

Chapter DOD 6

WISCONSIN DEVELOPMENT FUND

Subchapter I — Annual Public Facilities Competition	DOD 6.46 Application content (p. 35)
DOD 6.01 Purpose (p. 21)	DOD 6.47 Evaluation criteria (p. 36)
DOD 6.02 Eligibility (p. 22)	DOD 6.48 Contracts (p. 36)
DOD 6.03 Definitions (p. 22)	DOD 6.49 Reporting and auditing (p. 37)
DOD 6.04 National objectives (p. 23)	DOD 6.50 Administration (p. 37)
DOD 6.05 Public facility scoring system (p. 23)	DOD 6.51 Board operations (p. 37)
DOD 6.06 Distress indicators (p. 23)	Subchapter V — Technology Development, Technology-Based Incubator and Applied Research in Technology Fund
DOD 6.07 Needs assessment (p. 24)	DOD 6.60 Purpose (p. 37)
DOD 6.08 Planning (p. 24)	DOD 6.61 Definitions (p. 38)
DOD 6.09 Past effort (p. 24)	DOD 6.62 Eligible applicants (p. 39)
DOD 6.10 Equal opportunity (p. 25)	DOD 6.63 Grant and loan amounts (p. 39)
DOD 6.105 Leveraging (p. 25)	DOD 6.64 Match requirements (p. 40)
DOD 6.11 Comparison of communities (p. 26)	DOD 6.65 Application content (p. 40)
DOD 6.12 Distribution of funds (p. 26)	DOD 6.66 Evaluation criteria (p. 43)
DOD 6.13 Application process (p. 26)	DOD 6.67 Contracts (p. 44)
Subchapter II — Economic Development Program and Public Facilities Economic Development Program	DOD 6.68 Reporting and auditing (p. 44)
DOD 6.14 Applicability (p. 26)	DOD 6.69 Administration (p. 44)
DOD 6.15 Scoring system (p. 27)	DOD 6.70 Board operations (p. 44-1)
DOD 6.16 Minimum requirements (p. 27)	Subchapter VI — Major Economic Development Fund
DOD 6.17 Application manual (p. 28)	DOD 6.80 Purpose (p. 44-1)
DOD 6.18 Distribution of funds (p. 28)	DOD 6.81 Definitions (p. 44-1)
DOD 6.20 Selection (p. 29)	DOD 6.82 Eligible applicants (p. 44-2)
DOD 6.21 Business relocation (p. 29)	DOD 6.83 Match requirements (p. 44-2)
DOD 6.22 Type of assistance (p. 29)	DOD 6.84 Application content (p. 44-3)
DOD 6.23 Unfair competition (p. 30)	DOD 6.85 Evaluation criteria (p. 45)
DOD 6.24 Employment commitments (p. 30)	DOD 6.86 Contracts (p. 46)
Subchapter III — Emergency Grants	DOD 6.87 Reporting and auditing (p. 47)
DOD 6.30 Purpose (p. 30)	DOD 6.88 Administration (p. 47)
DOD 6.31 Eligibility (p. 30)	DOD 6.89 Board operations (p. 47)
DOD 6.32 Funding (p. 30)	Subchapter VII — Small Business Innovation Research Bridge Financing
DOD 6.33 Grant application (p. 31)	DOD 6.90 Purpose (p. 47)
DOD 6.34 Allocation (p. 31)	DOD 6.91 Definitions (p. 47)
Subchapter IV — Customized Labor Training Fund	DOD 6.92 Eligible applicants (p. 48)
DOD 6.40 Purpose (p. 31)	DOD 6.93 Award amounts (p. 48)
DOD 6.405 Policy and plan (p. 31)	DOD 6.94 Repayment of bridge awards (p. 48-1)
DOD 6.41 Definitions (p. 32)	DOD 6.95 Match requirements (p. 48-1)
DOD 6.42 Eligible applicants (p. 33)	DOD 6.96 Application contents (p. 48-1)
DOD 6.43 Eligible providers (p. 33)	DOD 6.97 Eligible and ineligible expenditures (p. 48-1)
DOD 6.44 Grant and loan amounts and restrictions (p. 33)	DOD 6.98 Reporting (p. 48-2)
DOD 6.45 Match requirements (p. 35)	DOD 6.99 Administration (p. 48-2)

Note: Chapter DOD 6 was repealed and recreated by emergency rule effective 5-18-83.

Subchapter I — Annual Public Facilities Competition

DOD 6.01 Purpose. The purpose of subchapters I, II and III is to set forth the criteria the department will use in administering the federal funds in the Wisconsin development fund that the department receives pursuant to 42 USC 5301 to 5319 and 24 CFR 570.480 to 570.496.

History: Cr. Register, February, 1982, No. 314, eff. 3-1-82; emerg. am. eff. 10-6-87; am. Register, March, 1988, No. 387, eff. 4-1-88; am. Register, January, 1994, No. 457.

Register, January, 1994, No. 457

DOD 6.02 Eligibility. Any city, village or town with a population of less than 50,000 that is not eligible to apply for or participate in the federal block grant entitlement program and any county, other than an urban county as defined by the United States department of housing and urban development, may apply for federal funds under the Wisconsin development fund.

History: Cr. Register, February, 1982, No. 314, eff. 3-1-82; emerg. am. eff. 10-6-87; am. Register, March, 1988, No. 387, eff. 4-1-88.

DOD 6.03 Definitions. In subchs. I and II:

(1) "Adjusted gross income per capita" means the average individual adjusted gross income as reported on the individual income tax return within an applicant local government and statewide for Wisconsin income tax purposes.

(2) "Application" means a request for funding for either economic development, public facilities economic development or public facility projects as provided in this chapter.

(3) "Department" means the department of development.

(4) "Economic development program" means the continuous receipt and review of applications for economic development projects as provided in subch. II of this chapter.

(5) "Full value per capita" means the estimated market value of all taxable property in the municipality divided by the number of persons residing in the municipality.

(6) "Metropolitan area" means any county which is within a standard metropolitan statistical area.

(7) "Net mill rate" means the total of all property taxes levied by the local government for all purposes less general property tax relief payments divided by the estimated market value of taxable property in the local government.

(8) "Non-metropolitan area" means any county not within a standard metropolitan statistical area.

(9) "Public facilities competition" means the receipt and review of applications for public facilities projects as provided in this subchapter.

(10) "Public facilities economic development program" means the continuous receipt and review of applications for public facilities projects that support economic development as provided in subch. II of this chapter.

(11) "Public facility system" means the land, right-of-ways, structures and equipment needed to meet a particular community need, such as water supply or storm drainage.

(12) "Residential utility rate" means the average annual household utility rate paid for water and sanitary sewer services determined by dividing the total gross annual residential water and sewer revenue collected for the preceding calendar year by the number of households served.

(13) "Service center" means an elderly center, center for the handicapped or similar facility which requires continued administrative funding to support the services provided by the facility.

(14) "Target area" means an area or areas within the municipality which contain a concentration of low and moderate income or minority households, and which include not more than 50% of the households of the municipality.

(15) "Urgent local need" means that the proposed public facility project is beyond the ability of the applicant to finance, as measured by available obligation debt capacity, residential utility rate and timely availability of funds from other sources, and is either necessary to address an imminent public health, safety or welfare problem or, is required by an order of a state agency, federal agency or court.

(16) "Wisconsin development fund grant" means a grant available to eligible applicants as provided in s. DOD 6.02 for the purpose of undertaking economic development projects, public facilities projects that support economic development and public facilities projects.

History: Cr. Register, February, 1982, No. 314, eff. 3-1-82; cr. (3a), am. (6), Register, November, 1983, No. 335, eff. 12-1-83; renum. (1) to be (1m) and am., cr. (1) and (1q), r. (3a) and (11), Register, November, 1984, No. 347, eff. 12-1-84; emerg. cr. (1) (intro.), (1f) and (11), r. (1m), (6) and (13), renum. (1q), (14) to (16) to be (2m) (16), (14) and (15) and am. (2m), (14) and (16), eff. 10-6-87; cr. (1) (intro.), (1f) and (11), am. (1), r. (1m), (6) and (13), renum. (1q), (14), (15) and (16) to be (2m), (16), (14) and (15) and am. (2m), (14) and (16), Register, March, 1988, No. 387, eff. 4-1-88; renum. (1), (1f) to (5) and (12) to be (9), (2) to (6) and (13) and am. (2) and (9), cr. (1), (12), r. (4) and (9), r. and recr. (10) and (15), and am. (7), (16), Register, January, 1994, No. 457, eff. 2-1-94.

DOD 6.04 National objectives. Each application and each project proposed in an application shall meet either of the following requirements:

(1) **BENEFIT TO LOW AND-MODERATE INCOME PERSONS.** The percentage of requested funds to be used for the direct benefit of low and-moderate income persons must meet at least the minimum requirements of 24CFR 570.483 (b) (2).

(2) **URGENT LOCAL NEED.** For those applications which propose projects that have a local government-wide benefit and which are proposed in a local government that has a smaller percentage of low and moderate-income persons than specified in the application manual prepared by the department, the projects must meet an urgent local need.

History: Cr. Register, February, 1982, No. 314, eff. 3-1-82; am. Register, November, 1984, No. 347, eff. 12-1-84; emerg. am. eff. 10-6-87; am. Register, March, 1988, No. 387, eff. 4-1-88; r. and recr., Register, January, 1994, No. 457, eff. 2-1-94.

DOD 6.05 Public facility scoring system. The allocation of Wisconsin development fund grants distributed under the public facility competition shall be based upon the comparative ranking of applications. Comparative ranking is established by respective points awarded pursuant to ss. DOD 6.06 to 6.11. A local government may submit one application during each public facility competition. After reviewing the applications received during any public facilities competition, the department may establish a minimum score of 275 for funding.

History: Cr. Register, February, 1982, No. 314, eff. 3-1-82; r. and recr., Register, January, 1994, No. 457, eff. 2-1-94.

DOD 6.06 Distress indicators. Distress indicators have a total value of 100 points as follows:

(1) **NET MILL RATE.** Scores shall range from 25 for those applicants with the highest net mill rates to 0 for those applicants with the lowest net mill rates. The 10% of applicants with the lowest net mill rates shall receive a score of 0. The remaining 90% of applicants will be sorted into 20 groups so that applicants in the group with the highest net mill rates receive 25 and applicants in each succeeding group receive a score 5% less than the score of the preceding group.

(2) **FULL VALUE PER CAPITA.** Scores shall range from 25 for those applicants with the lowest full values per capita to 0 for those applicants with the highest full values per capita. The 10% of applicants with the highest full value per capita shall receive a score of 0. The remaining 90% of applicants will be sorted into 20 groups so that applicants in the group with the lowest full value per capita receive 25 and applicants in each succeeding group receive a score 5% less than the score of the preceding group.

(3) **ADJUSTED GROSS INCOME PER CAPITA.** Scores shall range from 50 for those applicants with the lowest adjusted gross income per capita to 0 for those applicants with the highest adjusted gross income per capita. The 10% of applicants with the highest gross income per capita shall receive a score of 0. The remaining 90% of applicants will be sorted into 20 groups so that applicants in the group with the lowest adjusted gross income per capita receive 25 points and applicants in each succeeding group receive a score 5% less than the score of the preceding group.

History: Cr. Register, February, 1982, No. 314, eff. 3-1-82; am. (intro.), (1) (a), (2) (a) and (3) (a), Register, November, 1983, No. 335, eff. 12-1-83; am. (intro.) and (2) (c) 2., r. (1), Register, November, 1984, No. 347, eff. 12-1-84; emerg. am. (intro.), (2) (a) 1. to 4., (b) 2. and 3., (3) (a) 1. to 3., (b) 1. to 3., (c) 1. to 3., r. and recr. (2) (c) 1. to 3., eff. 10-6-87; am. (intro.), (2) (a) 1. to 4., (b) 2. and 3., (3) (a) 1. to 3., (b) 1. to 3., (c) 1. to 3., r. and recr. (2) (c) 1. to 3., Register, March, 1988, No. 387, eff. 4-1-88; r. and recr., Register, January, 1994, No. 457, eff. 2-1-94.

DOD 6.07 Needs assessment. Applicants shall receive 75, 50, 25 or 0 for describing and documenting the need for public facility projects as follows:

(1) An applicant shall receive 75 if it has identified, described and documented, by an outside agency, at the state level if possible, its public facility deficiencies, has proposed activities that will alleviate the problem and has shown that the activities are necessary to alleviate an urgent health and safety problem or are otherwise essential to the community.

(2) An applicant shall receive 50 if it has identified, described and documented the public facility deficiencies, has proposed activities that will alleviate the identified problems and has shown that the activities are necessary to alleviate an imminent health and safety problem or are otherwise essential to the community.

(3) An applicant shall receive 25 if it has identified, described and documented the public facility deficiencies and has proposed activities that will assist in alleviating the identified problem.

(4) An applicant which fails to meet the requirements of sub. (3) shall receive 0.

History: Cr. Register, February, 1982, No. 314, eff. 3-1-82; am. (intro.) and (1), Register, November, 1983, No. 335, eff. 12-1-83; emerg. am. (intro.) and (2), eff. 10-6-87; am. (intro.) and (2), Register, March, 1988, No. 387, eff. 4-1-88; r. and recr., Register, January, 1994, No. 457, eff. 2-1-94.

Register, January, 1994, No. 457

(1) Whether the project is in a targeted area based on the following indices.

1. The level of unemployment in the area.
2. The average household income in the area.
3. The percentage of households in the area receiving aid to families with dependent children under s. 49.19, Stats.
4. The rate of decline in the population in the area.
5. The reduction of property values in the area.
6. The number of workers in the area that have been permanently laid off by their employers or the existence of public notice by an employer of either a plant closing or a substantial reduction in work force that will result in a significant number of workers in the area being laid off permanently.
7. Designation of the area as a development zone under s. 560.71, Stats.

History: Cr. Register, March, 1988, No. 387, eff. 4-1-88; cr. (2) (1), Register, January, 1992, No. 433, eff. 2-1-92.

DOD 6.48 Contracts. Successful applicants shall be required to enter into a contract with the department for the purpose of implementing the proposed grant or loan. The contracts shall be signed by the secretary of development and the chief executive officer of the business, or by their authorized representatives. The department may void a contract and seek a return of funds released under the contract for failure by the business to perform its obligations under the contract. Amendments to these contracts may be adopted by the consent of both of the original signatories. However, no change may be authorized if the jobs for which the training is provided are to be moved out of state or the job classification or the skill levels are to be downgraded. Any relocation from Wisconsin to any other state of the jobs for which the training is provided or any change in the job classifications or skill level involved shall void the contract and all funds paid to date shall be refunded to the department for use in support of other applications to the fund. These restrictions apply only to jobs described in the training application provided in s. DOD 6.46 and apply only for a term subject to negotiation between the successful applicant and the department.

History: Cr. Register, March, 1988, No. 387, eff. 4-1-88.

DOD 6.49 Reporting and auditing. Successful applicants will be required to provide the department periodic financial and program reports. A financial audit and final program report shall be submitted at the end of the contract. The cost of the audit may be covered by the grant or loan except as provided under s. DOD 6.44 (2) (d). The financial reports, audit and the program reports shall be submitted to the department as specified in the contract. The financial audit and the program reports become the property of the department and are open to public inspection.

History: Cr. Register, March, 1988, No. 387, eff. 4-1-88.

DOD 6.50 Administration. The department shall be responsible for soliciting applications for the fund, reviewing applications, making

recommendations to the board on the disposition of applications, authorizing payments and otherwise implementing contractual obligations entailed in grants or loans made under the terms of this subchapter, monitoring the provision of the training, receiving and reviewing the financial reports and program reports submitted under s. DOD 6.49 and for collecting any repayments of grants and loans from successful applicants. The department shall report semiannually to the chairs of the economic development standing committees of the legislature on the status of the program.

History: Cr. Register, March, 1988, No. 387, eff. 4-1-88.

DOD 6.51 Board operations. The board shall consider the recommendation of the department relating to the criteria under s. DOD 6.47. The board shall approve an application before the department can enter into a contract under the terms of the fund. The department shall maintain records of its proceedings and provide other staff support as may be necessary to the board.

History: Cr. Register, March, 1988, No. 387, eff. 4-1-88; am. Register, January, 1992, No. 433, eff. 2-1-92.

Subchapter V — Technology Development, Technology-Based Incubator and Applied Research in Technology Fund

DOD 6.60 Purpose. The purpose of this subchapter is to establish the procedure for the administration of technology development grants or loans, technology-based incubator grants or loans and applied research in technology grants by the department of development, as provided by ss. 560.605, 560.62, 560.64 and 560.665, Stats.

History: Cr. Register, March, 1988, No. 387, eff. 4-1-88; r. and recr. Register, February, 1991, No. 422, eff. 3-1-91.

DOD 6.61 Definitions. In this subchapter.

(1) "Application" means a proposal from an eligible applicant as specified in s. DOD 6.62 containing all applicable elements required in s. DOD 6.65.

(2) "Applied research" has the meaning provided in s. 560.60 (1e), Stats.

(3) "Applied research in technology grant" means a grant made under this chapter as described in s. DOD 6.62 (3).

(4) "Biotechnology" has the meaning provided in s. 560.60 (1m), Stats.

(5) "Board" has the meaning provided in s. 560.60 (1), Stats.

(6) "Business" has the meaning provided in s. 560.60 (2), Stats.

(7) "Consortium" has the meaning provided in s. 560.60 (3), Stats.

(8) "Department" means the department of development.

(9) "Eligible researcher" has the meaning provided in s. 560.60 (4m), Stats.

(10) "Financial audit" means an audit performed in accordance with the standards adopted by the American institute of certified public accountants, as provided in s. 560.60 (4n), Stats. Register, January, 1992, No. 433.

countants on the revenues and expenditures made in association with a grant or loan under this subchapter.

(11) "Financing is unavailable from any other source on reasonably equivalent terms" means any of the following:

(a) Financing has been declined for the project.

(b) The maturity, rate or the amount of available financing or requirements related to the financing, such as collateral, equity, closing costs, service charges and repayment schedules offered on such financing are materially different from the financing available from the Wisconsin development fund.

(12) "Fund" means the technology development, technology based incubator and applied research in technology fund.

(13) "Higher educational institution" has the meaning provided in s. 560.60 (8), Stats.

(14) "Job" has the meaning provided in s. 560.60 (10), Stats.

(15) "Nonprofit business development organization" has the meaning provided in s. 560.60 (12), Stats.

(16) "Program report" means a report to the department describing progress made toward achieving goals specified under s. DOD 6.65.

(17) "Project" has the meaning provided in s. 560.60 (14), Stats.

(18) "Royalty" means a share of future profits from, or an interest in, a product or process.

(19) "Technically oriented business" has the meaning provided in s. 560.90, Stats.

(20) "Technology" includes biotechnology.

(21) "Technology-based incubator" has the meaning provided in s. 560.60 (18), Stats.

(22) "Technology-based incubator grant or loan" means a grant or loan made under this subchapter as described in s. DOD 6.63 (2).

(23) "Technology development grant or loan" means a grant or loan made under this subchapter as described in s. DOD 6.62 (1).

History: Cr. Register, March, 1988, No. 387, eff. 4-1-88; r. and recr. Register, February, 1991, No. 422, eff. 3-1-91.

DOD 6.62 Eligible applicants. (1) Any consortium with a proposed technical research project that is intended to result in the development of a new, or the improvement of, an existing industrial product or process may apply for a technology development grant or loan under this subchapter.

(2) Any nonprofit business development organization may apply for a technology-based incubator grant or loan under this subchapter.

DOD 6

(3) Any higher educational institution with a proposed applied research in technology project may apply for an applied research in technology grant under this subchapter.

History: Cr. Register, March, 1988, No. 387, eff. 4-1-88; r. and recr. Register, February, 1991, No. 422, eff. 3-1-91.

DOD 6.63 Grant and loan amounts. (1) Wisconsin development funds expended or encumbered under this subchapter for technology development projects located outside a targeted area may not exceed 40% of the total budgets of all technology development projects located outside a targeted area which are awarded grants or loans under this subsection in any fiscal year. A determination as to whether the project will be funded as a grant or loan will be at the discretion of the board. Insofar as it is practical, the board will require repayment of the funds plus a reasonable return on the investment. The repayment provision shall be determined on a case-by-case basis by the board.

(2) The board may award a technology-based incubator grant of up to \$5,000 for a feasibility study of the need for and initial designs of a technology-based incubator in a particular region of the state. The board may make technology-based incubator awards of up to \$250,000 to fund the initial development and operation of a proposed technology-based incubator. The board shall determine the relevant terms of awards for the operation of technology-based incubators in accordance with pars. (a) and (b).

(a) Terms shall be designed to make the operation of the proposed technology-based incubator viable.

(b) Terms shall be designed, to the extent feasible, to produce repayment plus a reasonable return on the investment.

(3) The board may make one applied research in technology grant under s. 560.665 (2), Stats., of up to \$25,000 in connection with any applied research in technology proposal. The board may make one applied research in technology grant under s. 560.665 (3), Stats., of up to \$150,000 in connection with any applied research proposal.

History: Cr. Register, March, 1988, No. 387, eff. 4-1-88; r. and recr. Register, February, 1991, No. 422, eff. 3-1-91; am. (1), Register, January, 1992, No. 433, eff. 2-1-92.

DOD 6.64 Match requirements. The consortium shall provide a match for the technology development grant or loan received. The match shall not be less than 25% of the value of the research project. The board may establish a higher match requirement.

(2) The nonprofit business development organization shall provide a match for the technology-based incubator grant or loan received. The match shall not be less than 50% of the cost of the project if the project is located outside a targeted area. The board may require a higher match to the extent that the financial documentation in the application indicates the ability on the part of the nonprofit business development organization to finance a greater share of the project.

History: Cr. Register, March, 1988, No. 387, eff. 4-1-88; r. and recr. Register, February, 1991, No. 422, eff. 3-1-91; am. (2), Register, January, 1992, No. 433, eff. 2-1-92.

DOD 6.65 Application content. Applications from eligible applicants shall be submitted to the department.

Register, January, 1992, No. 433

(1) Each technology development application shall contain all of the following:

(a) The composition of the consortium including the location of the businesses and the higher educational institution and the extent of participation of each in the consortium.

(b) A written agreement specifying all of the following:

1. The ownership of any patents or licenses which result from the technical research.

2. Dissemination of information relating to the technical research.

3. The responsibilities of persons conducting the technical assistance.

(c) A statement of explanation of the way in which the funded activity will advance the research aims of the higher educational institution involved.

(d) A timetable for completion of grant- or loan-supported activities.

(e) A description of the new product or process and of the research and development activities to be supported by the consortium and a budget for those activities.

(f) An estimate of the potential benefit to the economy of the state of Wisconsin in terms of new jobs, increased productivity, expansion into new markets inside or outside the state or the initiation of new enterprises within the state.

(g) A statement, including supporting documentation, that the involved businesses are financially sound and a statement that no part of the grant funds will be used for purposes other than research and development of the new product or process for which the grant or loan is to be awarded.

(h) An explanation of why Wisconsin development fund assistance is needed.

(i) A description of the proposed match to be provided by the business.

(j) A schedule for repayment of the funds.

(k) A plan for conducting a financial audit of the grant or loan and for submitting program reports to the department on the results of the project.

(l) A pledge by the applicant business to maintain operations in the state after completion of activities supported by this grant.

(m) A summary of related research indicating the uniqueness of the proposed research and development activities.

(n) An explanation of how the proposed project addresses the standards under s. DOD 6.66.

(o) A plan for evaluating and reporting on the effectiveness of the activities supported by the award as measured by the production or introduction of a new process or of a saleable new product into the market and for reporting progress in completing activities described in the timetable.

(p) Documentation from a financial institution that financing is unavailable from any other source on reasonably equivalent terms.

(q) Other information the board may require to make a determination under this subchapter and s. 560.62, Stats.

(2) Each technology-based incubator application shall contain an explanation of how the proposed project addresses the standards and considerations under s. 560.605 (1) and (2), Stats.

(a) If the application is to fund a feasibility study, the application shall also contain the following:

1. Each of the items listed under sub. (1) (d), (h), (i), and (k).
2. A general analysis outlining the need for a feasibility study.
3. A written commitment from a person with recognized expertise and experience necessary to conduct the feasibility study.
4. The resume for the person who will be conducting the feasibility study.
5. A statement, including supporting documentation, that the eligible applicant is financially sound and a statement that no part of the grant funds will be used for overhead costs or to replace other sources of funds.
6. Other information the board may require to make a determination under this subchapter and s. 560.64, Stats.

(b) If the application is to fund the initial development and operation of a proposed technology-based incubator, the application shall also contain the following:

1. Each of the items listed under s. 560.64 (2) (a) to (g), Stats.
2. Each of the items listed under sub. (1) (d), (f), (h) to (l), and (p).
3. A description of the location of the proposed incubator.
4. Evidence of financial support for continued operation of the incubator.
5. A feasibility study, business plan and financial projections for the incubator.
6. A description of the managing organization's access to technical expertise to support the businesses in the incubator, including the support and involvement of one or more higher educational institutions.
7. A list of the businesses by type or by specific name that are expected to become tenants of the incubator.
8. A written policy by the applicant which provides for the movement of maturing businesses out of the incubator to independent operation within a reasonable period of time.
9. Evidence that the funds will not be used to replace other sources of funds.
10. A copy of the applicant's eligibility requirements and acceptance policies for businesses moving into the incubator.

11. Other information the board may require to make a determination under this subchapter and s. 560.64, Stats.

(3) Each applied research in technology application shall contain all of the following:

(a) An explanation of how the proposed project addresses the standards under s. 560.605 (3) (a) to (j), Stats.

(b) Each of the items listed under sub. (1) (d), (k), (m) and (o).

(c) A detailed statement of the problem which the proposed applied research proposal will address.

(d) A statement of the specific objectives to be attained by the proposed project and a description of how these objectives relate to the state's economic development interests.

(e) A review of the literature pertinent to the proposed research, including an assessment of previous research efforts.

(f) An explanation of why the research is not eligible for a technology development grant or loan.

(g) Resumes of everyone who will be involved in the research.

(h) An explanation of the potential commercial applications of the research and the beneficial effects upon Wisconsin businesses.

(i) Other information the board may require to make a determination under this subchapter and s. 560.665, Stats.

History: Cr. Register, March, 1988, No. 387, eff. 4-1-88; r. and recr. Register, February, 1991, No. 422, eff. 3-1-91.

DOD 6.66 Evaluation criteria. (1) The board shall determine that the project meets the requirements of s. 560.605 (1) (a) to (h), Stats., before funding a technology development project.

(2) The board shall consider the following before funding a technology development project:

(a) Each of the items contained in s. 560.605 (2) (a) to (e), Stats.

(b) The extent to which the business pledges to maintain operations in this state after completion of the technical research supported by grant funds.

(c) The technical soundness of the proposed research.

(d) The degree to which technological developments likely to come from the proposed research will be used in and be of benefit to the economy of this state.

(e) The contribution of the proposed research to the research aims of the higher educational institution.

(f) The time frame for repayment of the grant or loan funds and the amount of any additional royalties.

(g) The ratio of state dollars requested to the estimated number of jobs to be created or retained by the project, if successful.

(h) The ratio of the estimated annual wages resulting from the project, if successful, to the state dollars requested.

(i) The ratio of estimated future capital investment to the state dollars requested over a five-year period.

(j) The ratio of the state dollars requested to the private dollars committed to the project.

(k) The extent to which the business exports goods or services outside state borders and the extent to which the new product or process, if successful, will be exported outside state borders.

(l) The likely economic benefits of the project compared with the public cost of the project.

(m) The extent to which the project is likely to contribute to the growth of existing Wisconsin businesses or is likely to spur the creation of new Wisconsin businesses.

(n) Whether the project is in a targeted area based on the following indices.

1. The level of unemployment in the area.
2. The average household income in the area.
3. The percentage of households in the area receiving aid to families with dependent children under s. 49.19, Stats.
4. The rate of decline in the population in the area.
5. The reduction of property values in the area.
6. The number of workers in the area that have been permanently laid off by their employers or the existence of public notice by an employer of either a plant closing or a substantial reduction in work force that will result in a significant number of workers in the area being laid off permanently.
7. Designation of the area as a development zone under s. 560.71, Stats.

(3) The board shall determine whether the project meets the requirements of s. 560.605 (1) (a) to (f) and (h), Stats. before funding a technology-based incubator project.

(4) The board shall consider the following before funding a technology-based incubator project:

- (a) Each of the items in sub. (2) (a), (f) to (j), (l) and (m).
- (b) If the project is the initial development and operation of a proposed technology-based incubator, the board shall also consider the following:
 1. Each of the items in s. 560.64 (2) (a) to (g), Stats.
 2. The extent to which the applicant pledges to maintain the incubator operations in Wisconsin after completion of the project supported by the Wisconsin development fund.

3. The extent to which the businesses supported by the incubator anticipate to export goods or services outside state borders.

(5) The board shall determine the following before funding an applied research in technology project:

(a) Each of the items in s. 560.605 (3), Stats.

(b) That the project is not eligible for funding as a technology development project.

(6) The board shall consider the following before funding an applied research in technology project:

(a) Whether the applied research project will include personnel with the necessary skills.

(b) The likely economic benefits of the project compared with the public cost of the project.

(c) The extent to which the project is likely to contribute to the growth of existing Wisconsin businesses or is likely to spur the creation of new Wisconsin businesses.

(d) Other financial support being contributed for the research project.

History: Cr. Register, March, 1988, No. 387, eff. 4-1-88; r. and recr. Register, February, 1991, No. 422, eff. 3-1-91; cr. (2) (n), Register, January, 1992, No. 433, eff. 2-1-92.

DOD 6.67 Contracts. Successful applicants shall enter into a contract with the department for the purpose of implementing the proposed grant or loan. The contracts shall be signed by the secretary of development and the chief executive officer of the eligible recipient, or by their authorized representatives. The department may void a contract and seek a return of any funds released under the contract for failure by the grant or loan recipient to perform its obligations under the contract. Amendments to the contracts may be adopted by the consent of both of the original signatories.

History: Cr. Register, March, 1988, No. 387, eff. 4-1-88; r. and recr. Register, February, 1991, No. 422, eff. 3-1-91.

DOD 6.68 Reporting and auditing. Successful applicants shall provide the department periodic financial and program reports. A financial audit and final program report shall be submitted at the end of the contract. The cost of the audit may be covered by the grant or loan. The financial audit and the program reports become the property of the department and are open to public inspection.

History: Cr. Register, March, 1988, No. 387, eff. 4-1-88; r. and recr. Register, February, 1991, No. 422, eff. 3-1-91.

DOD 6.69 Administration. The department is responsible for soliciting applications from eligible applicants; reviewing applications; making recommendations to the board on the disposition of applications; entering into contracts with successful applicants; authorizing payments and otherwise implementing contractual obligations entailed in grants or loans made under this subchapter; for receiving the financial reports and program reports submitted under s. DOD 6.68; and for collecting any repayments of loans from successful applicants. The department shall

report semiannually to the chairs of the economic development standing committees of the legislature on the status of the program.

History: Cr. Register, March, 1988, No. 387, eff. 4-1-88; r. and recr. Register, February, 1991, No. 422, eff. 3-1-91.

DOD 6.70 Board operations. The board shall consider the recommendation of the department relating to the criteria under s. DOD 6.66. The board shall approve an application before the department can enter into a contract under the terms of the fund. The department shall maintain records of its proceedings and provide other staff support as may be necessary to the board.

History: Cr. Register, March, 1988, No. 387, eff. 4-1-88; r. and recr. Register, February, 1991, No. 422, eff. 3-1-91; am. Register, January, 1992, No. 433, eff. 2-1-92.

Subchapter VI — Major Economic Development Fund

DOD 6.80 Purpose. The purpose of this subchapter is to establish a procedure for the administration of major economic development grants or loans by the department of development, as provided by s. 560.62, 560.63 or 560.66, Stats., that are funded under s. 20.143 (1) (d), Stats.

History: Cr. Register, March, 1988, No. 387, eff. 4-1-88.

DOD 6.81 Definitions. The definitions in this section apply only in this subchapter.

(1) "Application" means a proposal from an eligible applicant as specified in s. DOD 6.82 containing all elements required in s. DOD 6.84.

(2) "Board" means the development finance board as defined in s. 15.155 (1), Stats.

(3) "Business" means a company located in this state, a company which has made a firm commitment to locate a facility in this state or a group of companies at least 80% of which are located in this state.

(4) "Consortium" means an association of a business and a higher educational institution or the Great Lakes composites consortium, which association is subject to an agreement complying with this chapter.

(5) "Department" means the department of development.

(6) "Financial audit" means an audit performed in accordance with the standards adopted by the American institute of certified public accountants on the revenues and expenditures made in association with a grant or loan under this fund.

(7) "Financing is unavailable from any other source on reasonably equivalent terms" means any of the following:

(a) Financing has been declined for the major economic development project.

(b) The maturity, rate or the amount of available financing or requirements related to the financing, such as collateral, equity, closing costs, service charges and repayment schedules offered on such financing are materially different from the financing available from the Wisconsin development fund.

Register, January, 1992, No. 433