Phar 4,5

received 6-14-79 4:10 pm Gfr

CERTIFICATE

STATE OF WISCONSIN)
DEPARTMENT OF REGULATION AND LICENSING)SS
PHARMACY EXAMINING BOARD)

TO ALL TO WHOM THESE PRESENTS SHALL COME, GREETINGS:

I, R. James Bohn, Executive Secretary of the Pharmacy Examining Board and custodian of the offical records of said board do hereby certify that the annexed rules relating to fee schedule and pleading practice and procedure were duly approved and adopted by this board on June 9, 1979.

I further certify that said copy has been compared by me with the original on file in this board and that the same is a true copy thereof, and of the whole of such original.

IN TESTIMONY WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand and affixed the official seal of the board at Washington Square Building in the city of Madison, this ______ day of June A.D. 1979.

James Bohn, Executive Secretary

Pharmacy Examining Board

386-730

STATE OF WISCONSIN DEPARTMENT OF REGULATION AND LICENSING PHARMACY EXAMINING BOARD

IN THE MATTER OF THE REPEAL OF
PHAR 4 AND PHAR 5, WIS. ADMIN.

CODE, RELATING TO PLEADING
PRACTICE AND PROCEDURE AND FEE
SCHEDULE

: ORDER REPEALING RULES
SECTION 227.02(1)(b) WIS. STATS.

SCHEDULE

Pursuant to the authority vested in the Pharmacy Examining Board by ss. 15.08, 227.014, 227.02, 450.02 and 450.04 Wis. Stats., and in conformance with the provisions of 440.03 and 440.05 Wis. Stats., the Pharmacy Examining Board hereby repeals rules as follows:

Chapters Phar 4 and Phar 5 of the WISCONSIN ADMINISTRATIVE CODE are repealed.

The repeals contained herein shall take effect on August 1, 1979.

Dated: June 9, 1979

PHARMACY EXAMINING BOARD

630-125

Administrative Rule FISCAL NOTE

Agency		Rule No.
Department of Regulation & Licensing		Phar 4 and Phar 5
	•	Subsection
Pharmacy Examining Board		·
Subject (Title) of Rule	•	
Pleading, Practice and Procedure; Fee Sche	dule	
Local Fiscal Effect	_	
Local: Increase/decrease Existing	No Local Fiscal Effect	
Fiscal Liability Create New Fiscal Liability Increase/Decrease Existing Revenues		
Types of Local Governmental Units Affected: () Towns () Villages () Cities	() Counties	() Others
Is Fiscal Effect: () Permissive () Mandatory	1	
Assumptions and methodology used in arriving at local fiscal impa	act or rationale for concl	uding that there is no fiscal impact.
The proposed repeal of the rules was revie be no local fiscal effect.		termined there will
Long—Range Fiscal Implications		
	•	
<u> </u>	<u> </u>	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	Marin	1./1./20

Agency Head Signature

Date