ADMINISTRATIVE RULES Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

| 1. Type of Estimate and Analysis ⊠ Original □ Updated □Corrected | | 2. Date 03-18-21 | | |
|--|---|---------------------|--|--|
| 3. Administrative Rule Chapter, Title and Number (and Clearinghouse Number if applicable) DCF 201 | | | | |
| 4. Subject Self-Employment Policies for the Child Care Subsidy Program | n | | | |
| 5. Fund Sources Affected | 6. Chapter 20, Stats. Appropriations Affected | | | |
| 7. Fiscal Effect of Implementing the Rule ☑ No Fiscal Effect ☐ Increase Existing Revenues ☐ Indeterminate ☐ Decrease Existing Revenues | Increase Costs Decrease Costs Could Absorb Within Agency's Budget | | | |
| 8. The Rule Will Impact the Following (Check All That Apply) State's Economy Specific Businesses/Sectors Local Government Units Public Utility Rate Payers Small Businesses (if checked, complete Attachment A) | | | | |
| 9. Estimate of Implementation and Compliance to Businesses, Local Governmental Units and Individuals, per s. 227.137(3)(b)(1). \$NA | | | | |
| 10. Would Implementation and Compliance Costs Businesses, Local Governmental Units and Individuals Be \$10 Million or more Over Any 2-year Period, per s. 227.137(3)(b)(2)? □ Yes ⊠ No | | | | |
| 11. Policy Problem Addressed by the Rule Determining the amount of child care subsidy payments for self-employed parents | | | | |
| 12. Summary of the Businesses, Business Sectors, Associations Representing Business, Local Governmental Units, and Individuals that may be Affected by the Proposed Rule that were Contacted for Comments. NA | | | | |
| 13. Identify the Local Governmental Units that Participated in the Development of this EIA. NA | | | | |
| 14. Summary of Rule's Economic and Fiscal Impact on Specific Businesses, Business Sectors, Public Utility Rate Payers, Local Governmental Units and the State's Economy as a Whole (Include Implementation and Compliance Costs Expected to be Incurred) NA | | | | |
| 15. Benefits of Implementing the Rule and Alternative(s) to Implementing the Rule Avoiding a court challenge to the department's policies regarding self-employed parents receiving a child care subsidy | | | | |
| 16. Long Range Implications of Implementing the Rule None | | | | |
| 17. Compare With Approaches Being Used by Federal Government None | | | | |
| 18. Compare With Approaches Being Used by Neighboring States (Illinois, Iowa, Michigan and Minnesota) Minnesota To be eligible for child care assistance for self-employment, a parent must work for at least an average of 20 hours per week (10 hours if a full-time student) with a gross income of at least the minimum wage. If the parent meets this minimum activity requirement, the number of hours of subsidized child care that is authorized is the lesser of the following: | | | | |

ADMINISTRATIVE RULES Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

• The parent's annual gross earned income from the self-employment activity, divided by 52 weeks, and then divided by the applicable minimum wage.

• The actual hours the parent spends in the self-employment activity. Iowa

The number of hours of subsidized child care authorized for a self-employed parent is based on a statement from the parent on the number of hours needed, unless the agency has a reason to question the parent's statement. Illinois

The numbers of hours authorized cannot exceed the parent's income divided by the state minimum hourly wage. Michigan

Child care assistance is approved based on a parent's completion of a form with self-employment income and expenses.

| 19. Contact Name | 20. Contact Phone Number |
|------------------|--------------------------|
| Elaine Pridgen | (608) 422-7077 |

This document can be made available in alternate formats to individuals with disabilities upon request.

ADMINISTRATIVE RULES Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

ATTACHMENT A

| Summary of Rule's Economic and Fiscal Impact on Small Businesses (Separately for each Small Business Sector, Include Implementation and Compliance Costs Expected to be Incurred) |
|---|
| None |
| 2. Summary of the data sources used to measure the Rule's impact on Small Businesses None |
| 3. Did the agency consider the following methods to reduce the impact of the Rule on Small Businesses? |
| Less Stringent Compliance or Reporting Requirements |
| Less Stringent Schedules or Deadlines for Compliance or Reporting |
| Consolidation or Simplification of Reporting Requirements |
| Establishment of performance standards in lieu of Design or Operational Standards |
| Exemption of Small Businesses from some or all requirements |
| Other, describe: |
| |
| 4. Describe the methods incorporated into the Rule that will reduce its impact on Small Businesses |
| NA |
| 5. Describe the Rule's Enforcement Provisions |
| NA |
| 6. Did the Agency prepare a Cost Benefit Analysis (if Yes, attach to form) |

☐ Yes ⊠ No