

Chapter EIBd 2

NOMINATIONS

EIBd 2.05 Treatment and sufficiency of nomination papers
EIBd 2.07 Challenges to nomination papers

EIBd 2.09 Treatment and sufficiency of election petitions
EIBd 2.11 Challenges to election petitions

EIBd 2.05 Treatment and sufficiency of nomination papers. (1) Each candidate for public office has the responsibility to assure that his or her nomination papers are prepared, circulated, signed, and filed in compliance with statutory and other legal requirements.

(2) In order to be timely filed, all nomination papers shall be in the physical possession of the filing officer by the statutory deadline.

(3) The filing officer shall review all nomination papers filed with it, up to the maximum number permitted, to determine the facial sufficiency of the papers filed. Where circumstances and the time for review permit, the filing officer may consult maps, directories and other extrinsic evidence to ascertain the correctness and sufficiency of information on a nomination paper.

(4) Any information which appears on a nomination paper is entitled to a presumption of validity.

(5) Where any required item of information on a nomination paper is incomplete, the filing officer shall accept the information as complete if there has been substantial compliance with the law.

(6) Nomination papers shall contain at least the minimum required number of signatures from the circuit, county, district or jurisdiction which the candidate seeks to represent.

(7) The filing officer shall accept nomination papers which contain biographical data or campaign advertising. The disclaimer specified in s. 11.30 (2), Stats., is not required on any nomination paper.

(8) An elector shall sign his or her own name unless unable to do so because of physical disability. An elector unable to sign because of physical disability shall be present when another person signs on behalf of the disabled elector and shall specifically authorize the signing.

(9) A person may not sign for his or her spouse, or for any other person, even when they have been given a power of attorney by that person, unless sub. (8) applies.

(10) The signature of a married woman shall be counted when she uses her husband's first name instead of her own.

(11) Only one signature per person for the same office is valid. Where an elector is entitled to vote for more than one candidate for the same office, a person may sign the nomination papers of as many candidates for the same office as the person is entitled to vote for at the election.

(12) A complete address, including municipality of residence for voting purposes, and the street and number, if any, of the residence, (or a postal address if it is located in

the jurisdiction that the candidate seeks to represent), shall be listed for each signature on a nomination paper.

(13) A signature shall be counted when identical residential information or dates for different electors are indicated by ditto marks.

(14) No signature on a nomination paper shall be counted unless the elector who circulated the nomination paper completes and signs the affidavit of circulator under oath and does so after, not before, the paper is circulated. No signature may be counted when the residency of the circulator cannot be determined by the information given on the nomination paper.

(15) No signature on a nomination paper may be counted unless the official administering the oath to the circulator signs the affidavit. The title of the person administering the oath, along with the expiration date, if any, of the commission, shall be listed on the affidavit. The official seal of the person administering the oath is not required on the nomination paper.

(16) An individual signature on a nomination paper may not be counted when any of the following occur:

(a) The date of the signature is missing, unless the date can be determined by reference to the dates of other signatures on the paper.

(b) The signature is dated after the date of notarization contained in the affidavit of circulator.

(c) The address of the signer is missing or incomplete, unless residency can be determined by the information provided on the nomination paper.

(d) The signature is that of an individual who is not 18 years of age at the time the paper is signed. An individual who will not be 18 years of age until the subject election is not eligible to sign a nomination paper for that election.

(e) The signature is that of an individual who has been adjudicated not to be a qualified elector on the grounds of incompetency or limited competency as provided in s. 6.03 (3), Stats., or is that of an individual who was not, for any other reason, a qualified elector at the time of signing the nomination paper.

(17) After a nomination paper has been filed, no signature may be added or removed. After a nomination paper has been signed, but before it has been filed, a signature may be removed by the circulator. The death of a signer after a nomination paper has been signed does not invalidate the signature.

(18) This section is promulgated pursuant to the direction of s. 8.07, Stats., and is to be used by election officials

EIBd 2.05

in determining the validity of all nomination papers and the signatures on those papers.

History: Emerg. cr. 8-9-74; cr. Register, November, 1974, No. 227, eff. 12-1-74; emerg. r. and recr. eff. 12-16-81; emerg. r. and recr. eff. 6-1-84; cr. Register, November, 1984, No. 347, eff. 12-1-84; r. and recr. Register, January, 1994, No. 457, eff. 2-1-94.

EIBd 2.07 Challenges to nomination papers. (1) The board shall review any verified complaint concerning the sufficiency of nomination papers of a candidate for state office that is filed with the board under ss. 5.05 (3), and 5.06, Stats.; and the local filing officer shall review any verified complaint concerning the sufficiency of nomination papers of a candidate for local office that is filed with the local filing officer under s. 8.07, Stats. The filing officer shall apply the standards in s. EIBd 2.05 to determine the sufficiency of nomination papers, including consulting extrinsic sources of evidence under s. EIBd 2.05 (3).

(2) (a) Any challenge to the sufficiency of a nomination paper shall be made by verified complaint. The form of the complaint, its filing and its service shall comply with the requirements of ch. EIBd 10; the timetable and procedure for resolving the complaint shall be governed by this section and not by ch. EIBd 10. Any challenge to the sufficiency of a nomination paper shall be filed within 3 business days after the filing deadline for the challenged nomination papers. The challenge shall be established by affidavit, or other supporting evidence, demonstrating a failure to comply with statutory or other legal requirements.

(b) The response to a challenge to nomination papers shall be filed, by the candidate challenged, within 3 business days of the filing of the challenge and shall be verified. After the deadline for filing a response to a challenge, but not later than the date for certifying candidates to the ballot, the board or the local filing officer shall decide the challenge with or without a hearing.

(3) (a) The burden is on the challenger to establish any insufficiency. If the challenger establishes that the information on the nomination paper is insufficient, the burden is on the challenged candidate to establish its sufficiency. The invalidity or disqualification of one or more signatures on a nomination paper shall not affect the validity of any other signatures on that paper.

(b) If a challenger establishes that an elector signed the nomination papers of a candidate more than once or signed the nomination papers of more than one candidate for the same office, the 2nd and subsequent signatures may not be counted. The burden of proving that the second and subsequent signatures are that of the same person and are invalid is on the challenger.

(c) If a challenger establishes that the date of a signature, or the address of the signer, is not valid, the signature may not be counted.

(d) Challengers are not limited to the categories set forth in pars. (a) and (b).

(4) The filing officer shall examine any evidence offered by the parties when reviewing a complaint challenging the sufficiency of the nomination papers of a candidate for state or local office. The burden of proof applicable to es-

Register, January, 1994, No. 457

tablishing or rebutting a challenge is clear and convincing evidence.

(5) Where it is alleged that the signer or circulator of a nomination paper does not reside in the district in which the candidate being nominated seeks office, the challenger may attempt to establish the geographical location of an address indicated on a nomination paper, by providing district maps, or by providing a statement from a postmaster or other public official.

History: Emerg. cr. 8-9-74; cr. Register, November, 1974, No. 227, eff. 12-1-74; emerg. r. and recr. eff. 12-16-81; emerg. r. and recr. eff. 6-1-84; cr. Register, November, 1984, No. 347, eff. 12-1-84; emerg. am. (1), (4) to (6), eff. 6-1-86; am. (1), (4) to (6), Register, November, 1986, No. 371, eff. 12-1-86; r. and recr. Register, January, 1994, No. 457, eff. 2-1-94.

EIBd 2.09 Treatment and sufficiency of election petitions. (1) Except as expressly provided herein, the standards established in s. EIBd 2.05 for determining the treatment and sufficiency of nomination papers are incorporated by reference into, and are made a part of, this section.

(2) In order to be timely filed, all petitions required to comply with s. 8.40, Stats., and required by statute or other law to be filed by a time certain, shall be in the physical possession of the filing officer not later than the time set by that statute or other law.

(3) All petitions shall contain at least the number of signatures, from the election district in which the petition was circulated, equal to the minimum required by the statute or other law establishing the right to petition.

(4) Only one signature per person for the same petition, is valid.

(5) This section applies to all petitions which are required to comply with s. 8.40, Stats., including recall petitions, and to any other petition whose filing would require a governing body to call a referendum election.

History: Cr. Register, January, 1994, No. 457, eff. 2-1-94.

EIBd 2.11 Challenges to election petitions. (1) Except as expressly provided herein, the standards established in s. EIBd 2.07 for determining challenges to the sufficiency of nomination papers apply equally to determining challenges to the sufficiency of petitions required to comply with s. 8.40, Stats., including recall petitions, and to any other petition whose filing requires a governing body to call a referendum election.

(2) (a) Any challenge to the sufficiency of a petition required to comply with s. 8.40, Stats., shall be made by verified complaint filed with the appropriate filing officer. The form of the complaint, the filing of the complaint and the legal sufficiency of the complaint shall comply with the requirements of ch. EIBd 10; the procedure for resolving the complaint, including filing deadlines, shall be governed by this section and not by ch. EIBd 10.

(b) The complaint challenging a petition shall be in the physical possession of the filing officer within the time set by the statute or other law governing the petition being challenged or, if no time limit is specifically provided by statute or other law, within 10 days after the day that the petition is filed.

(3) The response to a challenge to a petition shall be filed within the time set by the statute or other law governing

that petition or, if no time limit is specifically provided by statute or other law, within 5 days of the filing of the challenge to that petition. After the deadline for filing a response to a challenge, the filing officer shall decide the challenge with or without a hearing.

History: Cr. Register, January, 1994, No. 457, eff. 2-1-94.