and MDMB-FUBINACA	
4. Fund Sources Affected ☐ GPR ☐ FED ☒ PRO ☐ PRS ☐ SEG ☐ SEG-S	5. Chapter 20, Stats. Appropriations Affected s. $20.165(1)(g)$	
6. Fiscal Effect of Implementing the Rule		
	☐ Increase Costs	
☐ Indeterminate ☐ Decrease Existing Revenues	☐ Could Absorb Within Agency's Budget☐ Decrease Cost	
7. The Rule Will Impact the Following (Check All That Apply)		
	cific Businesses/Sectors	
	lic Utility Rate Payers	
☐ Small Businesses (if checked, complete Attachment A)		
8. Would Implementation and Compliance Costs Be Greater Than	\$20 million?	
Yes No		
9. Policy Problem Addressed by the Rule The United States Department of Justice Days Enforcement Administration, scheduled SE ADR, SE AMR, ADR		
The United States Department of Justice, Drug Enforcement Administration scheduled 5F-ADB, 5F-AMB, ADB-FUBINACA, MDMB-CHMICA and MDMB-FUBINACA as schedule I controlled substances effective April 10, 2017.		
The Wisconsin Controlled Substances Board took affirmative action on May 12, 2017 to similarly treat 5F-ADB, 5F-		
AMB, ADB-FUBINACA, MDMB-CHMICA and MDMB-FUBINACA as schedule I controlled substances effective		
May 15, 2017. The Board is currently promulgating a final rule.		
 10. Summaryof the businesses, business sectors, associations representing business, local governmental units, and individuals that may be affected by the proposed rule that were contacted for comments. This rule was posted for economic comments and none were received. 		
This rule was posted for economic comments and none were received.		
11. Identify the local governmental units that participated in the development of this EIA. None		
12. Summary of Rule's Economic and Fiscal Impact on Specific Businesses, Business Sectors, Public Utility Rate Payers, Local Governmental Units and the State's Economyas a Whole (Include Implementation and Compliance Costs Expected to be Incurred) This rule will not have a fiscal or economic impact.		
13. Benefits of Implementing the Rule and Alternative(s) to Implem	enting the Rule	
The benefit is for the federal and state controlled substances acts to be in conformity and alleviate confusion. In addition, it is in the best interest of Wisconsin citizens to schedule these drugs as controlled substances.		
14. Long Range Implications of Implementing the Rule 5F-ADB, 5F-AMB, ADB-FUBINACA, MDMB-CHMICA controlled substances.	<u> </u>	
15. Compare With Approaches Being Used by Federal Government The federal government has scheduled 5F-ADB, 5F-AMB, ADB-FUBINACA, MDMB-CHMICA and MDMB-		
FUBINACA as a schedule I controlled substances.		

16. Compare With Approaches Being Used by Neighboring States (Illinois, Iowa, Michigan and Minnesota)

STATE OF WISCONSIN DEPARTMENT OF ADMINISTRATION DOA-2049 (R03/2012) DIVISION OF EXECUTIVE BUDGET AND FINANCE 101 EAST WILSON STREET, 10TH FLOOR P.O. BOX 7864 MADISON, WI 53707-7864 FAX: (608) 267-0372

ADMINISTRATIVE RULES Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

The surrounding states have not scheduled these drugs.

17. Contact Name	18. Contact Phone Number
Sharon Henes	(608) 261-2377

This document can be made available in alternate formats to individuals with disabilities upon request.

STATE OF WISCONSIN DEPARTMENT OF ADMINISTRATION DOA-2049 (R03/2012) DIVISION OF EXECUTIVE BUDGET AND FINANCE 101 EAST WILSON STREET, 10TH FLOOR P.O. BOX 7864 MADISON, WI 53707-7864 FAX: (608) 267-0372

ADMINISTRATIVE RULES Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

ATTACHMENT A

 Summaryof Rule's Economic and Fiscal Impact on Small Businesses (Separatelyfor each Small Business Sector, Include Implementation and Compliance Costs Expected to be Incurred)
2. Summary of the data sources used to measure the Rule's impact on Small Businesses
3. Did the agency consider the following methods to reduce the impact of the Rule on Small Businesses? Less Stringent Compliance or Reporting Requirements Less Stringent Schedules or Deadlines for Compliance or Reporting Consolidation or Simplification of Reporting Requirements Establishment of performance standards in lieu of Design or Operational Standards Exemption of Small Businesses from some or all requirements Other, describe:
4. Describe the methods incorporated into the Rule that will reduce its impact on Small Businesses
5. Describe the Rule's Enforcement Provisions
6. Did the Agency prepare a Cost Benefit Analysis (if Yes, attach to form) ☐ Yes ☐ No