

Legislative Council (30 August 2016) Capitol 412E

Question 1: Background EMT Licensing and Continuing Education Standards

[volunteers provide 80% EMS]

[In-kind \$44-72 mil/year—just responders—not other support personnel]

Over-riding issues:

- “Increasing complexity of care”??
- Initial EMT Education + Training too long? First responder pretty short (49h)
- Ongoing increased in number of hours (by 250+%) by what “we” think is needed—who is we and how do we know?
 - Same at national level
- Who/how sets Continuing Education agenda---by # hours of...
- How determine needs for Continuing Education needs?
 - ?run reviews
 - Guess
- Who approves Continuing Education agenda?? ?? do med directors sign off on all cont ed and relicensure—how do they know?
- Call volume increasing 4-7%/year—why??
- How accredit *competencies* of students and faculty—QA
- Funding Assistance Program cut by \$300K (\$2.2 mil to \$1.9 mil/year)
- Yesterday—today—tomorrow

Chronology:

- 1966
 - *Accidental Death and Disability and Health in the Dirt*
 - Hearse ambulances by Funeral Director
 - US Highway Safety Act
- 1968
 - Farrington/Anast—*Emergency Care and Transportation of the Injured and Ill*, Am Coll Ortho Surg
 - Bible (orange book)
 - “Emergency Medical Technician”
 - WI—6 instructors plus physicians around state
 - +5 years
- 1973
 - US EMS Act
 - Establish NHTSA
 - Project 40—block grants
 - Health Services Areas
 - Must demonstrate all 15 components to be funded
 - Education
 - Evaluation!!!—didn’t happen
 - WI Act 321
 - Licensing of EMTs (Basic/paramedic)
 - EMS Section
 - EMS Examining Council
 - EMT-P Madison/Milwaukee using Project 40→ \$s
 - Renewed 1975 and 1977---NOT 1979!!! → For US-EMS Act
- 1989!!!! Act 102 (gap 15 years)
 - Funding Assistance Program (\$2.2 million/year to basic services+)

- Request National Highway Traffic Safety Agency Technical Assistance Team (NHTSA-TAT) evaluation
- 1990 NHTSA-TAT
 - Resources (\$s) from Department of Transportation (DOT) not DHS
 - Recommendations picked up by Leg Council (1992-1993) included
 - Appoint Lead agency
 - Develop EMS Advisory Committee
 - State Medical Director
 - Single *data* system and Uniform *data* collection
 - *Mandatory* evaluation
 - Quality Assurance at all levels
 - Communicators
 - Inter-facility transfers
 - Develop Trauma system
- 1991
 - Funding to EMS cut by Department
 - Secretary buried TAT report
- 1992-1993 Leg Council
 - Recommendations
 - EMS Board with powers of Board
 - Establish/employ State Medical Director
 - Establish Physician Advisory Committee to ... (PAC)
 - 10 reports to Legislature
 - By 31 Dec 94—Regionalization
 - By 30 June 95—Uniform data collection
 - By 31 December 1995—8 others (See attached)
- 1994
 - Act 251
 - As proposed by Leg Council
 - Continuing education hours and alternative delivery methods
 - Act 16
 - EMS “Advisory” Board—no powers
 - State Medical Director (0.5FTE @ \$50K from DOT!!!)
 - Regionalization Report “to Legislature” submitted to DHS
 - Recommend regionalization of EMS
 - Advantages
 - QA with *improved data collection and analysis*—analysis not possible at local level
 - More hosp involvement
 - Decrease overall costs
 - System = entry thru ED
 - Warn impending problem with recruitment and retention of volunteers
 - Many others
 - Sent back to Board for more information--Not passed on to Legislature
- 1996—Regionalization II (October)
 - ? form new state agency (EMS/Fire/Law enforcement)
 - Increasing levels of care with decreasing resources
 - Regional vs Local *data*
 - Improve quality of Education and Training
 - Increase hospital involvement
 - Volunteers contribute in-kind \$44-80 mil/year (\$10/hr)
 - Reports never sent to legislature by Dept
- 1999

- Scope of Practice document
 - Standards for Educators and Medical Directors
 - Eliminate EMT designation → Levels I-IV
 - Modular system for advancement to higher levels
 - Paramedic additions: Flight, Primary care (community); Critical Care Transport, Management, Education, HazMat, Disaster, and Communicator
- 2001 NHTSA-TAT
 - Bureau status!!!
 - Bureau authority to approve training centers and courses [by whom??]
 - Instructor training program by administrative rule
 - Adopt National EMS Curriculum with modifications
 - First Responder (FR) definition standardized
 - Unable to cert FR due to lack of \$\$
 - Had some bridge courses
 - Short staff—unable to implement
 - Technical assistance
 - Data support—collection and analysis
 - FR cert
 - Communicator trg
 - Verification of trg centers
 - Recommendations
 - ? ability to comply WI Educational System with “agenda for Future”
 - Develop mechanism to obtain and utilize data re: qualifications of instructors
 - Need bridge courses from entry FR to paramedic
 - Conduct random audits for quality and reliability for relicensure
 - Recruitment and retention ongoing issue—only pockets
 - Develop programs for recruitment and retention of volunteers
- 2005 GAO Report (requested by Finegold/Collins)
 - Retention of infrequently used
 - Medical skills
 - Training
 - Management
 - Budgeting
 - Personnel
 - Organization
 - ??clinical experiences
 - Increased demand for services with decreased resources
- 2012 NHTSA TAT
 - Now at Unit level (no longer a Section)
 - EMT-I not part of EMS Educational Agenda
 - EMT-B Certification require completion of initial Education and Training + National Registry
 - Faculty required to complete formal educational program authorized by Department
 - Plans in place for transitions
 - Challenge to maintain quality education program due to decreasing \$ (\$126/credit=1/3 costs) with decreased resources
 - Progressive Increase in education time (equiv of 40 sessions compared top 10)
 - Non-traditional clinicals
 - ? ability of volunteers to maintain effectiveness and availability
 - Recommendations
 - Minimize classroom hours—distributive learning etc to reach competencies
 - Alternate clinical sites
 - Comprehensive evaluation of instructors
 - “Study recruitment and retention of volunteers”

- 2015

○ Modify requirements for minimum Ambulance personnel to one EMT-B and a First Responder!!
?? When Medical Director cut by DHS from 0.5FTE to 0.25FTE??

“Eminence-based”

Question 2: Comments on appropriateness of standards and adequate training of EMTs

- Don't know
 - Data without analysis—
 - No outcome info from hospitals except trauma
 - ? run reviews by..... amalgamate by region
- Are standards too high for EMTs and too low for FR?
- Experience levels too low
- Hospitals: lack of feedback—loss of patients/revenue
- Trauma vs rest of EMS
- Pediatrics vs rest of EMS
- Many Committed volunteers want to do more!!!

Question 3: Suggestions for Revision of Training Requirements

- Very low experience levels
- No EMT-B and FR—(college plus high school football)---decrease Education and Training by 45%!!
- Use Basic-Basic + modular upgrades
- Modular transition to higher levels with appropriate utilization if service approves and provides supplies/equipment—see Scope of Practice document
- Education and Training must be based on *data analysis* not on theory—CQI + Med director==regional
 - Continuing Ed based on needs
 - *Data analysis* by.....—used to identify needs
 - Need access to outcome *data*—not run *data*—need to know “so what?”—requires change in legislation
 - Hospital involvement in CQI
 - Competence regardless of how get there—should be many options
 - Monitoring of quality of Education and Training – how/who determines
 - Non-traditional clinicals (i.e., simulation)—initial and continuing education
 - Instructors at Masters+ level
- Regionalization--- an EMS System
 - FR+FR in non-transport emergency response vehicle with simultaneous dispatch of EMT-B/I/P ambulance
 - Regional communicators
 - Hospitals and outcomes

??Regions = Public health or trauma or HealthCare Coalition????

Institute of Medicine: “*without reliable information*, hard to determine in systematic way:

- Extent providing appropriate, timely care; and
- What ought to do to improve performance and patient outcomes”.