STATE OF WISCONSIN DEPARTMENT OF ADMINISTRATION DOA-2049 (R09/2016) DIVISION OF EXECUTIVE BUDGET AND FINANCE 101 EAST WILSON STREET, 10TH FLOOR P.O. BOX 7864 MADISON, WI 53707-7864 FAX: (608) 267-0372

ADMINISTRATIVE RULES Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

1. Type of Estimate and Analysis	2. Date	
☐ Original ☐ Updated ☐ Corrected	July 17, 2018	
3. Administrative Rule Chapter, Title and Number (and Clearinghous CSB 2.54	se Number if applicable)	
4. Subject Scheduling oral solutions containing dronabinol		
5. Fund Sources Affected ☐ GPR ☐ FED ☐ PRO ☐ PRS ☐ SEG ☐ SEG-S	6. Chapter 20, Stats. Appropriations Affected	
7. Fiscal Effect of Implementing the Rule ☑ No Fiscal Effect ☐ Increase Existing Revenues ☐ Indeterminate ☐ Decrease Existing Revenues	☐ Increase Costs ☐ Decrease Costs ☐ Could Absorb Within Agency's Budget	
☐ Local Government Units ☐ Public	fic Businesses/Sectors Utility Rate Payers Businesses (if checked, complete Attachment A)	
 Estimate of Implementation and Compliance to Businesses, Local 0.00 	Governmental Units and Individuals, pers. 227.137(3)(b)(1).	
10. Would Implementation and Compliance Costs Businesses, Local Governmental Units and Individuals Be \$10 Million or more Over Any 2-year Period, pers. 227.137(3)(b)(2)?		
Yes No		
11. Policy Problem Addressed by the Rule The United States Department of Justice, Drug Enforcement A	Administration scheduled oral solutions containing	
dronabinol as schedule II controlled substances effective March 23, 2017. The Wisconsin Controlled Substances Board		
took affirmative action on May 12, 2017 to similarly treat ora	•	
controlled substance effective May 15, 2017. The Board is cur	<u> </u>	
12. Summaryof the Businesses, Business Sectors, Associations Representing Business, Local Governmental Units, and Individuals that may be Affected by the Proposed Rule that were Contacted for Comments.		
This rule was posted for economic comments and not none we	ere received.	
13. Identify the Local Governmental Units that Participated in the De None	velopment of this EIA.	
14. Summaryof Rule's Economic and Fiscal Impact on Specific Businesses, Business Sectors, Public Utility Rate Payers, Local Governmental Units and the State's Economyas a Whole (Include Implementation and Compliance Costs Expected to be Incurred)		
This rule schedules a prescription drug as a controlled substant	ice and will not have any economic impact.	
15. Benefits of Implementing the Rule and Alternative(s) to Implement The benefit is for the federal and state controlled substances a addition, it is in the best interest of Wisconsin citizens to approach Administration.	nting the Rule cts to be in conformity and alleviate confusion. In	
16. Long Range Implications of Implementing the Rule Oral solutions containing dronabinol will be treated as schedule II co	ontrolled substances.	
17. Compare With Approaches Being Used by Federal Government The federal government has scheduled oral solutions containing	ng dronabinol as schedule II controlled substances.	

18. Compare With Approaches Being Used by Neighboring States (Illinois, Iowa, Michigan and Minnesota)

STATE OF WISCONSIN DEPARTMENT OF ADMINISTRATION DOA-2049 (R09/2016) DIVISION OF EXECUTIVE BUDGET AND FINANCE 101 EAST WILSON STREET, 10TH FLOOR P.O. BOX 7864 MADISON, WI 53707-7864 FAX: (608) 267-0372

ADMINISTRATIVE RULES Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

19. Contact Name	20. Contact Phone Number
Sharon Henes	(608) 261-2377

This document can be made available in alternate formats to individuals with disabilities upon request.

STATE OF WISCONSIN DEPARTMENT OF ADMINISTRATION DOA-2049 (R09/2016) DIVISION OF EXECUTIVE BUDGET AND FINANCE 101 EAST WILSON STREET, 10TH FLOOR P.O. BOX 7864 MADISON, WI 53707-7864 FAX: (608) 267-0372

ADMINISTRATIVE RULES Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

ATTACHMENT A

 Summary of Rule's Economic and Fiscal Impact on Small Businesses (Separately for each Small Business Sector, Include Implementation and Compliance Costs Expected to be Incurred)
2. Summary of the data sources used to measure the Rule's impact on Small Businesses
3. Did the agency consider the following methods to reduce the impact of the Rule on Small Businesses? Less Stringent Compliance or Reporting Requirements Less Stringent Schedules or Deadlines for Compliance or Reporting Consolidation or Simplification of Reporting Requirements Establishment of performance standards in lieu of Design or Operational Standards Exemption of Small Businesses from some or all requirements Other, describe:
4. Describe the methods incorporated into the Rule that will reduce its impact on Small Businesses
5. Describe the Rule's Enforcement Provisions
6. Did the Agency prepare a Cost Benefit Analysis (if Yes, attach to form) ☐ Yes ☐ No