STATE OF WISCONSIN DEPARTMENT OF ADMINISTRATION DOA-2049 (R09/2016) DIVISION OF EXECUTIVE BUDGET AND FINANCE 101 EAST WILSON STREET, 10TH FLOOR P.O. BOX 7864 MADISON, WI 53707-7864 FAX: (608) 267-0372

## ADMINISTRATIVE RULES Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

1. Type of Estimate and Analysis	2. Date	
☐ Original ☐ Updated ☐ Corrected	14 March 2018	
3. Administrative Rule Chapter, Title and Number (and Clearinghouse Number if applicable) Phar 7.20		
4. Subject Automated technology conducting product verification		
5. Fund Sources Affected  GPR FED PRO PRS SEG SEG-S	6. Chapter 20, Stats. Appropriations Affected	
7. Fiscal Effect of Implementing the Rule  ☑ No Fiscal Effect ☐ Increase Existing Revenues ☐ Indeterminate ☐ Decrease Existing Revenues	☐ Increase Costs ☐ Decrease Costs ☐ Could Absorb Within Agency's Budget	
8. The Rule Will Impact the Following (Check All That Apply)  State's Economy  Local Government Units  Specific Businesses/Sectors  Public Utility Rate Payers  Small Businesses (if checked, complete Attachment A)		
<ol> <li>Estimate of Implementation and Compliance to Businesses, Loca</li> <li>\$0.00</li> </ol>	al Governmental Units and Individuals, per s. 227.137(3)(b)(1).	
10. Would Implementation and Compliance Costs Businesses, Local Governmental Units and Individuals Be \$10 Million or more Over Any 2-year Period, per s. 227.137(3)(b)(2)?  ☐ Yes ☐ No		
11. Policy Problem Addressed by the Rule This rule allows for automated technology to complete the product verification. The Pharmacy Examining Board initiated a pilot program on October 1, 2016 and has determined that the procedures utilized in the pilot program were sufficient for the safety of the public and increases the availability of a pharmacist for involvement in other patient care activities.		
12. Summary of the Businesses, Business Sectors, Associations Representing Business, Local Governmental Units, and Individuals that may be Affected by the Proposed Rule that were Contacted for Comments.  This rule was posted for economic comments and none were received.		
13. Identify the Local Governmental Units that Participated in the Development of this EIA.  None		
14. Summary of Rule's Economic and Fiscal Impact on Specific Businesses, Business Sectors, Public Utility Rate Payers, Local Governmental Units and the State's Economyas a Whole (Include Implementation and Compliance Costs Expected to be Incurred)		
This rule will not have an economic or fiscal impact on specific businesses, business sectors, public utility rate payers, local governmental units or the State's Economy as a Whole.		
15. Benefits of Implementing the Rule and Alternative(s) to Implementing the Rule The benefit of the implementing this rule is to allow for the pharmacist to be involved in other patient care activitites.		
16. Long Range Implications of Implementing the Rule The long range implication is automated technology will complete other patient care activities.		
17. Compare With Approaches Being Used by Federal Governmen None	t	

18. Compare With Approaches Being Used by Neighboring States (Illinois, Iowa, Michigan and Minnesota)

STATE OF WISCONSIN DEPARTMENT OF ADMINISTRATION DOA-2049 (R09/2016) DIVISION OF EXECUTIVE BUDGET AND FINANCE 101 EAST WILSON STREET, 10TH FLOOR P.O. BOX 7864 MADISON, WI 53707-7864 FAX: (608) 267-0372

## ADMINISTRATIVE RULES Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

Iowa allows for automated technology to conduct the product verification if the system utilizes barcode scanning technology and the product is prestocked and no manipulation of drug or package other than affixing a patient label is taking place. Illinois, Michigan and Minnesota do not allow for automated technology to compete the product verification.

19. Contact Name	20. Contact Phone Number
Sharon Henes	(608) 261-2377

This document can be made available in alternate formats to individuals with disabilities upon request.

STATE OF WISCONSIN DEPARTMENT OF ADMINISTRATION DOA-2049 (R09/2016) DIVISION OF EXECUTIVE BUDGET AND FINANCE 101 EAST WILSON STREET, 10TH FLOOR P.O. BOX 7864 MADISON, WI 53707-7864 FAX: (608) 267-0372

## ADMINISTRATIVE RULES Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

## ATTACHMENT A

<ol> <li>Summaryof Rule's Economic and Fiscal Impact on Small Businesses (Separatelyfor each Small Business Sector, Include Implementation and Compliance Costs Expected to be Incurred)</li> </ol>
2. Summary of the data sources used to measure the Rule's impact on Small Businesses
3. Did the agency consider the following methods to reduce the impact of the Rule on Small Businesses?  Less Stringent Compliance or Reporting Requirements
Less Stringent Schedules or Deadlines for Compliance or Reporting  Consolidation or Simplification of Reporting Requirements
☐ Establishment of performance standards in lieu of Design or Operational Standards ☐ Exemption of Small Businesses from some or all requirements
☐ Other, describe:
4. Describe the methods incorporated into the Rule that will reduce its impact on Small Businesses
5. Describe the Rule's Enforcement Provisions
6. Did the Agency prepare a Cost Benefit Analysis (if Yes, attach to form)  ☐ Yes ☐ No