

CHAPTER 901

EVIDENCE — GENERAL PROVISIONS

901.01 Scope.
 901.02 Purpose and construction.
 901.03 Rulings on evidence.
 901.04 Preliminary questions.

901.05 Admissibility of certain test results.
 901.06 Limited admissibility.
 901.07 Remainder of or related writings or recorded statements.

NOTE: Extensive comments by the Judicial Council Committee and the Federal Advisory Committee are printed with chs. 901 to 911 in 59 W (2d). The court did not adopt the comments but ordered them printed with the rules for information purposes.

901.01 Scope. Chapters 901 to 911 govern proceedings in the courts of the state of Wisconsin except as provided in ss. 911.01 and 972.11.

History: Sup. Ct. Order, 59 W (2d) R9.

Evidence: A collection of rules not in the statutes. Marion, WBB July, 1985.

901.02 Purpose and construction. Chapters 901 to 911 shall be construed to secure fairness in administration, elimination of unjustifiable expense and delay, and promotion of growth and development of the law of evidence to the end that the truth may be ascertained and proceedings justly determined.

History: Sup. Ct. Order, 59 W (2d) R9; 1981 c. 390.

901.03 Rulings on evidence. (1) EFFECT OF ERRONEOUS RULING. Error may not be predicated upon a ruling which admits or excludes evidence unless a substantial right of the party is affected; and

(a) *Objection.* In case the ruling is one admitting evidence, a timely objection or motion to strike appears of record, stating the specific ground of objection, if the specific ground was not apparent from the context; or

(b) *Offer of proof.* In case the ruling is one excluding evidence, the substance of the evidence was made known to the judge by offer or was apparent from the context within which questions were asked.

(2) **RECORD OF OFFER AND RULING.** The judge may add any other or further statement which shows the character of the evidence, the form in which it was offered, the objection made, and the ruling thereon. He may direct the making of an offer in question and answer form.

(3) **HEARING OF JURY.** In jury cases, proceedings shall be conducted, to the extent practicable, so as to prevent inadmissible evidence from being suggested to the jury by any means, such as making statements or offers of proof or asking questions in the hearing of the jury.

(4) **PLAIN ERROR.** Nothing in this rule precludes taking notice of plain errors affecting substantial rights although they were not brought to the attention of the judge.

History: Sup. Ct. Order, 59 W (2d) R9.

Plain error rule discussed. Virgil v. State, 84 W (2d) 166, 267 NW (2d) 852 (1978).

901.04 Preliminary questions. (1) QUESTIONS OF ADMISSIBILITY GENERALLY. Preliminary questions concerning the qualification of a person to be a witness, the existence of a privilege, or the admissibility of evidence shall be determined by the judge, subject to sub. (2) and ss. 971.31 (11) and 972.11 (2). In making the determination the judge is bound by the rules of evidence only with respect to privileges.

(2) **RELEVANCY CONDITIONED ON FACT.** When the relevancy of evidence depends upon the fulfillment of a condition of

fact, the judge shall admit it upon, or subject to, the introduction of evidence sufficient to support a finding of the fulfillment of the condition.

(3) **HEARING OUT OF THE PRESENCE OF A JURY.** Hearings on any of the following shall be conducted out of the presence of the jury:

(a) Admissibility of confessions.

(b) In actions under s. 940.22, admissibility of evidence of the patient's or client's personal or medical history.

(c) In actions under s. 940.225 or 948.02, admissibility of the prior sexual conduct or reputation of a complaining witness.

NOTE: Par. (c) is shown as amended by 1987 Wis. Act 332, s. 64, eff. 7-1-89. Act 332 adds "or 948.02".

(d) Any preliminary matter if the interests of justice so requires.

(4) **TESTIMONY BY ACCUSED.** The accused does not, by testifying upon a preliminary matter, subject himself to cross-examination as to other issues in the case.

(5) **WEIGHT AND CREDIBILITY.** This section does not limit the right of a party to introduce before the jury evidence relevant to weight or credibility.

History: Sup. Ct. Order, 59 W (2d) R14; 1975 c. 184, 421; 1985 a. 275; 1987 a. 332 s. 64.

See note to art. I, sec. 8, citing State v. Ewald, 63 W (2d) 165, 216 NW (2d) 213.

Statements given police, without Miranda warnings, while defendant was injured and in bed, that he was the driver and had been drinking, while voluntary, were inadmissible, since at that time accusatorial attention had focused on him. Scales v. State, 64 W (2d) 485, 219 NW (2d) 286.

Discussion of totality of circumstances test as to a confession. Brown v. State, 64 W (2d) 581, 219 NW (2d) 373.

Stipulation to admissibility of polygraph examiner's opinion made before test does not foreclose challenge of manner of testing and sufficiency of data supporting opinion. State v. Mendoza, 80 W (2d) 122, 258 NW (2d) 260.

Court's refusal to permit defendant's experts to impeach polygraph examiner at admissibility hearing was reversible error. McLemore v. State, 87 W (2d) 739, 275 NW (2d) 692 (1979).

See note to 907.02, citing State v. Dalton, 98 W (2d) 725, 298 NW (2d) 398 (Cl. App. 1980).

Statement given police, without Miranda warnings, while accused was in emergency room, that accused was driver in fatal crash, was admissible. State v. Clappes, 117 W (2d) 277, 344 NW (2d) 141 (1984).

See note to 906.09, citing 63 Atty. Gen. 424.

901.05 Admissibility of certain test results. (1) In this section, "HIV" means human immunodeficiency virus, which causes acquired immunodeficiency syndrome.

(2) The results of a test for the presence of HIV or an antibody to HIV are not admissible during the course of a civil or criminal action or proceeding or an administrative proceeding, as evidence of a person's character or a trait of his or her character for the purpose of proving that he or she acted in conformity with that character on a particular occasion unless the evidence is admissible under s. 904.04 (1) or 904.05 (2) and unless the following procedures are used:

(a) The court may determine the admissibility of evidence under this section only upon a pretrial motion.

(b) Evidence which is admissible under this section must be determined by the court upon pretrial motion to be material to a fact at issue in the case and of sufficient probative value

to outweigh its inflammatory and prejudicial nature before it may be introduced at trial.

History: 1987 a. 70.

901.06 Limited admissibility. When evidence which is admissible as to one party or for one purpose but not admissible as to another party or for another purpose is admitted, the judge, upon request, shall restrict the evidence to its proper scope and instruct the jury accordingly.

History: Sup. Ct. Order, 59 W (2d) R21.

Admissibility for purpose of establishing identity prevails over inadmissibility for another purpose. *State v. Stawicki*, 93 W (2d) 63, 286 NW (2d) 612 (Ct. App. 1979).

901.07 Remainder of or related writings or recorded statements. When a writing or recorded statement or part thereof is introduced by a party, an adverse party may require him at that time to introduce any other part or any other writing or recorded statement which ought in fairness to be considered contemporaneously with it.

History: Sup. Ct. Order, 59 W (2d) R22.

[Faint, illegible text, likely bleed-through from the reverse side of the page]

[Faint, illegible text, likely bleed-through from the reverse side of the page]