

EXISTING ADMINISTRATIVE RULES Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

- Less Stringent Compliance or Reporting Requirements
- Less Stringent Schedules or Deadlines for Compliance or Reporting
- Consolidation or Simplification of Reporting Requirements
- Establishment of performance standards in lieu of Design or Operational Standards
- Exemption of Small Businesses from some or all requirements
- Other, describe: Elimination of obsolete forms and terminology.

10. Fund Sources Affected

- GPR FED PRO PRS SEG SEG-S

11. Chapter 20, Stats. Appropriations Affected

No fiscal effect.

12. Fiscal Effect of Repealing or Modifying the Rule

- No Fiscal Effect Increase Existing Revenues Increase Costs
 Indeterminate Decrease Existing Revenues Could Absorb Within Agency's Budget
 Decrease Cost

13. Summary of Costs and Benefits of Repealing or Modifying the Rule

No costs to the state, minimal costs to insurers and self-insured employers. Benefits for the state and for insurers and self-insured employers from the elimination of obsolete forms and terminology.

14. Did the Agency prepare a Cost Benefit Analysis (if Yes, attach to form)

- Yes No

15. Long Range Implications of Repealing or Modifying the Rule

None.

16. Compare With Approaches Being Used by Federal Government

Not applicable - there are no federal laws regulating worker's compensation insurance.

17. Compare With Approaches Being Used by Neighboring States (Illinois, Iowa, Michigan and Minnesota)

Iowa has generally similar rule provisions on required reports, similar provisions (statutes and a rule) on vocational rehabilitation, no rules on wrap-up insurance, no uninsured employer fund, statutory provisions on notices of cancellation, and no simplified process for necessity of treatment disputes.

Illinois has similar but somewhat more extensive (statutory) report requirements, more detailed (statutory) requirements for reports on vocational rehabilitation, no rules on wrap-up insurance, an uninsured employers fund that is run by statute, a more detailed rule on notices of cancellation, and no simplified process for necessity of treatment disputes.

Michigan has generally similar rule provisions on required reports, similar provisions (via statute) on vocational rehabilitation, somewhat similar rules on wrap-up insurance (Wisconsin's rules cover more projects), no uninsured employers fund, a similar rule on notices of cancellation, and no simplified process for necessity of treatment disputes.

Minnesota has more extensive rules for required reports, rules on vocational rehabilitation with more detailed requirements, no rules on wrap-up insurance, a statute establishing an uninsured employer fund, requirements of notices of cancellation set by statute, and no simplified process for necessity of treatment disputes.

18. Contact Name

Howard Bernstein, DWD Legal Counsel

19. Contact Phone Number

(608) 266-9427

This document can be made available in alternate formats to individuals with disabilities upon request.

EXISTING ADMINISTRATIVE RULES
Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis