

Community and Technical College Governance

September 18, 2014

National Center for Higher Education Management Systems (NCHEMS)

Evolution of colleges

The governance of community and technical colleges across the 50 states defies easy description or classification. Each state's colleges evolved from unique state circumstances. Examples of development include:

- Colleges that evolved, often as junior colleges, primarily through local initiative on the basis of school districts and the K-12 system with limited state oversight.
- Colleges that developed through state law that established a framework for both local governance and a statewide structure for community college development
- Colleges that evolved from postsecondary vocational/technical systems—usually linked to the state board for vocational education.
- Colleges that evolved from branch campuses linked to state universities

Some states experienced the proliferation of two or more of these developments resulting in highly fragmented networks of less-than-baccalaureate-level institutions. Many of the governance controversies in the 1970s through the 1990s revolved around rationalizing these networks.

Patterns of state and system governance

As a result of the unique state evolution, community colleges vary widely in the forms of state and local governance (see Appendix 1)

The powers of state-level structures differ according to three categories:

- System governing boards have broad powers related to the governance of the colleges within their jurisdiction. A distinguishing power is the authority to appoint or to approve the appointment of college-level presidents.
- Statewide coordinating boards have powers to strategically plan, allocate resources, hold colleges accountable for performance, and provide central services. However, these boards do not have authority to appoint college presidents. Each college functions as a separate entity under its own governing board.
- State regulatory agencies regulate locally governed community colleges and play only limited system coordinating functions.

Patterns of state and local funding

Community and technical colleges are generally financed from state and local sources in either of two ways:

- Funding from a combination of local tax resources and state appropriations. Local tax resources commonly come from:
 - Mill levies set by community college taxing districts or local governments
 - Contributions/assessments paid by local governments

- Funding from state appropriations without funding from local tax resources

In approximately 25 states, community and technical colleges receive a portion of their funding from local tax sources. State appropriations and student tuition and fees are the other principal revenue sources. In the other 25 states, community and technical colleges are funded primarily from state appropriations with limited, if any, funding from local tax sources (see Appendix 2 for shares of funding from state appropriations, local appropriations, and student tuition and fees for each state).

Relationship of governance to resources of funding

The level of centralization in community and technical college governance is generally related to the primary sources of funding (see Appendix 3).

- Colleges that have significant levels of funding from local tax resources are generally governed by local boards that operate within the framework of overall statewide coordination or regulation. The state-level boards do not have governing authority as described above.
- Colleges that receive most of their funding from state appropriations are commonly governed by a system board. In some cases, the individual colleges have local advisory boards with authority delegated by the system board. In three states (Florida, Washington State, and West Virginia), the colleges have local boards with broad governing powers and function within the framework of overall statewide coordination.

Governance of technical college systems

Technical college systems in several states evolved from vocational/technical systems established under the requirements of federal vocational education laws dating back to the Smith-Hughes Act of 1917. These federal laws required that federally-funded vocational education programs be under the supervision of a designated sole state agency, the state boards for vocational education. The legacy of these federal requirements is that postsecondary technical institutes or colleges in several states continue to be centrally governed and funded. Their principal sources of funding are state appropriations and student tuition and fees. These state-funded institutions exist in several states (e.g., Kansas, Pennsylvania and Texas) in which most of the community colleges are locally governed and funded partially from local tax resources.

Trends in community and technical college governance

Just as governance structures are unique to each state, the major changes in state-level community college governance over the past fifty years have similarly taken place because of particular state circumstances.

The major governance changes, clustered according to common themes, were:

- **Consolidating two-year institutions under a single community and technical college board.** North Carolina (1979), Washington State (1991), Connecticut (1992), Kentucky (1997), Louisiana (1998) and West Virginia (2000) established consolidated state community (and technical) college systems under statewide governing boards. In each of these cases, the states consolidated highly fragmented networks of two-year university campuses, community colleges and postsecondary technical institutions to form statewide systems.
- **Consolidating oversight of locally governed community colleges and state technical institutions under a statewide university governing board.** Kansas (1999) moved the statewide oversight of the locally governed community colleges and the state technical institutions from the State Board of Education to the Board of Regents, the statewide

governing board for universities. The community colleges, however, retained their local governing authority.

- **Consolidating of community and technical colleges and access-oriented state universities under a single governing board.** Minnesota (1995) and Connecticut (2011) consolidated previously independent state community and technical college boards under a state-wide board also responsible for governing state universities (but not the states' major flagship university). In neither of these states are there local college boards.
- **Expanding the mission of an existing technical college system.** Indiana (2005), Maine (2003) and New Hampshire (1999) reconfigured technical college systems to ensure that the full range of community college services (including transfer programs) might be available in every region of the state.
- **Eliminating a state-level coordinating board for locally governed community colleges.** Arizona (2003), a state with local elected boards and financing that includes local tax support, state appropriations and tuition, abolished the state-level coordinating board for community colleges. Efforts to re-establish this entity have been rejected.

**Appendix 1 - Patterns of State Coordination and Governance of Community Colleges
and Other Two-Year Institutions**

| State | State Board of Education <i>Coordinates and Regulates</i> Community Colleges States=5 | Consolidated Governing Board for Both Two- and Four-year institutions <i>Governs</i> Community Colleges States = 17 | Coordinating Board for All Higher Education <i>Coordinates Locally</i> Governed Community Colleges States = 11 | Independent State Board Coordinates Community Colleges and/or Technical Institutions States = 8 | Independent State Board Governs Community Colleges and/or Technical Institutions States = 14 | Four-year Institutions have Two-year Branches States = 11 | Postsecondary Technical Institutes Organized Separately From Community Colleges States = 12 | Some Four-year Institutions Offer Associate Degree Programs States = 19 |
|---------------|--|--|---|--|---|--|--|--|
| Alabama | X (1) | | | | | | X (1) | X |
| Alaska | | X (2) | | | | | X (2) | X (2) |
| Arizona | | | X | | | X | | X |
| Arkansas | | | | X | | | | |
| California | | | | | X (3) | X (3) | | |
| Colorado | | | | | | X | | |
| Connecticut | | | | | | X | | |
| Delaware | | | | | | X | | |
| Florida | | | X (4) | | X (4) | | | X (4) |
| Georgia | | | X | | | X (5) | | |
| Hawaii | | | X | | | | | |
| Idaho | | X (6) | X (6) | | X | | X (6) | X (6) |
| Illinois | | | | | | | | |
| Indiana | | | | | | X (7) | X (16) | |
| Iowa | | | | | | | | X |
| Kansas | | | | | | X | | X |
| Kentucky | | | | | | X | | X |
| Louisiana | | | | | | X | X | X |
| Maine | | | | | | X | | X |
| Maryland | | | | | X | | | |
| Massachusetts | | | | | | | | X |
| Michigan | | X (18) | | | | | | |
| Minnesota | | | | X | | | | X |

| State | State Board of Education <i>Coordinates and Regulates</i> Community Colleges States=5 | Consolidated Governing Board for Both Two- and Four-year institutions <i>Governs</i> Community Colleges States = 17 | Coordinating Board for All Higher Education <i>Coordinates Locally</i> Governed Community Colleges States = 11 | Independent State Board <i>Coordinates Community Colleges and/or Technical Institutions</i> States = 8 | Independent State Board <i>Governs</i> Community Colleges and/or Technical Institutions States = 14 | Four-year Institutions have Two-year Branches States = 11 | Postsecondary Technical Institutes Organized Separately From Community Colleges States = 12 | Some Four-year Institutions Offer Associate Degree Programs States = 19 |
|----------------|--|--|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| Mississippi | | | X | | | | X | X |
| Missouri | | | X | | | | X | X |
| Montana | | | X (11) | | | | | |
| Nebraska | | | X | X (12) | | | | |
| Nevada | | | X | | | | | |
| New Hampshire | | | X | | X | | | |
| New Jersey | | | X | | | X (13) | | |
| New Mexico | | | X (19) | | | | | |
| New York | | | X (14) | | | | | |
| North Carolina | | | X | | X | | | |
| North Dakota | | | X | | | | | |
| Ohio | | | X | | | X | X | X |
| Oklahoma | | | X | | | X | X | X |
| Oregon | | | X | | | | | |
| Pennsylvania | | | X | | | X | X | X |
| Rhode Island | | | X | | | | | |
| South Carolina | | | | | X (15) | X | | X |
| South Dakota | | | | | | | X | X |
| Tennessee | | | X | | | | | |
| Texas | | | X | | | X | X | X |
| Utah | | | X (21) | X | | | X (21) | |
| Vermont | | | | | | | | |
| Virginia | | | | | | | | |
| Washington | | | | | | | | |
| West Virginia | | | | | | | | |
| Wisconsin | | | | | | | X | |

| State | State Board of Education Coordinates and Regulates Community Colleges States=5 | Consolidated Governing Board for Both Two- and Four-year institutions Governs Community Colleges States = 17 | Coordinating Board for All Higher Education Coordinates Locally Governed Community Colleges and/or Technical Institutions States = 11 | Independent State Board Coordinates Community Colleges and/or Technical Institutions States = 8 | Independent State Board Governs Community Colleges and/or Technical Institutions States = 14 | Postsecondary Technical Institutes Organized Separately From Community Colleges States = 11 | Some Four-year Institutions Offer Associate Degree Programs States = 12 |
|----------------------|---|---|--|--|---|--|--|
| Wyoming | | X | | X | | | |
| Puerto Rico | | | X | | | | |
| District of Columbia | | | | | | | |

- (1) Community Colleges and technical institutions are both under jurisdiction of the State Board of Education, but organized separately.
- (2) Only one campus functions as a community college, other former community colleges have been integrated with regional UA institutions.
- (3) Colorado Board is a governing board for state-operated community colleges and coordinating board for locally governed colleges.
- (4) State Board of Education's jurisdiction includes both the coordinating board for community colleges and the administrative entity for technical institutions but these units function separately.
- (5) Technical College System of Georgia.
- (6) Idaho State Board is responsible for all levels of education, including coordinating two local governed community colleges, governing universities that have community college missions and the technical colleges.
- (7) Ivy Tech Community College Board of Trustees.
- (8) State Department of Education coordinates locally governed community colleges and administers the state operated technical institutions
- (11) Board of Regents has a coordinating responsibility for local community colleges. Former vocational/technical centers are now linked to one of the two universities.
- (12) In addition to the formal role of the coordinating board, a state association performs a voluntary coordinating role for the locally governed community colleges.
- (13) Vocational-technical institutions are under the authority of the Department of Education.
- (14) SUNY includes both community colleges that are partially financed at the county level, as well as five state-funded colleges of technology. CUNY includes several community colleges.
- (15) State Board of Technical and Comprehensive Education.
- (16) Indiana University branches transitioning to four-year campuses.

- (17) Kansas Board of Regents governs four-year institutions but coordinates locally governed community colleges.
- (18) Michigan Department of Education has limited authority to only approve certain career and technical programs as recommended by local community colleges
- (19) Statewide coordination by New Mexico Department of Higher Education
- (20) Community colleges and technical institutes/colleges are both under the state Board of Regents but function separately.
- (21) Utah College of Applied Technology and community colleges are both under the State Board of Regents but function separately.

Appendix 2 -- Revenues by State for Public Two-Year Colleges (Tribal excluded), 2011-12

| State | Tuition and Fees | State Approp | Local Approp | Percent | | Percent | | Percent | |
|---------------|------------------|--------------|--------------|-------------|------|---------|----------|---------|--------|
| | | | | Tuition | Fees | Total | and Fees | Approp | State |
| Alaska | 925,614 | 3,676,202 | - | 4,601,816 | 20.1 | 79.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | Local |
| Connecticut | 96,074,051 | 203,920,277 | - | 299,994,328 | 32.0 | 68.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | Approp |
| Delaware | 45,194,202 | 66,651,403 | - | 111,845,605 | 40.4 | 59.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | |
| Hawaii | 45,402,301 | 93,260,345 | - | 138,662,646 | 32.7 | 67.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | |
| Indiana | 141,758,041 | 216,235,174 | - | 357,993,215 | 39.6 | 60.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | |
| Louisiana | 102,236,462 | 142,468,082 | - | 244,704,544 | 41.8 | 58.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | |
| Maine | 14,281,727 | 49,250,213 | - | 63,531,940 | 22.5 | 77.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | |
| Massachusetts | 223,999,828 | 277,887,197 | - | 501,887,025 | 44.6 | 55.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | |
| Minnesota | 284,092,000 | 310,801,000 | - | 594,893,000 | 47.8 | 52.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | |
| Nevada | 13,166,000 | 30,590,000 | - | 43,756,000 | 30.1 | 69.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | |
| New Hampshire | 63,752,632 | 29,242,036 | - | 92,994,668 | 68.6 | 31.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | |
| North Dakota | 15,090,700 | 29,758,227 | - | 44,848,927 | 33.6 | 66.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | |
| Rhode Island | 32,018,883 | 44,482,657 | - | 76,501,540 | 41.9 | 58.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | |
| South Dakota | 26,712,273 | 12,652,383 | - | 39,364,656 | 67.9 | 32.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | |
| Tennessee | 186,218,702 | 239,790,133 | - | 426,008,835 | 43.7 | 56.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | |
| Utah | 65,778,533 | 93,531,318 | - | 159,309,851 | 41.3 | 58.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | |
| Vermont | 18,721,731 | 4,705,161 | - | 23,426,892 | 79.9 | 20.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | |
| Washington | 263,977,664 | 432,899,798 | - | 696,877,462 | 37.9 | 62.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | |
| Georgia | 235,612,743 | 374,300,402 | 44,213 | 609,957,358 | 38.6 | 61.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | |
| Kentucky | 105,487,000 | 156,762,740 | 44,130 | 262,293,870 | 40.2 | 59.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | |
| Alabama | 146,332,813 | 289,657,493 | 1,277,347 | 437,267,653 | 33.5 | 66.2 | 0.3 | 0.3 | |
| Virginia | 362,647,259 | 310,998,596 | 2,139,793 | 675,785,648 | 53.7 | 46.0 | 0.3 | 0.3 | |
| West Virginia | 26,213,024 | 52,327,312 | 874,326 | 79,414,662 | 33.0 | 65.9 | 1.1 | 1.1 | |

| | | | | | | | |
|-------------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|---------------|------|------|------|
| Florida | 146,860,206 | 265,855,479 | 27,266,902 | 439,982,587 | 33.4 | 60.4 | 6.2 |
| Arkansas | 60,668,353 | 173,322,047 | 25,472,442 | 259,462,842 | 23.4 | 66.8 | 9.8 |
| Colorado | 248,243,097 | 8,795,134 | 30,461,548 | 287,499,779 | 86.3 | 3.1 | 10.6 |
| Pennsylvania ** | 371,434,286 | 234,971,159 | 111,523,772 | 717,929,217 | 51.7 | 32.7 | 15.5 |
| North Carolina ** | 215,859,437 | 872,165,420 | 200,979,897 | 1,289,004,754 | 16.7 | 67.7 | 15.6 |

| State | Tuition and Fees | State Approp | Total Approp | Tuition and Fees | Total | Percent Tuition | Percent State | Percent Local |
|-------------------|------------------|---------------|---------------|------------------|-------|-----------------|---------------|---------------|
| Mississippi ** | 90,225,633 | 226,937,870 | 59,716,232 | 376,879,735 | 23.9 | 60.2 | 15.8 | |
| South Carolina ** | 221,132,643 | 104,848,881 | 61,813,259 | 387,794,783 | 57.0 | 27.0 | 15.9 | |
| Ohio ** | 385,828,680 | 404,430,712 | 158,682,407 | 948,941,799 | 40.7 | 42.6 | 16.7 | |
| Montana ** | 18,100,627 | 21,860,577 | 8,403,967 | 48,365,171 | 37.4 | 45.2 | 17.4 | |
| Idaho ** | 48,618,664 | 46,971,693 | 20,558,592 | 116,148,949 | 41.9 | 40.4 | 17.7 | |
| Wyoming ** | 31,011,019 | 115,085,633 | 45,274,256 | 191,370,908 | 16.2 | 60.1 | 23.7 | |
| Iowa ** | 170,790,524 | 188,359,250 | 114,880,209 | 474,029,983 | 36.0 | 39.7 | 24.2 | |
| New Jersey ** | 424,582,972 | 135,743,630 | 194,451,798 | 754,778,400 | 56.3 | 18.0 | 25.8 | |
| Oregon * | 271,677,898 | 255,171,240 | 203,406,849 | 730,255,987 | 37.2 | 34.9 | 27.9 | |
| Oklahoma ** | 71,241,372 | 173,305,070 | 117,103,528 | 361,649,970 | 19.7 | 47.9 | 32.4 | |
| New York ** | 618,326,971 | 599,927,697 | 623,874,352 | 1,842,129,020 | 33.6 | 32.6 | 33.9 | |
| Missouri ** | 116,436,527 | 108,849,214 | 115,447,235 | 340,732,976 | 34.2 | 31.9 | 33.9 | |
| Maryland ** | 318,278,227 | 257,411,136 | 310,463,216 | 886,152,579 | 35.9 | 29.0 | 35.0 | |
| New Mexico ** | 55,720,846 | 168,607,999 | 126,889,521 | 351,218,366 | 15.9 | 48.0 | 36.1 | |
| California ** | 691,182,474 | 2,945,547,973 | 2,249,116,664 | 5,885,847,111 | 11.7 | 50.0 | 38.2 | |
| Texas ** | 810,620,748 | 1,071,307,007 | 1,298,984,124 | 3,180,911,879 | 25.5 | 33.7 | 40.8 | |
| Michigan ** | 400,411,775 | 283,506,318 | 523,243,064 | 1,207,161,157 | 33.2 | 23.5 | 43.3 | |
| Kansas ** | 128,864,015 | 136,834,491 | 209,844,975 | 475,543,481 | 27.1 | 28.8 | 44.1 | |
| Nebraska ** | 58,845,685 | 89,367,892 | 127,736,654 | 275,950,231 | 21.3 | 32.4 | 46.3 | |
| Illinois ** | 534,752,380 | 329,038,170 | 924,855,114 | 1,788,645,664 | 29.9 | 18.4 | 51.7 | |
| Wisconsin ** (1) | 151,244,424 | 78,687,917 | 651,569,601 | 881,501,942 | 17.1 | 8.9 | 73.9 | |
| Arizona ** | 219,752,787 | 69,742,030 | 659,982,442 | 949,477,259 | 23.1 | 7.3 | 69.5 | |

Data Source: NCHEMS IPEDS Finance Survey, Part A, 2011-12

(1) Wisconsin data include only the Wisconsin Technical College System

** Most colleges in state have local tax support

Appendix 3 -- Patterns of State and System Governance for Community and Technical Colleges
Related to Level of Funding from Local Tax Sources

| Most Community and Technical Colleges Receive a Percentage of Funding from Local Tax Sources (Total=25). Most colleges in these states have local governing boards | | Most Community and Technical Colleges Receive Are State-Funded and Do Not Have Funding from Local Tax Sources (25) Except as noted, colleges in these states do not have local boards | | | | | | | |
|---|---|--|--------------------|-------------------|-----------------|-----------------|--------------------|-------------------|-----------------|
| Level of centralization: High to low, left to right | | Level of centralization: High to low, left to right | | | | | | | |
| | | Governing Board | Coordinating Board | Regulatory Agency | No state Agency | Governing Board | Coordinating Board | Regulatory Agency | No state Agency |
| Arizona | X | X | X | X | X | X* | | | |
| Arkansas | X | X | | | Alaska | X | | | |
| California | X | X | | | Colorado | X* | | | |
| Idaho | X | X | | | Connecticut | X | | | |
| Iowa | | | X | | Delaware | X | | | |
| Illinois | X | | | | Florida | | X | | |
| Kansas | | X | | | Georgia | X | | | |
| Maryland | | X | | | Hawaii | X | | | |
| Michigan | | | X | | Indiana | X | | | |
| Mississippi | | X | | | Kentucky | X | | | |

Notes: * States that have local advisory boards for community colleges with authority from system governing board
Aims McGuinness, National Center for Higher Education Management Systems (NCHEMS), September 2014