

## ADMINISTRATIVE RULES Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

<p>1. Type of Estimate and Analysis <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Original   <input type="checkbox"/> Updated   <input type="checkbox"/> Corrected</p>	<p>2. Date January 28, 2019</p>
<p>3. Administrative Rule Chapter, Title and Number (and Clearinghouse Number if applicable) Opt 4</p>	
<p>4. Subject Licensure by Endorsement</p>	
<p>5. Fund Sources Affected <input type="checkbox"/> GPR   <input type="checkbox"/> FED   <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> PRO   <input type="checkbox"/> PRS   <input type="checkbox"/> SEG   <input type="checkbox"/> SEG-S</p>	<p>6. Chapter 20, Stats. Appropriations Affected 20.165(1)(g)</p>
<p>7. Fiscal Effect of Implementing the Rule <input type="checkbox"/> No Fiscal Effect   <input type="checkbox"/> Increase Existing Revenues   <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Increase Costs   <input type="checkbox"/> Decrease Costs <input type="checkbox"/> Indeterminate   <input type="checkbox"/> Decrease Existing Revenues   <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Could Absorb Within Agency's Budget</p>	
<p>8. The Rule Will Impact the Following (Check All That Apply) <input type="checkbox"/> State's Economy   <input type="checkbox"/> Specific Businesses/Sectors <input type="checkbox"/> Local Government Units   <input type="checkbox"/> Public Utility Rate Payers <input type="checkbox"/> Small Businesses <b>(if checked, complete Attachment A)</b></p>	
<p>9. Estimate of Implementation and Compliance to Businesses, Local Governmental Units and Individuals, per s. 227.137(3)(b)(1). \$0</p>	
<p>10. Would Implementation and Compliance Costs Businesses, Local Governmental Units and Individuals Be \$10 Million or more Over Any 2-year Period, per s. 227.137(3)(b)(2)? <input type="checkbox"/> Yes   <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No</p>	
<p>11. Policy Problem Addressed by the Rule In order to clarify licensure by endorsement, this rule revision:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Inserts cross-references into s. Opt 4.02 for relevant statutes to implement 2017 Act 278.</li><li>• Removes unnecessary notes.</li><li>• Simplifies the program approval process. The Board recognizes that programs that are accredited by the Accreditation Council on Optometric Education are approved for Wisconsin licensees. In order to prevent delays in the process of recognizing accredited programs, the Board is amending chapter Opt 4 to conform with chapter Opt 3 and remove the annual review of accredited programs.</li></ul>	
<p>12. Summary of the Businesses, Business Sectors, Associations Representing Business, Local Governmental Units, and Individuals that may be Affected by the Proposed Rule that were Contacted for Comments. The proposed rule was posted on the Department of Safety and Professional Services' website for 14 days in order to solicit comments from businesses, representative associations, local governmental units, and individuals that may be affected by the rule. No comments were received..</p>	
<p>13. Identify the Local Governmental Units that Participated in the Development of this EIA. None.</p>	
<p>14. Summary of Rule's Economic and Fiscal Impact on Specific Businesses, Business Sectors, Public Utility Rate Payers, Local Governmental Units and the State's Economy as a Whole (Include Implementation and Compliance Costs Expected to be Incurred) This proposed rule will not have a significant impact on specific businesses, business sectors, public utility rate payers, local governmental units, or the state's economy as a whole.  The agency would have a fiscal impact of \$186.58, which could be absorbed within the agency's operating budget.</p>	
<p>15. Benefits of Implementing the Rule and Alternative(s) to Implementing the Rule The benefit of implementing the rule is providing clarity and consistency with 2017 Act 278 and Opt 3, for applicants for</p>	

## ADMINISTRATIVE RULES Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

licensure by endorsement.

If the rule is not implemented, then applicants for licensure by endorsement may have unnecessary confusion regarding the application process.

---

**16. Long Range Implications of Implementing the Rule**

The long range implications of implementing the rule will be that applicants for licensure by endorsement will have a more clear application process and will reduce unnecessary processes for the Board.

---

**17. Compare With Approaches Being Used by Federal Government**

None.

---

**18. Compare With Approaches Being Used by Neighboring States (Illinois, Iowa, Michigan and Minnesota)**

**Illinois:**

For licensure by endorsement, an applicant must submit proof of graduation from an accredited program, or a program recommended by the Illinois Optometric Licensing and Disciplinary Board to the Department of Financial and Professional Regulation-Division of Professional Regulation for approval. The applicant may also be required to submit proof of five or ten years of practice, depending on when they graduated; education or practice related to pharmaceutical agents, depending on when they graduated; and proof of passage of Parts I, II, and III, including the TMOD, of the National Board of Examiners in Optometry exam. The Board may waive examination requirements after consideration of additional evidence of education, training, and experience.

**Iowa:**

For licensure by endorsement, an applicant must have been licensed in another jurisdiction for three years. This requirement may be waived under specific circumstances. The application must include a transcript from an accredited program, successful completion of the National Board of Examiners in Optometry exam in effect at the time of initial licensure, and verification from other jurisdictions of any disciplinary action taken against the licensee. Additionally, the application must provide information of any civil litigation relating to the practice of optometry. If an applicant is certified by the Council on Endorsed Licensure Mobility for Optometrists (CELMO), then the applicant has fulfilled the education requirement. If an applicant is not CELMO certified, the Board will review the transcript to determine if the education meets specific requirements as outlined in rule.

**Michigan:**

For licensure by endorsement, an applicant must have graduated from a program accredited by the Accreditation Council on Optometric Education and successfully completed an examination that assesses the diagnosis, treatment, and management of ocular diseases with pharmaceutical agents. The applicant must submit proof of license in another jurisdiction with any disciplinary action imposed or pending; achieve a minimum score of 75 on Michigan's laws and rules related to optometry; and certification to use therapeutic pharmaceutical agents.

**Minnesota:**

For licensure by endorsement, an applicant must have been licensed in another jurisdiction for three years. The applicant must have graduated from a board approved school or college of optometry, pass the required exams of the state where licensed, successfully pass an exam on Minnesota laws, be in good standing both with continuing education requirements and other license requirements. Additionally, the applicant must meet the requirements to prescribe legend drugs, including having completed education, experience, and exam requirements, in accordance with s. 148.575, MN Stats. The applicant may use CELMO documentation to verify these requirements.

---

**19. Contact Name**

Helen Leong, Administrative Rules Coordinator

---

**20. Contact Phone Number**

608 - 266 - 0797

**ADMINISTRATIVE RULES**  
**Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis**

This document can be made available in alternate formats to individuals with disabilities upon request.

**ADMINISTRATIVE RULES**  
**Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis**

**ATTACHMENT A**

---

1. Summary of Rule's Economic and Fiscal Impact on Small Businesses (Separately for each Small Business Sector, Include Implementation and Compliance Costs Expected to be Incurred)

---

2. Summary of the data sources used to measure the Rule's impact on Small Businesses

---

3. Did the agency consider the following methods to reduce the impact of the Rule on Small Businesses?

- Less Stringent Compliance or Reporting Requirements
- Less Stringent Schedules or Deadlines for Compliance or Reporting
- Consolidation or Simplification of Reporting Requirements
- Establishment of performance standards in lieu of Design or Operational Standards
- Exemption of Small Businesses from some or all requirements
- Other, describe:

---

4. Describe the methods incorporated into the Rule that will reduce its impact on Small Businesses

---

5. Describe the Rule's Enforcement Provisions

---

6. Did the Agency prepare a Cost Benefit Analysis (if Yes, attach to form)

- Yes    No
-