

ADMINISTRATIVE RULES Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

1. Type of Estimate and Analysis

Original Updated Corrected

2. Administrative Rule Chapter, Title and Number

VE 11, Veterinary Professional Assistance Program

3. Subject

Creating rules for contracting for a program to assist impaired veterinary professionals

4. Fund Sources Affected

GPR FED PRO PRS SEG SEG-S

5. Chapter 20, Stats. Appropriations Affected

6. Fiscal Effect of Implementing the Rule

No Fiscal Effect Increase Existing Revenues Increase Costs
 Indeterminate Decrease Existing Revenues Could Absorb Within Agency's Budget
 Decrease Cost

7. The Rule Will Impact the Following (Check All That Apply)

State's Economy Specific Businesses/Sectors
 Local Government Units Public Utility Rate Payers
 Small Businesses (if checked, complete Attachment A)

8. Would Implementation and Compliance Costs Be Greater Than \$20 million?

Yes No

9. Policy Problem Addressed by the Rule

When the Veterinary Examining Board ("VEB") was transferred from the Department of Safety and Professional Services ("DSPS") to the Department of Agriculture, Trade and Consumer Protection ("DATCP"), for administrative purposes, the specific administrative code chapters that pertained to its operations were transferred. However, none of the general DSPS administrative code chapters pertaining to all the credentialing boards were made a part of the VE rules, including SPS 7, Professional Assistance Procedure.

10. Summary of the businesses, business sectors, associations representing business, local governmental units, and individuals that may be affected by the proposed rule that were contacted for comments.

This rule change is anticipated to have an effect on small business, as many veterinarian professionals practice in small businesses. However, as the rule are designed for early identification and treatment of alcohol and other drug and mental health impairments in veterinary professionals, this should have a positive impact on veterinary small businesses in assisting with professional assessment and treatment before the impairment affects the practice. In addition, this rule will also ensure that persons, subject to these rules, are on notice as to procedures, within the VEB's jurisdiction, that will be utilized in the disciplinary process.

11. Identify the local governmental units that participated in the development of this EIA.

Local governmental units are not impacted by this rule and did not participate in development of this EIA.

12. Summary of Rule's Economic and Fiscal Impact on Specific Businesses, Business Sectors, Public Utility Rate Payers, Local Governmental Units and the State's Economy as a Whole (Include Implementation and Compliance Costs Expected to be Incurred)

The proposed rule is expected to have no substantial adverse economic and fiscal impact.

13. Benefits of Implementing the Rule and Alternative(s) to Implementing the Rule

This emergency rule creates ch. VE 11, "Veterinary Professional Assistance Program", to implement s. 89.03(3), Stats., created by the Legislature in 2017 Wisconsin Act 59, the Budget Bill (1) The new statutory s. 89.03 (3), Stats., states that the veterinary examining board ("VEB") shall promulgate rules specifying a procedure for addressing allegations that a person licensed or certified by the VEB under this chapter has practiced as a veterinarian or veterinary technician while impaired by alcohol or other drugs or that his or her ability to practice is impaired by alcohol or other drugs, and

ADMINISTRATIVE RULES Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

for assisting a person licensed by the VEB under this chapter who requests to participate in the procedure or who requests assistance in obtaining mental health services.

14. Long Range Implications of Implementing the Rule

The expectation by those advocating for the rule change is that it will result in greater assistance to veterinary professionals struggling with alcohol, other drug, or mental health impairments.

15. Compare With Approaches Being Used by Federal Government

There are no federal regulations governing the practice of veterinary medical surgeries.

16. Compare With Approaches Being Used by Neighboring States (Illinois, Iowa, Michigan and Minnesota)

In Illinois, a veterinarian who has been determined by a qualified health care professional to be impaired shall enter into an agreement with the state's Department of Financial and Professional Regulation-Division of Professional Regulation ("Division"), in which the veterinarian agrees to participate in a program designed to provide care and treatment specifically for health care professionals and which has been approved by the Division. The agreement may include, but not be limited to, the length of the program, the status of the licensee while in a treatment program, and a termination clause whereby both parties may terminate the agreement at any time.

There is nothing specific in the Iowa Veterinary Practice Act regarding a veterinary professional assistance program. However, the Act does allow the Iowa Board of Veterinary Medicine to have a licensee submit to a physical or mental examination by a designated physician.

There is nothing in the Michigan Veterinary Practice Act regarding a specific veterinary professional assistance program. However, Michigan has a Health Professional Recovery Committee that includes veterinarians. The purpose of this committee is to establish the general components of the health professional recovery program and a mechanism for monitoring health professionals who may be impaired.

There is nothing in the Minnesota Veterinary Practice Act regarding a specific veterinary professional assistance program. However, the Board has the authority to issue an order directing the regulated person to submit to a mental or physical examination or chemical dependency evaluation. Veterinarians may participate in the state's Health Professionals Services Program.

17. Comments Received in Response to Web Posting and VEB Response

There were no comments received in response to the web posting.

17. Contact Name

Cheryl Daniels, VEB Legal Counsel

18. Contact Phone Number

(608) 224-5026

This document can be made available in alternate formats to individuals with disabilities upon request.

ADMINISTRATIVE RULES Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

ATTACHMENT A

1. Summary of Rule's Economic and Fiscal Impact on Small Businesses (Separately for each Small Business Sector, Include Implementation and Compliance Costs Expected to be Incurred)

While the program will have costs, the cost of the program will be absorbed within the current budget of the VEB and no additional fees will be assessed against credential holders to pay for the program.

2. Summary of the data sources used to measure the Rule's impact on Small Businesses

This rule was developed using models from current DSPS rules on the same subject and also looking at other programs from states with robust veterinary professional assistance programs

3. Did the agency consider the following methods to reduce the impact of the Rule on Small Businesses?

- Less Stringent Compliance or Reporting Requirements
- Less Stringent Schedules or Deadlines for Compliance or Reporting
- Consolidation or Simplification of Reporting Requirements
- Establishment of performance standards in lieu of Design or Operational Standards
- Exemption of Small Businesses from some or all requirements
- Other, describe:

4. Describe the methods incorporated into the Rule that will reduce its impact on Small Businesses

While not directly in the rule, the cost of the program will be absorbed without any additional fees imposed on credential holders.

5. Describe the Rule's Enforcement Provisions

The rule will use stipulations between the impaired credential holder and the VEB, when the credential holder is in a disciplinary action, for the credential holder to use the program as part of the discipline imposed by the VEB

6. Did the Agency prepare a Cost Benefit Analysis (if Yes, attach to form)

- Yes No
-