| STATE OF WISCONSIN DOA 2049 (R 07/2011) | | | | | | | | |
|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| ADMINISTRATIVE RULES | | | | | | | | |
| FISCAL ESTIMATE AND | | | | | | | | |
| ECONOMIC IMPACT ANALYSIS | | | | | | | | |
| Type of Estimate and Analysis | | | | | | | | |
| ☐ Original ☐ Updated ☐ Corrected | | | | | | | | |
| Administrative Rule Chapter, Title and Number | | | | | | | | |
| DWD 801 | | | | | | | | |
| Subject | | | | | | | | |
| Workforce Training Grants under s.106.27(2g), Stats. | | | | | | | | |
| Fund Sources Affected Chapter 20, Stats. Appropriations Affected | | | | | | | | |
| ☐ GPR ☐ FED ☐ PRO ☐ PRS ☐ SEG SEG-S | | | | | | | | |
| Fiscal Effect of Implementing the Rule | | | | | | | | |
| ☑ No Fiscal Effect ☐ Increase Existing Revenues ☐ Increase Costs ☐ Indeterminate ☐ Decrease Existing Revenues ☐ Could Absorb Within Agency's Budget ☐ Decrease Costs ☐ Decrease Costs | | | | | | | | |
| The Rule Will Impact the Following (Check All That Apply) | | | | | | | | |
| ☐ State's Economy ☐ Specific Businesses/Sectors ☐ Local Government Units ☐ Public Utility Rate Payers | | | | | | | | |
| Would Implementation and Compliance Costs Be Greater Than \$20 million? | | | | | | | | |
| ☐ Yes ⊠ No | | | | | | | | |
| Policy Problem Addressed by the Rule | | | | | | | | |
| The proposed rule implements the requirement in s. 106.27(2g), Stats., that DWD promulgate rules prescribing procedures and criteria for awarding grants and the information that must be contained in the reports that are required from the grantees. | | | | | | | | |
| Summary of Rule's Economic and Fiscal Impact on Specific Businesses, Business Sectors, Public Utility Rate Payers, Local Governmental Units and the State's Economy as a Whole (Include Implementation and Compliance Costs Expected to be Incurred) | | | | | | | | |
| The proposed rule does not create any costs in the administration of the workforce training grants program that are independent of the fiscal effect of 2013 Wisconsin Act 9 (2013 Assembly Bill 14), which created the program. A copy of the fiscal estimate for AB 14/Act 9 is attached. | | | | | | | | |
| Benefits of Implementing the Rule and Alternative(s) to Implementing the Rule | | | | | | | | |
| The rule simply carries out the instructions of the statute. | | | | | | | | |

Long Range Implications of Implementing the Rule

None distinct from the statute.

Compare With Approaches Being Used by Federal Government

The rule analysis contains a comparison to the federal Workforce Investment Act.

Compare With Approaches Being Used by Neighboring States (Illinois, Iowa, Michigan and Minnesota)

These comparisons are also in the rule analysis.

Name and Phone Number of Contact Person

Howard Bernstein, Legal Counsel, DWD (608) 266-9427

| X | ORIGINAL | | UPDA | TED | | LRB or Bill N | No./Adm. Rule No. | |
|---|-------------|-------------|-------------|--------------|--|---------------|--------------------------|--|
| FISCAL ESTIMATE DOA-2048 N(R03/97) | CORRECTE | ĒD □ | SUPF | PLEMENTAL | | | No. if Applicable | |
| Subject Wisconsin Fast Forward Workforce Training Grants | | | | | | | | |
| Fiscal Effect | | | | | | | | |
| State: ⊠ No State Fiscal Effect | | | | | | | | |
| Check columns below only if bill makes a direct appropriation | | | | | ☐ Increase Costs - May be possible to Absorb | | | |
| or affects a sum sufficient appropriation. | | | | | Within Agency's Budget ☐ Yes ☐ No | | | |
| ☐ Increase Existing Appropriation ☐ Increase Existing Revenues | | | | | | | | |
| ☐ Decrease Existing Appropriation | | | | | ☐ Decrease Costs | | | |
| ☐ Create New Appropriation | | | | | | | | |
| Local: ⊠ No local government costs | | | | | | | | |
| □ Increase Costs | 3. □ Increa | se Revenues | | | 5 Types (| of Local Gove | rnmental Units Affected: | |
| ☐ Permissive ☐ Mandatory | | | , □ Man | datory | ☐ Towns ☐ Villages ☐ Cities | | | |
| 2. ☐ Decrease Costs | 4. □ Decre | | | datory | ☐ Counties | | | |
| ☐ Permissive ☐ Mandatory | | | .o □ Man | datory | ☐ School Di | | □ WTCS Districts | |
| Fund Sources Affected | | missive | IVIGIT | | h. 20 Approp | | L W 100 Districts | |
| ☐ GPR ☐ FED ☐ PRO ☐ PR | S □ SEG I | ∏ SEG-S | | Allected C | ii. 20 Appiopi | iations | | |
| Assumptions Used in Arriving at Fiscal Est | | L 3L0-3 | l. | | | | | |
| The proposed rule does not create any costs in the administration of the Wisconsin Fast Forward workforce training grants program that are independent of the fiscal effect of 2013 Wisconsin Act 9 (2013 Assembly Bill 14), which created the program. A copy of the fiscal estimate for AB 14/Act 9 is attached. Impact on businesses: A business is not required to comply with the proposed rule unless it applies for a workforce training grant. A | | | | | | | | |
| business that applies for and receives a workforce training grant will be required to file reports to verify that it has incurred expenses that are allowable and reimbursable under the grant, and it will also be required to file reports documenting the results of the grant in terms of employee participation and improvement in qualifications. | | | | | | | | |
| None | | | | | | | | |
| Agency/Prepared by: (Name & Phone No.) DWD/Howard Bernstein (608) 266-94 | 127 | Authorize | d Sign | ature/Telepl | hone No. | | Date | |