

## ADMINISTRATIVE RULES Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

<b>1. Type of Estimate and Analysis</b> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Original <input type="checkbox"/> Updated <input type="checkbox"/> Corrected	<b>2. Date</b> 13 April 2018
<b>3. Administrative Rule Chapter, Title and Number (and Clearinghouse Number if applicable)</b> CSB 2.60	
<b>4. Subject</b> Scheduling FUB-AMB	
<b>5. Fund Sources Affected</b> <input type="checkbox"/> GPR <input type="checkbox"/> FED <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> PRO <input type="checkbox"/> PRS <input type="checkbox"/> SEG <input type="checkbox"/> SEG-S	<b>6. Chapter 20, Stats. Appropriations Affected</b> 20.165(1)(g)
<b>7. Fiscal Effect of Implementing the Rule</b> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No Fiscal Effect <input type="checkbox"/> Increase Existing Revenues <input type="checkbox"/> Increase Costs <input type="checkbox"/> Decrease Costs <input type="checkbox"/> Indeterminate <input type="checkbox"/> Decrease Existing Revenues <input type="checkbox"/> Could Absorb Within Agency's Budget	
<b>8. The Rule Will Impact the Following (Check All That Apply)</b> <input type="checkbox"/> State's Economy <input type="checkbox"/> Specific Businesses/Sectors <input type="checkbox"/> Local Government Units <input type="checkbox"/> Public Utility Rate Payers <input type="checkbox"/> Small Businesses <b>(if checked, complete Attachment A)</b>	
<b>9. Estimate of Implementation and Compliance to Businesses, Local Governmental Units and Individuals, per s. 227.137(3)(b)(1).</b> \$0.00	
<b>10. Would Implementation and Compliance Costs Businesses, Local Governmental Units and Individuals Be \$10 Million or more Over Any 2-year Period, per s. 227.137(3)(b)(2)?</b> <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	
<b>11. Policy Problem Addressed by the Rule</b> The United States Department of Justice, Drug Enforcement Administration scheduled FUB-AMB as a schedule I controlled substance effective November 3, 2017. The Wisconsin Controlled Substances Board took affirmative action on December 6, 2017 to similarly treat FUB-AMB as a schedule I controlled substance effective December 11, 2017. The Board is currently promulgating a final rule.	
<b>12. Summary of the Businesses, Business Sectors, Associations Representing Business, Local Governmental Units, and Individuals that may be Affected by the Proposed Rule that were Contacted for Comments.</b> This rule was posted for economic comments and none were received.	
<b>13. Identify the Local Governmental Units that Participated in the Development of this EIA.</b> None	
<b>14. Summary of Rule's Economic and Fiscal Impact on Specific Businesses, Business Sectors, Public Utility Rate Payers, Local Governmental Units and the State's Economy as a Whole (Include Implementation and Compliance Costs Expected to be Incurred)</b> This rule will not have an economic or fiscal impact on businesses, business sectors, public utility rate payers, local governmental units or the State's economy as a whole.	
<b>15. Benefits of Implementing the Rule and Alternative(s) to Implementing the Rule</b> The benefit is for the federal and state controlled substances acts to be in conformity and alleviate confusion.	
<b>16. Long Range Implications of Implementing the Rule</b> FUB-AMB will be treated as a schedule I controlled substance.	
<b>17. Compare With Approaches Being Used by Federal Government</b> The federal government has scheduled FUB-AMB as a schedule I controlled substance.	
<b>18. Compare With Approaches Being Used by Neighboring States (Illinois, Iowa, Michigan and Minnesota)</b> Minnesota has scheduled FUB-AMB as a schedule I controlled substance. The other surrounding states have not.	

**ADMINISTRATIVE RULES**  
**Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis**

---

19. Contact Name

Sharon Henes

20. Contact Phone Number

(608) 261-2377

---

This document can be made available in alternate formats to individuals with disabilities upon request.

**ADMINISTRATIVE RULES**  
**Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis**

**ATTACHMENT A**

---

1. Summary of Rule's Economic and Fiscal Impact on Small Businesses (Separately for each Small Business Sector, Include Implementation and Compliance Costs Expected to be Incurred)

---

2. Summary of the data sources used to measure the Rule's impact on Small Businesses

---

3. Did the agency consider the following methods to reduce the impact of the Rule on Small Businesses?

- Less Stringent Compliance or Reporting Requirements
- Less Stringent Schedules or Deadlines for Compliance or Reporting
- Consolidation or Simplification of Reporting Requirements
- Establishment of performance standards in lieu of Design or Operational Standards
- Exemption of Small Businesses from some or all requirements
- Other, describe:

---

4. Describe the methods incorporated into the Rule that will reduce its impact on Small Businesses

---

5. Describe the Rule's Enforcement Provisions

---

6. Did the Agency prepare a Cost Benefit Analysis (if Yes, attach to form)

- Yes    No
-