STATE OF WISCONSIN DEPARTMENT OF ADMINISTRATION DOA-2049 (R03/2012) DIVISION OF EXECUTIVE BUDGET AND FINANCE 101 EAST WILSON STREET, 10TH FLOOR P.O. BOX 7864 MADISON, WI 53707-7864 FAX: (608) 267-0372

ADMINISTRATIVE RULES Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

Type of Estimate and Analysis Original □ Updated □ Corrected		
2. Administrative Rule Chapter, Title and Number DCF 101, Wisconsin Works; DCF 120, Emergency Assistance		
3. Subject Asset restrictions for Wisconsin Works and Emergency Assistance		
4. Fund Sources Affected ☐ GPR ☐ FED ☐ PRO ☐ PRS ☐ SEG ☐ SEG-S	5. Chapter 20, Stats. Appropriations Affected	
6. Fiscal Effect of Implementing the Rule ☑ No Fiscal Effect ☐ Increase Existing Revenues ☐ Indeterminate ☐ Decrease Existing Revenues	☐ Increase Costs ☐ Could Absorb Within Agency's Budget ☐ Decrease Cost	
7. The Rule Will Impact the Following (Check All That Apply) State's Economy Specific Businesses/Sectors Public Utility Rate Payers Small Businesses (if checked, complete Attachment A)		
8. Would Implementation and Compliance Costs Be Greater Than \$20 million? ☐ Yes ☐ No		
9. Policy Problem Addressed by the Rule The proposed rule creates hardship exemptions to the asset restriciton in s. 49.145 (3) (a), Stats.		
 Summary of the businesses, business sectors, associations representing business, local governmental units, and individuals that may be affected by the proposed rule that were contacted for comments. Wisconsin Works agencies, Kids Forward, Legal Action of Wisconsin, WISCAP, and Community Advocates. 		
11. Identify the local governmental units that participated in the development of this EIA. No comments were received.		
12. Summaryof Rule's Economic and Fiscal Impact on Specific Businesses, Business Sectors, Public Utility Rate Payers, Local Governmental Units and the State's Economy as a Whole (Include Implementation and Compliance Costs Expected to be Incurred)		
An applicant or participant in the Wisconsin Works program is exempt from the asset restriction in s. 49.145 (3) (a), Stats., providing that individuals in a Wisconsin Works group may own a home that serves as a		
homestead for the group only if the home is valued at no more than 200 percent of the statewide median value for homes.		
Section 49.145 (3) (a), Stats., increased administrative costs for W-2 agencies that now need to determine the value of an applicant or participant's home and the rule further increases administrative costs as the agencies agency need to determine whether a hardship exists if the home's value exceeds the limit.		
13. Benefits of Implementing the Rule and Alternative(s) to Implementing the Rule Hardship exemptions are created for circumstances when no member of the group has the legal right to sell the home; the group had a sudden loss of income; the group includes an incapitated member; and when the individual is or has been a victim of domestic abuse or is at risk of further domestic abuse, the home is jointly owned with the abuser, and the abuser is not a member of the Wisconsin Works group.		
14. Long Range Implications of Implementing the Rule None		

STATE OF WISCONSIN DEPARTMENT OF ADMINISTRATION DOA-2049 (R03/2012) DIVISION OF EXECUTIVE BUDGET AND FINANCE 101 EAST WILSON STREET, 10TH FLOOR P.O. BOX 7864 MADISON, WI 53707-7864 FAX: (608) 267-0372

ADMINISTRATIVE RULES Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

15. Compare With Approaches Being Used by Federal Government Not applicable		
16. Compare With Approaches Being Used by Neighboring States (Illinois, Iowa, Michigan and Minnesota)		
Not applicable		
17. Contact Name	18. Contact Phone Number	
Elaine Pridgen	(608) 442-7077	

This document can be made available in alternate formats to individuals with disabilities upon request.

STATE OF WISCONSIN DEPARTMENT OF ADMINISTRATION DOA-2049 (R03/2012) DIVISION OF EXECUTIVE BUDGET AND FINANCE 101 EAST WILSON STREET, 10TH FLOOR P.O. BOX 7864 MADISON, WI 53707-7864 FAX: (608) 267-0372

ADMINISTRATIVE RULES Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

ATTACHMENT A

 Summary of Rule's Economic and Fiscal Impact on Small Businesses (Separatelyfor each Small Business Sector, Include Implementation and Compliance Costs Expected to be Incurred)
NA
2. Summary of the data sources used to measure the Rule's impact on Small Businesses NA
3. Did the agency consider the following methods to reduce the impact of the Rule on Small Businesses? Less Stringent Compliance or Reporting Requirements Less Stringent Schedules or Deadlines for Compliance or Reporting Consolidation or Simplification of Reporting Requirements Establishment of performance standards in lieu of Design or Operational Standards Exemption of Small Businesses from some or all requirements Other, describe:
4. Describe the methods incorporated into the Rule that will reduce its impact on Small Businesses NA
5. Describe the Rule's Enforcement Provisions NA
6. Did the Agency prepare a Cost Benefit Analysis (if Yes, attach to form) ☐ Yes ☑ No