

ADMINISTRATIVE RULES Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

1. Type of Estimate and Analysis <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Original <input type="checkbox"/> Updated <input type="checkbox"/> Corrected	2. Date December 17, 2019
3. Administrative Rule Chapter, Title and Number (and Clearinghouse Number if applicable) FD 4	
4. Subject Continuing Education	
5. Fund Sources Affected <input type="checkbox"/> GPR <input type="checkbox"/> FED <input type="checkbox"/> PRO <input type="checkbox"/> PRS <input type="checkbox"/> SEG <input type="checkbox"/> SEG-S	6. Chapter 20, Stats. Appropriations Affected
7. Fiscal Effect of Implementing the Rule <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No Fiscal Effect <input type="checkbox"/> Increase Existing Revenues <input type="checkbox"/> Increase Costs <input type="checkbox"/> Decrease Costs <input type="checkbox"/> Indeterminate <input type="checkbox"/> Decrease Existing Revenues <input type="checkbox"/> Could Absorb Within Agency's Budget	
8. The Rule Will Impact the Following (Check All That Apply) <input type="checkbox"/> State's Economy <input type="checkbox"/> Specific Businesses/Sectors <input type="checkbox"/> Local Government Units <input type="checkbox"/> Public Utility Rate Payers <input type="checkbox"/> Small Businesses (if checked, complete Attachment A)	
9. Estimate of Implementation and Compliance to Businesses, Local Governmental Units and Individuals, per s. 227.137(3)(b)(1). \$0	
10. Would Implementation and Compliance Costs Businesses, Local Governmental Units and Individuals Be \$10 Million or more Over Any 2-year Period, per s. 227.137(3)(b)(2)? <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	
11. Policy Problem Addressed by the Rule As part of the board's review of its rules under s. 227.29, Stats., the board determined it was necessary to repeal s. FD 4.03 (4) as it is duplicative of s. 4.03 (4m), and could cause confusion to stakeholders. The board also determined that clarification should be given as to the length of time required for a course to be eligible to receive a credit hour of continuing education.	
12. Summary of the Businesses, Business Sectors, Associations Representing Business, Local Governmental Units, and Individuals that may be Affected by the Proposed Rule that were Contacted for Comments. The proposed rule was posted on the department website for 14 days to solicit economic impact comments from businesses, representative associations, local governmental units, and individuals that may be affected by the rule. No comments were received.	
13. Identify the Local Governmental Units that Participated in the Development of this EIA. No governmental units participated in the development of the EIA.	
14. Summary of Rule's Economic and Fiscal Impact on Specific Businesses, Business Sectors, Public Utility Rate Payers, Local Governmental Units and the State's Economy as a Whole (Include Implementation and Compliance Costs Expected to be Incurred) This proposed rule will not have a significant impact on specific businesses, business sectors, public utility rate payers, local governmental units, or the state's economy as a whole.	
15. Benefits of Implementing the Rule and Alternative(s) to Implementing the Rule The benefit of implementing the rule is to improve the readability of the board's continuing education chapter to insure credential holders are not confused as to the requirements, and to clarify the length of a credit hour. The alternative to implementing the rule is to leave a provision in the chapter that duplicates the requirements already enumerated in another provision, therefore causing a potentially confusing situation for credential holders.	
16. Long Range Implications of Implementing the Rule	

ADMINISTRATIVE RULES Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

The long range implication of implementing the rule is that the continuing education chapter will provide additional guidance on what is required for a credit hour, and make the chapter more user friendly.

17. Compare With Approaches Being Used by Federal Government

The federal government does not regulate continuing education requirements for funeral directors.

18. Compare With Approaches Being Used by Neighboring States (Illinois, Iowa, Michigan and Minnesota)

Illinois: Illinois specifies that a continuing education hour is a minimum of 50 minutes of attendance at a board approved course. Illinois rules require at least 24 hours of continuing education credit per biennium, with at least 6 credit hours related to the practice of embalming, 6 related to the practice of funeral directing, and no more than 6 related to insurance (68 Ill. Admin Code s. 1250.220 (a) and (b) 5.).

Iowa: Iowa specifies that an hour of continuing education means at least 50 minutes spent by a license in actual attendance at and completion of a continuing education program (645 IAC 102.1). Funeral directors must complete 24 hours of continuing education each biennium. Two hours must be in current Iowa law and rules covering mortuary science content areas, and at least 12 hours must be in-person, or include live real-time interactive media (645 IAC 102.2 (1)).

Michigan: Michigan does not have continuing education requirements for funeral directors.

Minnesota: Minnesota requires 15 hours of continuing education for renewal of a license to practice. Three hours must be in body preparation, care or handling, three hours in professional practices, and three hours in regulation and ethics. Minnesota does not specify the length of a continuing education hour (Minn. Stats. 149A.40 (11)).

19. Contact Name	20. Contact Phone Number
Jon Derenne, Administrative Rules Coordinator	(608) 266-0955

This document can be made available in alternate formats to individuals with disabilities upon request.

ADMINISTRATIVE RULES
Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

ATTACHMENT A

1. Summary of Rule's Economic and Fiscal Impact on Small Businesses (Separately for each Small Business Sector, Include Implementation and Compliance Costs Expected to be Incurred)

2. Summary of the data sources used to measure the Rule's impact on Small Businesses

3. Did the agency consider the following methods to reduce the impact of the Rule on Small Businesses?

- Less Stringent Compliance or Reporting Requirements
 - Less Stringent Schedules or Deadlines for Compliance or Reporting
 - Consolidation or Simplification of Reporting Requirements
 - Establishment of performance standards in lieu of Design or Operational Standards
 - Exemption of Small Businesses from some or all requirements
 - Other, describe:
-

4. Describe the methods incorporated into the Rule that will reduce its impact on Small Businesses

5. Describe the Rule's Enforcement Provisions

6. Did the Agency prepare a Cost Benefit Analysis (if Yes, attach to form)

- Yes No
-