

CHAPTER 975

SEX CRIMES LAW

975.01	End of commitments; declaration of policy.	975.11	Duration of control.
975.06	Commitment to the department.	975.12	Termination of control.
975.07	The effect of appeal from a judgment of conviction.	975.15	Review by court of orders of the department.
975.08	Notice of commitments; treatment, transfer, use of other facilities.	975.16	Appeal from judgment of committing court.
975.09	Periodic examination.	975.17	Option for resentencing.
975.10	Parole.	975.18	Establishment of regulations.

975.01 End of commitments; declaration of policy. (1) No person may be committed under this chapter after July 1, 1980.

(2) The legislature finds and declares that persons violating s. 940.225, 948.02 or 948.06 or committing crimes when motivated by a desire for sexual excitement may be in need of specialized treatment. The legislature intends that the department should provide treatment for those persons.

History: 1975 c. 184 s. 13; 1975 c. 421; 1979 c. 117; 1987 a. 332 s. 64.

NOTE: Sub. (2) is shown as amended by 1987 Wis. Act 332, s. 64, eff. 7-1-89. Act 332 added "948.02 or 948.06".

Trial court had no authority to vacate sex crimes act commitment for purpose of sentencing offender under criminal code. *State v. Machner*, 101 W (2d) 79, 303 NW (2d) 633 (1981).

Repeal of the Wisconsin sex crimes act. 1980 WLR 941.

975.06 Commitment to the department. (1) (a) If the department recommends specialized treatment for the defendant's mental or physical aberrations, the court shall order a hearing on the issue of the need for specialized treatment unless such hearing is expressly waived by the defendant. The hearing shall be conducted by the court or as provided in par. (b). The court may consider any department rule established in accordance with ch. 227 establishing criteria for recommending specialized treatment. The defendant shall be afforded the opportunity to appear with counsel; process to compel the attendance of witnesses and the production of evidence; and a physician, or clinical psychologist of defendant's choosing to examine the defendant and testify in defendant's behalf. If unable to provide counsel or expert witness, the court shall appoint such to represent or examine the defendant.

(b) The hearing shall be to a jury, unless the defendant waives a jury. The number of jurors shall be determined under s. 756.096 (3) (b). The procedure shall be substantially like a jury trial in a civil action. The judge may instruct the jurors in the law. No verdict is valid or received unless agreed to and signed by five-sixths of the jurors. At the time of ordering a jury to be summoned, the court shall fix the date of hearing, which date shall be not less than 30 days nor more than 40 days after the demand for the jury was made. The court shall submit to the jury the following form of verdict:

STATE OF WISCONSIN

.... County

Members of the Jury:

Do you find from the evidence that the defendant (Insert name) is in need of specialized treatment? Answer "Yes" or "No".

(2) If, upon completion of the hearing as required in sub. (1), it is found that the defendant is in need of specialized treatment the court shall commit the defendant to the department. The court may stay execution of the commitment and place the defendant on probation under ch. 973 with a condition of probation that the defendant receive treatment in a manner to be prescribed by the court. If the defendant is

not placed on probation, the court shall order the defendant conveyed by the proper county authorities, at county expense, to the sex crimes law facility designated by the department.

(3) Probation under sub. (2) shall be construed as a commitment to the department for the purposes of continuation of control as provided in this chapter.

(4) If, upon the completion of the hearing required in sub. (1), it is found that the defendant is not in need of such specialized treatment the court shall sentence the defendant as provided in ch. 973.

(5) If records of the department are required for any hearing under this chapter, they shall be made available upon a subpoena directed to the coordinator of the special review board of the department, who may respond in person or designate an agent to produce the records of the department.

(6) Persons committed under this section who are also encumbered with other sentences, whether concurrent with or consecutive to the commitment, may be placed by the department in any of the facilities listed in s. 975.08 (2) or (3). Such facilities may be regarded as state prisons for the purpose of beginning the other sentences, crediting time served on them, and computing parole eligibility dates.

History: 1973 c. 44; 1975 c. 155, 199, 200; 1977 c. 447 s. 210; 1981 c. 20.

Legislative Council Note, 1975: This bill inserts provisions for a jury trial in the procedures to commit (s. 975.06) and recommit (s. 975.14) convicted defendants for special treatment under the Sex Crimes Law. In *State ex rel. Farrell v. Stovall* (1973), 59 Wis. 2d 148, the Wisconsin Supreme Court ruled, on equal protection grounds, that hearings on commitment and recommitment under the Sex Crimes Law must give the defendant the same rights as a proceeding under Ch. 51 (commitment for mental illness); i.e., a hearing on the issue to a jury. This bill provides for a 12-person jury, but allows the defendant to request a 6-person jury or waive a jury. It also requires that jury verdicts favoring special treatment must be agreed to by five-sixths of the jurors. The five-sixths requirement is drawn from ch. 51, and is also the standard for civil actions (see s. 270.25 [805.09 (2)]). [Bill 259-A]

A commitment to the department does not constitute cruel and unusual punishment. *Howland v. State*, 51 W (2d) 162, 186 NW (2d) 319.

The defendant is entitled to a jury determination on the question of his sexual deviancy at his initial commitment and any recommitment under 975.14. The procedure is substantially like a jury trial in a civil action. Some distinctions to a judicial review and release are still permitted. *State ex rel. Farrell v. Stovall*, 59 W (2d) 148, 207 NW (2d) 809.

A defendant, convicted of rape, committed while out on bail awaiting a new trial on a prior rape charge, who was placed on probation and ordered to receive outpatient treatment as a sex deviate upon the department's recommendation, did not, after retrial and conviction of the first offense and change in the department's report, establish trial court abuse of discretion in committing him to the department. *Cousins v. State*, 62 W (2d) 217, 214 NW (2d) 315.

Court may impose criminal sentence consecutive to sex crimes commitment. *State v. Kruse*, 101 W (2d) 387, 305 NW (2d) 85 (1981).

See note to Art. I, sec. 8, citing *Hill v. Burke*, 422 F (2d) 1195.

975.07 The effect of appeal from a judgment of conviction.

(1) The right of a defendant to appeal from the judgment of conviction is not affected by this chapter.

(2) If a person who has been convicted and committed to the department appeals from a conviction, the execution of the commitment to the department shall not be stayed by the appeal except as provided in sub. (3).

(3) If the committing court is of the opinion that the appeal was taken in good faith and that the question raised merits review by the appellate court, or when there has been filed with the court a certificate that a judge of an appellate court is of the opinion that questions have been raised that merit review, the judge of the court in which the person was convicted, or in the case of his incapacity to act, the judge by whom the certificate was filed, may direct that such person be released on bond under such conditions as, in the judge's opinion, will insure his submission to the control of the department at the proper time if it is determined on the appeal that the department is entitled to custody.

975.08 Notice of commitments; treatment, transfer, use of other facilities. (1) If a court commits a person to the department under s. 975.06 it shall at once notify the department of such action in writing.

(2) The department shall then arrange for his treatment in the institution best suited in its judgment to care for him. It may transfer him to or from any institution, including any correctional institution listed under s. 53.01, to provide for him according to his needs and to protect the public. The department may irrespective of his consent require participation by him in vocational, physical, educational and correctional training and activities; may require such modes of life and conduct as seem best adapted to fit him for return to full liberty without danger to the public; and may make use of other methods of treatment and any treatment conducive to the correction of the person and to the prevention of future violations of law by him.

(3) The department may make use of law enforcement, detention, parole, medical, psychiatric, psychological, educational, correctional, segregative and other resources, institutions and agencies, public or private, within the state. The department may enter into agreements with public officials for separate care and special treatment (in existing institutions) of persons subject to the control of the department under this chapter. Nothing herein contained shall give the department control over existing institutions or agencies not already under its control, or give it power to make use of any private agency or institution without its consent.

(4) Placement of a person by the department in any institution or agency, not operated by the department, or his discharge by such institution or agency, shall not terminate the control of the department over him. No person placed in such institution or agency may be released therefrom except to the department or after approval of such release by the department.

History: 1981 c. 20.

975.09 Periodic examination. (1) The department shall make periodic examinations of all persons within its control under s. 975.06 for the purpose of determining whether existing orders and dispositions in individual cases should be modified or continued in force. These examinations may be made as frequently as the department considers desirable and shall be made with respect to every person at intervals not exceeding one year. The department shall keep written records of all examinations and of conclusions predicated thereon, and of all orders concerning the disposition or treatment of every person under its control. Failure of the department to examine a person committed to it or to make periodic examination does not entitle the person to a discharge from the control of the department, but does entitle the person to petition the committing court for an order of discharge, and the court shall discharge the person unless it appears in accordance with sub. (3) that there is necessity for further control.

(2) If the person petitions the court for discharge under sub. (1), the person may appear in court with counsel and compel the attendance of witnesses and the production of evidence. The person may have a physician or clinical psychologist of the person's choosing examine the person and the medical records in the institution to which confined or at some suitable place designated by the department. If unable to provide counsel, the court shall appoint counsel to represent the person. Section 975.06 (1) governs the procedure of the hearing.

(3) If, after a hearing, it is found that discharge from the control of the department of the person to whom the order applies would be dangerous to the public because of the person's mental or physical deficiency, disorder or abnormality, the court shall dismiss the petition. If it is found that discharge from the control of the department would not be dangerous to the public for the causes stated, the court shall order that the person be discharged from the control of the department.

History: 1979 c. 117.

Minimum due process requirements for reexamination of sex crimes commitment between initial commitment and expiration of maximum time discussed. *State ex rel. Terry v. Percy*, 95 W (2d) 476, 290 NW (2d) 713 (1980).

At hearing under (3), state's burden of proof is to establish need for control by a preponderance of evidence. *State v. Hanson*, 100 W (2d) 549, 302 NW (2d) 452 (1981).

Reexamination of sex crimes commitment discussed. *State v. Higginbotham*, 110 W (2d) 393, 329 NW (2d) 250 (Ct. App. 1982).

975.10 Parole. Any person committed as provided in this chapter may be paroled if it appears to the satisfaction of the department after recommendation by a special review board, appointed by the department, a majority of whose members shall not be connected with the department, that the person is capable of making an acceptable adjustment in society. Before a person is released on parole under this section, the department shall so notify the municipal police department and county sheriff for the area where the person will be residing. The notification requirement does not apply if a municipal department or county sheriff submits to the department a written statement waiving the right to be notified.

History: 1981 c. 266.

The special review board has no power to recommend forfeiture of good time of a prisoner. *State ex rel. Farrell v. Schubert*, 52 W (2d) 351, 190 NW (2d) 529.

The special review board. *Schmidt*, 1973 WLR 172.

975.11 Duration of control. The department shall keep every person committed to it under s. 975.06 under its control and shall retain him, subject to the limitations of s. 975.12 under supervision and control, so long as in its judgment such control is necessary for the protection of the public. The department shall discharge any such person as soon as in its opinion there is reasonable probability that he can be given full liberty without danger to the public, but no person convicted of a felony shall, without the written approval of the committing court, be discharged prior to 2 years after the date of his commitment.

975.12 Termination of control. (1) Every person committed to the department under this chapter who has not been discharged as provided in this chapter shall be discharged at the expiration of one year or the expiration of the maximum term prescribed by the law for the offense for which he or she was committed subject to sub. (2) and the credit provisions of s. 973.155, whichever period of time is greater, unless the department has petitioned for civil commitment of the person under s. 51.20. For the purpose of this subsection, sentence shall begin at noon of the day of the commitment by the court to the department.

(2) All commitments under s. 975.06 for offenses committed after July 1, 1970, shall be subject to ss. 53.11 and 53.12. If

the department is of the opinion that release on parole under s. 53.11 (7) (a), 1981 stats., would be dangerous to the public, it shall petition for civil commitment under s. 51.20.

(3) Every person subject to the extended control of the department under ss. 975.13 to 975.15, 1977 stats., shall be discharged 5 years from the date of the commencement of extended control unless previously discharged under s. 975.15. If the department is of the opinion that release of a person from extended control would be dangerous to the public, it shall petition for civil commitment under s. 51.20.

History: 1977 c. 353; 1979 c. 117; 1983 a. 528 s. 28.

See note to Art. I, sec. 1, citing Milewski v. State, 74 W (2d) 681, 248 NW (2d) 70.

975.15 Review by court of orders of the department.

During any period of extended control, but not more often than semiannually, a person may apply to the committing court for a reexamination of his or her mental condition and the court shall fix a time for hearing the matter. The proceeding shall be as provided in s. 51.20 (16), except as otherwise provided in this section.

History: 1975 c. 430 s. 80; 1977 c. 428 s. 115; 1979 c. 117.

975.16 Appeal from judgment of committing court. (1) If, under this chapter the court affirms an order of the department, the person whose liberty is involved may appeal to the proper appellate court for a reversal or modification of the

order. The appeal shall be taken as provided by law for appeals to said court from the judgment of an inferior court.

(2) At the hearing of an appeal the appellate court may base its judgment upon the record, or it may upon its own motion or at the request of either the appellant or the department refer the matter back for the taking of additional evidence.

(3) The appellate court may confirm the order of the lower court, or modify it, or reverse it and order the appellant to be discharged.

(4) Pending appeal the appellant shall remain under the control of the department.

975.17 Option for resentencing. A person who has been committed under ch. 975, 1977 stats., or the department, may petition the committing court for resentencing. A court shall act upon any petition received, and resentencing shall be in accordance with ch. 973. The person shall be given credit for time served pursuant to the commitment under this chapter.

History: 1979 c. 117; 1981 c. 20.

975.18 Establishment of regulations. The department may promulgate rules concerning parole, revocation of parole, supervision of parolees, and any other matters necessary for the administration of this chapter.