ADMINISTRATIVE RULES FISCAL ESTIMATE					
AND ECONOMIC IMPACT ANALYSIS					
Type of Estimate and Analysis					
Chapter Tax 2 and 11 – Penalty for Failure to Produce Reco	rds				
Subject					
Penalty for Failure to Produce Records					
Fund Sources Affected	Chapter 20 , Stats. Appropriations Affected				
☐ GPR ☐ FED ☐ PRO ☐ PRS ☐ SEG SEG-S					
Fiscal Effect of Implementing the Rule					
☑ No Fiscal Effect☐ Increase Existing Revenues☐ Decrease Existing Revenues	☐ Increase Costs ☐ Could Absorb Within Agency's Budget ☐ Decrease Costs				
The Rule Will Impact the Following (Check All That Apply)					
State's Economy	ecific Businesses/Sectors				
Units □ Local Government Units □ Pub Would Implementation and Compliance Costs Be Greater Than \$20	olic Utility Rate Payers O million?				
☐ Yes ☐ No					
Policy Problem Addressed by the Rule					
The rule does not create or revise policy, other than to reflec	t current law and department policy.				
Summary of Rule's Economic and Fiscal Impact on Specific Businesses, Business Sectors, Public Utility Rate Payers, Local Governmental Units and the State's Economy as a Whole (Include Implementation and Compliance Costs Expected to be Incurred)					
As indicated in the attached fiscal estimate, the fiscal effect of all statutory changes was included in the fiscal estimate for the bill under which the change was made, and therefore the rule as it relates to those sections has no fiscal effect. There is no fiscal effect for the sections of the rule relating to form redesigns or clarifications of examples.					
No comments concerning the economic effect of the rule were submitted in response to the department's solicitation.					
Benefits of Implementing the Rule and Alternative(s) to Implementing	ng the Rule				
Clarifications and guidance provided by administrative rules may lower the compliance costs for businesses, local governmental units, and individuals.					
If the rule is not implemented, Chapters Tax 2 and 11 will be incomplete in that they will not reflect current law or department policy.					
Learn Borne levelinations of levelops with a Bula					
Long Range Implications of Implementing the Rule No long-range implications are anticipated.					
Compare With Approaches Being Used by Federal Government					
N/A					
Compare With Approaches Being Used by Neighboring States (Illinois, Iowa, Michigan and Minnesota)					
N/A					

FISCAL ESTIMATE	E FORM	Linn "		2017 Session	
□ ORIGINAL	☐ UPDATED		LRB # INTRODUCTION #		
☐ CORRECTED	☐ SUPPLEMENTAL				
		Admin. Rule # t	to be assigned		
Amending, Repeating Fiscal Effect State: ⊠ No State Fiscal	s below only if bill makes a dire	I Creating Rules	☐ Increase Costs - I	nd Amending, May be Possible to Absorb Budget □ Yes □ No	
☐ Increase Existing Ap☐ Decrease Existing Ap	•	Existing Revenues Existing Revenues		•	
☐ Create New Appropri			☐ Decrease Costs		
Local: ☐ No Local Gove 1. ☐ Increase Costs ☐ Permissive ☐ 2. ☐ Decrease Costs ☐ Permissive ☐	3.	rease Revenues Permissive	☐ Towns ☐ V	ernmental Units Affected: illages	
Fund Sources Affected	L	Affected Ch. 2	0 Appropriations		
☐ GPR ☐ FED ☐ P	RO PRS SEG	SEG-S			
Assumptions Used in Arr	iving at Fiscal Estimate:	l .			
before the department following changes:Defines a "summ"Updates reference requests.	·	y for failure to produce ance with 2015 Wiscor ed to the failure to prod	records. The propos nsin Act 218 luce records so that t	ed rule makes the help help account for summons	
•	ct current law enacted o	•	•	The rules are intended to	
Long-Range Fiscal Implic	cations:	(continued or	າ page two)		
Agency/Prepared by: (Na Wisconsin Department of Brad Caruth (608) 261-8984		Authorized Signature/Telep Wisconsin Department of F Robert Schmidt (608) 266-5773		Date 1-13-2017	

FISCAL ESTIMATE WORKSHEET	Detailed Estimate of An	Detailed Estimate of Annual Fiscal Effect 2017 Session		
□ ORIGINAL □ UPDATED	LRB#	LRB# Admin. Rule		
☐ CORRECTED ☐ SUPPLEMENTAL	INTRODUCTION #		to be assigned	
Subject Proposed Order of the Department of Revenue R Recreating, And Creating Rules	epealing, Renumbering, Renumbe	ring And Amending, Amend	ing, Repealing And	
I. One-Time Costs or Revenue Impacts for State and/or	r Local Government (do not include	e in annualized fiscal effect)	:	
II. Annualized Costs:		Annualized Fiscal impact of	n State funds from:	
A. State Costs by Category		Increased Costs	Decreased Costs	
State Operations – Salaries and Fringe		\$	\$ -	
(FTE Position Changes)		(FTE)	(- FTE)	
State Operations-Other Costs			-	
Local Assistance			-	
Aids to Individuals or Organizations			-	
TOTAL State Costs by Category		\$	\$ -	
B. State Costs by Source of Funds		Increased Costs	Decreased Costs	
GPR		\$	\$ -	
FED			-	
PRO/PRS			-	
SEG/SEG-S		\$	-	
III. State Revenues - Complete this only when propos revenues (e.g., tax increase, dec	al will increase or decrease state crease in license fee, etc.)	Increased Rev.	Decreased Rev.	
GPR Taxes		\$	\$ -	
GPR Earned			-	
FED			-	
PRO/PRS			-	
SEG/SEG-S			-	
TOTAL State Revenues		\$	\$ -	
NET	Γ ANNUALIZED FISCAL IMPACT			
NET CHANGE IN COSTS	STATE \$ 0	\$ 0	<u>OCAL</u>	
NET CHANGE IN REVENUES	\$0	\$0		
Agency/Prepared by:	Authorized Signature/Telephone	No.	Date	
Wisconsin Department of Revenue Brad Caruth (608) 261-8984	Wisconsin Department of Revenu Robert Schmidt (608) 266-5773		1-13-2017	

Detailed Estimate of Annual Fiscal Effect

2017 Session