



Legislative Fiscal Bureau

One East Main, Suite 301 • Madison, WI 53703 • (608) 266-3847 • Fax: (608) 267-6873

March 16, 2010

TO: Members
Joint Committee on Finance

FROM: Bob Lang, Director

SUBJECT: Senate Bill 412: Tax Incremental Financing Program Changes

Senate Bill 412 would make procedural and program changes to the state's tax incremental financing (TIF) law, effective on October 1, 2010.

Senate Bill 412 was introduced on December 2, 2009, and referred to the Senate Committee on Health, Health Insurance, Privacy, Property Tax Relief, and Revenue. On January 12, 2010, Senate Amendment 1 (SA 1) was introduced. On January 27, 2010, the Committee adopted SA 1 and recommended passage of the bill as amended on a 7-0 vote. On February 23, 2010, the bill was referred to the Joint Committee on Finance.

SUMMARY OF BILL

12% Limit on Establishment of Additional TIF Districts

Under current law, cities or villages are allowed to establish any number of TIF districts. However, a city or village can only create a new district if there is a finding that the equalized value of the proposed district plus the value increment of all existing districts does not exceed 12% of the total equalized value of property within the city or village. This limit also applies to any proposed amendment to a district that adds territory to the district.

The calculation of the limit is based on the most recent equalized value of taxable property of the proposed district, as certified by the Department of Revenue (DOR), before the date on which a resolution is adopted creating the proposed district. DOR cannot certify the tax incremental base of a district before the Department reviews and approves the findings that the city or village creating the district is within these statutory limitations.

Under SB 412, in determining whether a newly-created TIF district is in compliance with the 12% limit, DOR must exclude any parcel in that district that is located in an existing district. If DOR determines that a local legislative body exceeds the 12% limit, the Department must notify the city or village of its noncompliance in writing. DOR would be required to provide this written notice no later than December 31st of the year in which DOR receives the completed TIF district application or amendment forms. The bill would also move, from December 31st to October 31st, the date by which a local legislative body would be required to submit TIF district application or amendment forms to DOR. The bill would also specify that the submitted applications must be complete.

Under SB 412, if DOR notifies a local legislative body that it is not in compliance with the 12% limit, the local legislative body would be required to either rescind its approval of the proposed TIF district's project plan resolution or place the district project plan before the county board for approval to exceed the 12% limit. Notice of the county board meeting at which the board decides on the TIF district project plan would have to be published as a class two notice. However, the notice must be published in a newspaper having general circulation within the county in which the proposed district is to be created. The notice must also include information relating to the proposed boundaries of the district, the proposed project costs, and whether the project costs include cash grants from the local legislative body to the owners, developers, or lessees of the land that is located within the proposed district. If the district or proposed district is in more than one county, only the county that contains the largest portion of the district's value would have to adopt a resolution. If the county board adopts a resolution to accept the project plan even though the 12% limit would be exceeded, the local legislative body would be required to send to DOR, by first class mail, a copy of the resolution adopted by the county board that states that the county board accepts the district project plan.

Allocation of Annual Tax Increments

A provision of 2009 Act 28 requires DOR to charge any town, village, city, or county an annual fee of \$150 for each regular TIF district, town TIF district, or environmental remediation TIF district for which the Department authorizes the allocation of a tax increment. The town, village, city, or county that created the TIF district must pay the fee to the Department no later than May 15 of each year. SB 412 would specify that if any town, village, city, or county fails to pay the fee for a district by May 15, DOR would not be allowed to authorize the allocation of a tax increment associated with that TIF district to that county or municipality.

Joint Review Board

Under current law, a municipality that intends to create a TIF district or amend a district project plan must convene a joint review board, which can be either a temporary joint review board that is established for a specific district or a standing joint review board that remains in existence as long as a municipality has a district in existence. No TIF district can be created and no plan can be amended unless approved by a majority vote of the board within 30 days after a resolution is adopted.

The joint review board consists of one member representing each taxing jurisdiction that can levy taxes on property within the TIF district. If more than one of the same type of taxing jurisdiction has the power to levy taxes on property within the TIF district, the one with the greatest value in the district chooses the representative.

SB 412 would require that the notice of all public hearings of the joint review board would have to be a class two notice, as defined under state law.

Senate Amendment 1

SA 1 would add a third option for a municipality that is found by DOR to be in noncompliance with the 12% limit. The amendment would allow the municipality to remove parcels from that TIF district's, or the proposed TIF district's, boundaries so that the existing or proposed district complies with the 12% limit. The amendment would specify that the removal of parcels from such districts may not substantially alter the district's approved project plan, or the resolution creating the district that was approved by the district's joint review board. Not later than 30 days after receiving the DOR notice of noncompliance with the 12% limit, the city clerk must submit, or resubmit, the TIF district application that reflects the removal of parcels from the district to DOR.

SA 1 would also require that the notice of all public hearings of the joint review board would have to be a class one notice to be published at least five days in advance of the meeting. SB 412 would require a class two notice for such meetings. A class two notice requires the insertion of the legal notice in a publication once a week for two consecutive weeks. A class one notice requires only one insertion in a publication. Both types require that the last insertion of a notice occur at least one week before the act or event being noticed.

ANALYSIS

As mentioned above, DOR cannot certify the tax incremental base of a district unless the Department finds that the equalized value of the proposed district or district amendment plus the value increment of all of the municipality's existing districts does not exceed 12% of the municipality's equalized value. In 1975, when the state's TIF law was established, this limitation was set at 5%, but was raised to the current 12% level under 2005 Act 126, which made numerous changes to the state's TIF law.

A policy reason for having the 12% limit in place is that it may ensure that a municipality cannot overuse TIF district financing as an economic development tool, which could result in a municipality having a large percentage of their property value in TIF districts. During the life of a TIF district, the municipality and the other overlying taxing jurisdictions do not have the ability to access the increased value within the TIF district for general tax purposes. Rather, the increased property value is taxed at each of the overlying taxing jurisdiction's levy rates to repay the cost of the TIF district project costs incurred by the municipality. However, municipalities incur general

property tax funded expenditures for providing police, fire, snow removal, and other services to the property within a TIF district. Also, school districts may take on additional students as a result of increased employment associated with commercial development and from housing developments included in a TIF district. The school district cannot access the district's increased value to cover the costs of those students to the school district, although the state's general equalization aid formula has the effect of spreading this impact statewide. Therefore, having too much of its value tied up in TIF increments could impact the general fund budgets of the municipality as well as the overlying taxing jurisdictions that may be incurring general costs associated with a TIF district.

Conversely, the 12% limit can constrain the ability of a municipality that has successful TIF districts from carrying out additional economic development using TIF as a financing mechanism. Several municipalities have one or more successful TIF districts that have experienced a large growth in value, which generates a large amount of annual tax increment revenue. However, the value increment of these successful, existing TIF districts may be so large that the municipality exceeds the 12% limit and cannot create additional TIF districts. As a result, some municipalities may miss out on economic development opportunities that could have otherwise been carried out if the municipality had additional TIF financing available.

SB 412 would not impact the current law requirement that, in creating a TIF district, a municipality has to develop a finding that the value of the proposed district plus the value increment of all existing TIF districts within the municipality would not exceed the 12% limit. The bill would provide an administrative remedy in the event these findings are proven to be wrong due to more recent property valuations or a calculation error. In past instances, some municipalities have sought legislative changes specific to their districts in order to move ahead with a proposed project. SB 412 would provide municipalities a way exceed the 12% limit in these instances and go ahead with a TIF project, without seeking a specific legislative remedy for their district.

Under the bill, the county board of the county that has the most value in the district at the time the district is created could vote to allow the municipality creating a TIF district to exceed the 12% limit. A county is one of the overlying taxing jurisdictions whose tax base would be affected by the creation of TIF district, but county boards include members who represent taxpayers other than those affected by the creation of a specific TIF district. Therefore, a majority of representatives to the county board may represent areas from outside the local taxing jurisdictions in which the district is created.

Reviewing existing TIF data provides an indication as to the extent to which municipalities currently exceed the 12% limit. Based on the latest DOR TIF Value Limitation Report (attached), which used 2009 property values, 396 municipalities have one or more active TIF districts. Of the 396 municipalities, 108 municipalities, or 27.3%, exceed the 12% limit. The percentage of TIF value increment to total value within these municipalities ranged from 12.16% to 68.24%. These municipalities could not have created an additional TIF district, or amended an existing TIF district to add territory, in that year. An additional 28 municipalities had a percentage of TIF value increment to total value of between 10% and 12%.

DOR receives \$1,000 for certifying the value base of each new district and receives \$150 annually for municipalities for each TIF district in existence. As DOR indicated in its fiscal estimate, the bill would likely increase the number of TIF districts. In addition, SB 412 could increase the amount of total statewide TIF increment value. An increase in the number of TIF districts that would otherwise not be allowed to be created, or any increase in the life of a TIF district because parcels are removed from the TIF district (under SA 1) in order to comply with the 12% limit, would increase revenues to DOR.

Under current law, all parcels located in existing and proposed TIF districts are used in calculating the 12% limit. The bill would require DOR to exclude any parcel in a proposed district that is located in an existing district from its 12% limit calculation. This would avoid the double-counting of those parcels in the 12% calculation.

The bill provisions that would prohibit DOR from allocating the annual tax increment to any municipality or county that has not paid the annual fees created under Act 28 by May 15 of each year for each existing TIF district could increase compliance with payment of the fee. A penalty that would not allow DOR to certify the annual tax increment so as to ensure the payment of a \$150 fee could be somewhat onerous on a TIF district in the event a municipality misses the May 15 date. This could lead to municipalities having to seek future legislation in order to remedy such a situation for their TIF district. In its fiscal estimate to the bill, DOR indicates that this provision could lead to a small increase in state program revenues to be used to assist with DOR costs to administer the TIF program.

Under SA 1, if DOR determines that a municipality exceeds the 12% limit, the municipality could subtract parcels from the district or the proposed district in order to be in compliance with the limit. A similar current law mechanism exists that allows a municipality with one or more successful TIF districts to comply with the 12% limit. Under current law, a municipality can amend the project plan of an existing district to subtract territory and value increment from a TIF district. However, the SA 1 process for removing parcels in order to get under the 12% limit would not involve other TIF districts in the municipality or the project amendment process, which would be more time consuming.

Prepared by: Al Runde
Attachment

TIF Value Limitation Report
Wisconsin Department of Revenue
Equalization Section

Co Mun Code	Municipality	TID No.	Base Year	2009 TID Current Value	2009 TID Increment	2009 Muni Total TID Increment	2009 Muni Total Current Value	2009 Municipal Equalized Value	12% Test
10-201	ABBOTSFORD	05	2008	500,600	41,800				
37-201	ABBOTSFORD	05	2008	13,322,100	1,368,000	1,409,800	13,822,700	114,599,800	1.23%
01-201	ADAMS	01	1983	4,011,600	2,812,500				
01-201	ADAMS	02	1996	15,136,600	5,551,400				
01-201	ADAMS	03	1996	16,431,300	11,261,600	19,625,500	35,579,500	86,346,000	22.73%
23-101	ALBANY	02	1995	3,096,800	1,887,300	1,887,300	3,096,800	52,559,600	3.59%
31-201	ALGOMA	01	2005	9,077,100	1,177,900				
31-201	ALGOMA	02	2006	4,668,700	2,758,000	3,935,900	13,745,800	185,925,300	2.12%
06-201	ALMA	01	1994	3,795,500	3,026,400				
06-201	ALMA	02	1994	1,166,100	940,600	3,967,000	4,961,600	54,524,600	7.28%
03-101	ALMENA	01	1990	6,090,400	5,802,100				
03-101	ALMENA	02	1992	1,494,700	1,348,000	7,150,100	7,585,100	26,901,100	26.58%
18-201	ALTOONA	02	2000	10,273,600	9,078,700				
18-201	ALTOONA	03	2001	20,543,300	15,706,000				
18-201	ALTOONA	04	2008	7,344,500	464,900	25,249,600	38,161,400	388,313,300	6.50%
48-201	AMERY	05	1992	6,857,300	6,775,100				
48-201	AMERY	06	2004	17,310,500	2,869,600	9,644,700	24,167,800	199,962,500	4.82%
49-102	AMHERST	01	1996	4,191,000	3,916,500				
49-102	AMHERST	02	2003	429,400	412,200	4,328,700	4,620,400	57,599,900	7.52%
34-201	ANTIGO	03	1999	5,972,500	630,900				
34-201	ANTIGO	04	1999	26,097,700	7,773,700				
34-201	ANTIGO	05	2001	12,908,400	3,604,200				
34-201	ANTIGO	06	2008	1,455,700	825,900	12,834,700	46,434,300	358,997,600	3.58%
44-201	APPLETON	02	1991	17,157,900	13,107,000				
44-201	APPLETON	03	1993	79,015,800	60,075,000				
44-201	APPLETON	05	1999	13,912,200	9,242,700				
08-201	APPLETON	06	2000	100,737,100	88,595,500				
70-201	APPLETON	07	2007	27,485,700	1,828,700	172,848,900	238,308,700	4,789,062,900	3.61%
61-201	ARCADIA	03	1994	16,675,700	16,495,600				
61-201	ARCADIA	04	1994	8,841,200	8,254,100	24,749,700	25,516,900	146,308,700	16.92%
25-101	ARENA	01	2006	6,858,700	3,092,200	3,092,200	6,858,700	44,221,800	6.99%
33-101	ARGYLE	01	1983	1,754,200	1,147,100	1,147,100	1,754,200	36,435,500	3.15%
11-101	ARLINGTON	01	1999	12,124,300	9,621,400	9,621,400	12,124,300	69,160,100	13.91%
71-100	ARPIN	02	2005	900,300	94,400	94,400	900,300	9,440,300	1.00%
02-201	ASHLAND	06	1994	16,444,100	10,784,500				
02-201	ASHLAND	07	1997	2,325,400	1,950,500				
02-201	ASHLAND	08	1997	3,599,700	3,344,500				

TIF Value Limitation Report
Wisconsin Department of Revenue
Equalization Section

Co Mun	TID	TID No.	Base Year	2009 TID Current Value	2009 TID Value Increment	2009 Muni Total TID Increment	2009 Muni Total TID Current Value	2009 Municipal Equalized Value	12% Test
02-201 ASHLAND	09	2006	5,232,300	2,872,700	18,952,200	27,601,500	439,633,400	4.31%	
05-104 ASHWAUBENON	03	2008	269,814,300	10,965,600	10,965,600	283,089,000	2,247,896,700	0.49%	
05-104 ASHWAUBENON	04	2008	13,274,700	(1,765,100)	2,987,500	4,921,500	51,746,800	5.77%	
37-102 ATHENS	01	1995	2,942,200	2,897,700	1,059,500	3,132,500	33,895,300	3.13%	
37-102 ATHENS	02	2007	1,979,300	89,800	2,987,500	4,921,500	51,746,800	5.77%	
71-101 AUBURNDALE	01	2006	3,132,500	1,059,500	1,059,500	3,132,500	33,895,300	3.13%	
18-202 AUGUSTA	03	2003	296,300	44,000	3,764,700	7,972,700	64,018,800	5.88%	
18-202 AUGUSTA	04	2005	7,676,400	3,720,700	3,477,000	5,645,500	18,060,100	19.25%	
25-102 AVOCA	01	1995	5,645,500	3,477,000	8,209,700	25,458,900	265,296,800	3.09%	
55-106 BALDWIN	05	1995	2,512,600	2,490,100	5,140,800	12,913,400	141,297,300	3.64%	
55-106 BALDWIN	06	2005	16,827,700	4,603,200	5,140,800	466,100	62,493,100	0.00%	
55-106 BALDWIN	07	2007	6,118,600	1,116,400	5,140,800	78,611,900	841,546,200	6.22%	
48-106 BALSAM LAKE	02	1995	3,507,300	3,495,500	5,140,800	7,199,400	90,353,700	6.05%	
48-106 BALSAM LAKE	03	2004	1,179,800	1,157,500	5,140,800	466,100	62,493,100	0.00%	
48-106 BALSAM LAKE	04	2005	3,400	(62,500)	5,140,800	12,913,400	141,297,300	3.64%	
32-106 BANGOR	05	2006	8,222,900	487,800	5,140,800	466,100	62,493,100	0.00%	
56-206 BARABOO	01	2008	466,100	(18,700)	5,140,800	12,913,400	141,297,300	3.64%	
56-206 BARABOO	06	1999	38,540,600	30,382,600	5,140,800	466,100	62,493,100	0.00%	
56-206 BARABOO	07	2006	21,815,300	21,567,000	5,140,800	78,611,900	841,546,200	6.22%	
56-206 BARABOO	08	2006	17,936,000	419,400	5,140,800	78,611,900	841,546,200	6.22%	
56-206 BARABOO	09	2008	320,000	(24,100)	5,140,800	78,611,900	841,546,200	6.22%	
25-106 BARNEVELD	01	2002	7,199,400	5,467,100	5,140,800	78,611,900	841,546,200	6.22%	
03-206 BARRON	02	2000	3,432,700	1,441,300	5,140,800	7,199,400	90,353,700	6.05%	
03-206 BARRON	03	2005	10,532,500	707,100	5,140,800	78,611,900	841,546,200	6.22%	
03-206 BARRON	04	2007	11,479,400	241,800	5,140,800	78,611,900	841,546,200	6.22%	
14-206 BEAVER DAM	03	1994	9,522,800	9,249,100	5,140,800	25,444,600	132,609,700	1.80%	
14-206 BEAVER DAM	04	1994	54,793,000	45,481,400	5,140,800	25,444,600	132,609,700	1.80%	
45-106 BELGIUM	04	1995	26,995,100	26,570,200	5,140,800	64,315,800	1,163,305,700	4.70%	
33-106 BELMONT	01	2004	6,735,100	6,679,100	5,140,800	26,995,100	185,558,700	14.32%	
53-206 BELOIT	05	1990	76,200,300	49,958,590	5,140,800	6,735,100	59,115,500	11.30%	
53-206 BELOIT	06	1991	53,462,200	39,389,100	5,140,800	6,735,100	59,115,500	11.30%	
53-206 BELOIT	08	1995	6,776,800	5,130,500	5,140,800	6,735,100	59,115,500	11.30%	
53-206 BELOIT	09	1998	5,678,300	2,012,000	5,140,800	6,735,100	59,115,500	11.30%	
53-206 BELOIT	10	2001	138,507,100	136,743,700	5,140,800	6,735,100	59,115,500	11.30%	
53-206 BELOIT	11	2002	5,532,400	3,569,200	5,140,800	6,735,100	59,115,500	11.30%	
53-206 BELOIT	12	2003	3,561,000	2,765,700	5,140,800	6,735,100	59,115,500	11.30%	
53-206 BELOIT	13	2005	52,638,400	28,783,900	5,140,800	6,735,100	59,115,500	11.30%	

TIF Value Limitation Report
Wisconsin Department of Revenue
Equalization Section

Co Mun Code	Municipality	TID No.	Base Year	2009 TID Current Value	2009 TID Value Increment	2009 Muni Total TID Increment	2009 Muni Total Current Value	2009 Municipal Equalized Value	12% Test
53-206	BELOIT	14	2007	13,150,100	2,457,200	270,809,890	355,506,600	1,744,186,100	15.53%
33-107	BENTON	01	1993	5,774,100	5,601,600	5,601,600	5,774,100	39,712,300	14.11%
24-206	BERLIN	07	1990	5,446,000	4,786,000				
24-206	BERLIN	08	1991	685,300	648,900				
24-206	BERLIN	09	1991	1,402,100	1,272,800				
69-206	BERLIN	10	1993	6,154,600	6,105,300				
24-206	BERLIN	11	1993	1,403,300	1,389,500				
24-206	BERLIN	13	1994	193,500	140,500				
24-206	BERLIN	14	2006	1,998,400	1,806,100				
24-206	BERLIN	15	2008	13,224,800	733,300	16,882,400	30,508,000	240,460,800	7.02%
65-106	BIRCHWOOD	01	2004	3,134,600	1,229,600				
65-106	BIRCHWOOD	02	2005	3,092,500	918,200	2,147,800	6,227,100	35,783,400	6.00%
58-106	BIRNAMWOOD	01	1997	20,355,800	7,054,900	7,054,900	20,355,800	31,940,000	22.09%
71-106	BIRON	01	2006	4,106,600	605,900				
71-106	BIRON	02	2006	678,800	98,200	704,100	4,785,400	82,342,900	0.86%
44-107	BLACK CREEK	01	1983	606,600	177,300				
44-107	BLACK CREEK	02	1993	15,853,800	13,741,100	13,918,400	16,460,400	68,646,700	20.28%
13-107	BLACK EARTH	01	1990	8,634,700	4,409,000				
13-107	BLACK EARTH	02	1994	2,274,600	2,165,800	6,574,800	10,909,300	104,822,800	6.27%
27-206	BLACK RIVER FALLS	01	2000	4,500,000	3,572,800				
27-206	BLACK RIVER FALLS	03	2002	13,387,600	12,891,500				
27-206	BLACK RIVER FALLS	04	2003	3,242,100	2,779,900				
27-206	BLACK RIVER FALLS	05	2008	754,900	33,200	19,277,400	21,884,600	241,471,000	7.98%
61-206	BLAIR	03	1995	6,148,100	5,678,100				
61-206	BLAIR	04	2007	3,670,300	3,652,400				
61-206	BLAIR	05	2008	2,860,300	2,806,200	12,136,700	12,678,700	54,676,500	22.20%
09-206	BLOOMER	04	2005	4,057,700	270,300	270,300	4,057,700	204,894,000	0.13%
13-108	BLUE MOUNDS	01	1995	20,975,700	19,964,500	19,964,500	20,975,700	62,040,600	32.18%
58-107	BONDUEL	01	1994	16,573,000	14,591,400	14,591,400	16,573,000	78,812,300	18.51%
22-206	BOSCOBEL	02	1998	2,072,900	2,015,000				
22-206	BOSCOBEL	03	2002	992,500	406,100				
22-206	BOSCOBEL	04	2005	8,628,600	3,538,300	5,959,400	11,694,000	117,494,400	5.07%
58-108	BOWLER	02	1997	384,000	346,600	346,600	384,000	9,893,700	3.50%
17-106	BOYCEVILLE	02	1996	5,369,100	5,034,200				
17-106	BOYCEVILLE	03	2007	1,736,200	215,700	5,249,900	7,105,300	44,879,700	11.70%
09-106	BOYD	01	1996	3,939,700	2,012,300				
09-106	BOYD	02	2005	874,200	407,400	2,419,700	4,813,900	24,770,900	9.77%

TIF Value Limitation Report
 Wisconsin Department of Revenue
 Equalization Section

Co Mun	TID	TID No.	Base Year	2009 TID Current Value	2009 TID Value Increment	2009 Muni Total TID Increment	2009 Muni Total TID Current Value	2009 Municipal Equalized Value	12% Test
20-106 BRANDON	01	1997	6,742,600	5,095,800	5,095,800	6,742,600	39,752,800	12.82%	
08-206 BRILLION	02	2006	3,297,900	2,300,400					
08-206 BRILLION	03	2007	4,728,600	4,601,400					
08-206 BRILLION	04	2007	14,097,100	8,684,700	15,586,500	22,123,600	183,626,000	8.49%	
23-206 BRODHEAD	02	1992	8,939,200	8,480,100					
23-206 BRODHEAD	03	2002	7,001,600	6,951,300					
23-206 BRODHEAD	04	2005	64,200	(44,200)					
23-206 BRODHEAD	05	2005	1,621,000	92,000					
23-206 BRODHEAD	06	2006	1,419,000	248,700					
53-210 BRODHEAD	06	2006	508,200	506,300	16,278,400	19,553,200	173,346,500	9.39%	
37-106 BROKAW	01	1997	12,416,000	11,968,900	11,968,900	12,416,000	35,772,200	33.46%	
67-206 BROOKFIELD	03	2004	208,925,500	77,815,400	77,815,400	208,925,500	6,566,898,300	1.18%	
13-109 BROOKLYN	01	2008	810,900	(22,100)					
23-109 BROOKLYN	01	2008	4,681,700	281,100	281,100	5,492,600	95,406,200	0.29%	
40-107 BROWN DEER	02	1995	33,725,400	21,745,500					
40-107 BROWN DEER	03	2005	41,408,300	18,439,400					
40-107 BROWN DEER	04	2005	22,597,500	2,798,900	42,983,800	97,731,200	1,128,003,400	3.81%	
54-106 BRUCE	01	1998	144,300	133,000					
54-106 BRUCE	02	2002	1,876,000	603,600	736,600	2,020,300	27,623,500	2.67%	
51-206 BURLINGTON	03	1992	296,565,700	164,738,100					
51-206 BURLINGTON	04	1995	38,633,600	35,088,200	199,826,300	335,199,300	918,910,000	21.75%	
67-107 BUTLER	01	1992	32,346,900	17,472,400	17,472,400	32,346,900	254,347,200	6.87%	
09-111 CADOTT	01	1990	9,589,700	8,638,300					
09-111 CADOTT	03	2007	373,700	15,900	8,654,200	9,963,400	73,953,600	11.70%	
51-104 CALEDONIA	01	2007	14,221,500	183,200					
51-104 CALEDONIA	02	2007	369,200	31,700	214,900	14,590,700	2,235,070,300	0.01%	
13-111 CAMBRIDGE	02	1992	3,643,500	2,272,200					
13-111 CAMBRIDGE	03	1998	9,127,400	6,435,200	8,707,400	12,770,900	155,883,600	5.59%	
03-111 CAMERON	01	2005	3,073,100	755,600	755,600	3,073,100	82,654,000	0.91%	
29-111 CAMP DOUGLAS	01	1995	3,193,300	2,563,100	2,563,100	3,193,300	20,425,400	12.55%	
41-111 CASHTON	01	1993	2,330,300	2,248,100					
41-111 CASHTON	02	1998	1,375,400	539,400					
41-111 CASHTON	03	2005	20,733,500	20,401,200	23,188,700	24,439,200	61,232,400	37.87%	
45-211 CEDARBURG	02	2007	1,429,400	(1,495,200)	0	1,429,400	1,204,531,600	0.00%	
48-111 CENTURIA	01	1999	10,768,100	6,085,100	6,085,100	10,768,100	35,536,000	17.12%	
03-211 CHETEK	02	1997	23,571,500	11,522,400					
03-211 CHETEK	03	2007	0	(222,800)	11,522,400	23,571,500	149,122,200	7.73%	

TIF Value Limitation Report
Wisconsin Department of Revenue
Equalization Section

Co Mun	Municipality	TID No.	Base Year	2009 TID Current Value	2009 TID Value Increment	2009 Muni Total TID Increment	2009 Muni Total Current Value	2009 Municipal Equalized Value	12% Test
08-211	CHILTON	02	1992	33,847,900	33,507,900				
08-211	CHILTON	04	2005	1,834,200	(322,100)				
08-211	CHILTON	05	2005	1,489,000	127,900	33,635,800	37,171,100	242,208,000	13.89%
09-211	CHIPPEWA FALLS	04	1994	11,509,100	5,488,600				
09-211	CHIPPEWA FALLS	05	1998	42,642,700	6,731,300				
09-211	CHIPPEWA FALLS	06	1998	7,930,500	3,667,200				
09-211	CHIPPEWA FALLS	07	2001	6,710,600	5,209,000				
09-211	CHIPPEWA FALLS	08	2002	2,406,300	1,967,300				
09-211	CHIPPEWA FALLS	09	2002	6,932,400	3,542,600				
09-211	CHIPPEWA FALLS	10	2005	2,797,000	2,797,000				
09-211	CHIPPEWA FALLS	11	2008	79,700	200	29,403,200	81,008,300	744,396,500	3.95%
48-112	CLAYTON	02	1999	1,033,200	965,200	965,200	1,033,200	24,259,100	3.98%
48-113	CLEAR LAKE	02	2000	2,535,600	2,104,500				
48-113	CLEAR LAKE	03	2003	4,163,400	2,108,400	4,212,900	6,699,000	61,051,500	6.90%
36-112	CLEVELAND	01	1996	7,378,400	6,447,100	6,447,100	7,378,400	95,462,000	6.75%
53-111	CLINTON	04	1998	32,499,900	14,692,600	14,692,600	32,499,900	131,995,900	11.13%
68-211	CLINTONVILLE	03	1992	18,854,200	17,920,900				
68-211	CLINTONVILLE	04	1994	2,467,400	2,213,700				
68-211	CLINTONVILLE	05	2002	7,161,600	7,161,600				
68-211	CLINTONVILLE	06	2003	373,400	54,000				
68-211	CLINTONVILLE	07	2005	5,327,900	3,345,200	30,695,400	34,184,500	210,055,000	14.61%
10-211	COLBY	02	1993	4,614,400	4,356,900				
37-211	COLBY	02	1993	19,752,200	15,237,500	19,594,400	24,366,600	71,766,200	27.30%
38-111	COLEMAN	01	2005	7,378,100	4,774,000	4,774,000	7,378,100	44,535,600	10.72%
17-111	COLFAX	03	2002	6,416,800	1,979,900				
17-111	COLFAX	04	2006	2,990,800	1,114,200	3,094,100	9,407,600	49,489,700	6.25%
69-111	COLOMA	01	1997	1,376,200	808,900				
69-111	COLOMA	02	2005	2,467,400	1,249,400	2,058,300	3,843,600	25,306,200	8.13%
11-211	COLUMBUS	02	1994	2,818,100	1,149,600				
11-211	COLUMBUS	03	1995	16,208,900	12,627,700	13,777,300	19,027,000	372,833,500	3.70%
44-111	COMBINED LOCKS	01	2005	609,400	341,700	341,700	609,400	270,447,900	0.13%
62-112	COON VALLEY	02	1996	2,293,900	2,236,200	2,236,200	2,293,900	39,542,100	5.66%
09-213	CORNELL	02	2001	1,204,700	732,100	732,100	1,204,700	58,536,700	1.25%
13-112	COTTAGE GROVE	05	2003	31,781,300	31,615,900				
13-112	COTTAGE GROVE	06	2005	7,818,400	1,749,600				
13-112	COTTAGE GROVE	07	2005	36,226,500	22,276,500	55,642,000	75,826,200	588,680,000	9.45%
21-211	CRANDON	01	2002	2,832,000	1,281,000	1,281,000	2,832,000	98,990,400	1.29%

TIF Value Limitation Report
Wisconsin Department of Revenue
Equalization Section

Co Mun	TID	TID No.	Base Year	2009 TID Current Value	2009 TID Value Increment	2009 Muni Total TID Increment	2009 Muni Total TID Current Value	2009 Municipal Equalized Value	12% Test
38-121 CRIVITZ	01	2001	17,009,700	12,724,100	12,724,100	17,009,700	79,702,400	15.96%	
13-113 CROSS PLAINS	03	2008	30,524,600	2,628,000	2,628,000	30,524,600	325,096,600	0.81%	
22-211 CUBA CITY	02	1999	6,437,100	5,422,600	5,422,600	6,487,100	102,096,400	5.31%	
33-211 CUBA CITY	02	1999	50,000	(3,700)	(3,700)	6,487,100	102,096,400	5.31%	
40-211 CUDAHY	01	1994	326,388,800	253,139,600	253,139,600	326,388,800	1,327,180,800	19.07%	
03-212 CUMBERLAND	07	1995	15,363,300	14,356,900	14,356,900	15,363,300	165,588,100	8.67%	
10-111 CURTISS	01	1996	6,402,600	3,744,800	3,744,800	6,402,600	14,649,200	25.56%	
03-116 DALLAS	02	2001	1,302,900	1,273,000	1,273,000	1,302,900	13,368,500	9.52%	
13-116 DANE	02	2007	4,572,600	146,500	146,500	4,572,600	80,534,700	0.18%	
64-116 DARIEN	02	1995	22,311,100	19,293,200	19,293,200	22,311,100	113,418,400	17.01%	
33-216 DARLINGTON	06	2003	14,386,000	10,248,100	10,901,300	17,225,500	112,125,000	9.72%	
33-216 DARLINGTON	07	2006	2,839,500	653,200	10,901,300	17,225,500	112,125,000	9.72%	
05-216 DE PERE	05	1996	55,337,600	43,796,900	43,796,900	55,337,600	21,104,000	1.55%	
05-216 DE PERE	06	1998	90,018,000	83,030,700	83,030,700	90,018,000	21,104,000	1.55%	
05-216 DE PERE	07	2007	15,572,600	564,700	564,700	15,572,600	180,413,000	6.88%	
05-216 DE PERE	08	2007	37,615,000	(38,900)	127,392,300	198,543,200	1,912,611,300	6.66%	
12-116 DE SOTO	01	2001	269,900	108,200	326,300	828,200	21,104,000	1.55%	
62-116 DE SOTO	01	2001	558,300	218,100	326,300	828,200	21,104,000	1.55%	
13-117 DEERFIELD	02	1995	14,836,000	9,923,400	326,300	828,200	21,104,000	1.55%	
13-117 DEERFIELD	03	2005	11,989,700	2,019,300	326,300	828,200	21,104,000	1.55%	
13-117 DEERFIELD	04	2007	2,880,000	478,600	326,300	828,200	21,104,000	1.55%	
13-117 DEERFIELD	05	2008	11,800	100	326,300	828,200	21,104,000	1.55%	
13-118 DEFORREST	01	1994	32,368,600	25,685,200	12,421,400	29,717,500	180,413,000	6.88%	
64-216 DELAVAN	04	2003	110,179,300	87,181,500	25,685,200	32,368,600	851,673,000	3.02%	
05-116 DENMARK	01	1990	36,502,900	34,767,600	87,181,500	110,179,300	752,851,600	11.58%	
25-216 DODGEVILLE	01	1997	24,945,800	24,538,400	34,767,600	36,502,900	136,562,500	25.46%	
25-216 DODGEVILLE	02	1998	26,761,900	26,391,300	50,929,700	51,707,700	368,512,500	13.82%	
10-116 DORCHESTER	01	1992	6,524,000	6,291,000	50,929,700	51,707,700	368,512,500	13.82%	
10-116 DORCHESTER	02	1995	22,918,100	7,418,700	13,709,700	29,442,100	46,070,900	29.76%	
46-216 DURAND	03	2007	9,102,000	(202,600)	13,709,700	29,442,100	46,070,900	29.76%	
63-221 EAGLE RIVER	02	2007	8,235,900	4,173,500	0	9,102,000	96,396,100	0.00%	
63-221 EAGLE RIVER	03	2007	11,269,200	3,130,500	7,304,000	19,505,100	193,187,900	3.78%	
64-121 EAST TROY	02	1994	8,640,300	8,220,100	7,304,000	19,505,100	193,187,900	3.78%	
64-121 EAST TROY	03	1999	27,404,200	26,875,400	35,095,500	36,044,500	364,188,000	9.64%	
09-221 EAU CLAIRE	05	1997	51,500	(10,500)	35,095,500	36,044,500	364,188,000	9.64%	
18-221 EAU CLAIRE	05	1997	24,196,000	23,258,300	35,095,500	36,044,500	364,188,000	9.64%	
09-221 EAU CLAIRE	06	1997	12,368,400	11,382,500	35,095,500	36,044,500	364,188,000	9.64%	

TIF Value Limitation Report
Wisconsin Department of Revenue
Equalization Section

Co Mun	Municipality	TID No.	Base Year	2009 TID Current Value	2009 TID Increment	2009 Muni Total TID Increment	2009 Muni Total Current Value	2009 Municipal Equalized Value	12% Test
18-221	EAU CLAIRE	07	1997	6,795,700	6,466,600				
18-221	EAU CLAIRE	08	2002	32,802,500	22,361,600				
09-221	EAU CLAIRE	09	2008	49,900	(4,600)				
18-221	EAU CLAIRE	09	2008	13,406,800	2,222,400	65,691,400	89,670,800	4,315,946,500	1.52%
37-121	EDGAR	01	2002	1,605,500	816,200				
37-121	EDGAR	02	2002	866,800	540,800				
37-121	EDGAR	03	2005	1,624,300	1,568,600	2,925,600	4,096,600	67,837,300	4.31%
53-221	EDGERTON	03	1986	5,223,400	1,699,100				
13-221	EDGERTON	05	1998	23,071,000	22,450,700				
53-221	EDGERTON	06	2000	21,232,500	12,439,300				
53-221	EDGERTON	07	2000	3,588,300	2,938,200				
53-221	EDGERTON	08	2005	7,161,700	(176,200)	39,527,300	60,276,900	356,020,700	11.10%
17-121	ELK MOUND	01	2007	2,522,100	22,400	22,400	2,522,100	34,657,300	0.06%
59-121	ELKHART LAKE	01	1993	69,651,900	65,542,800	65,542,800	69,651,900	286,726,100	22.86%
64-221	ELKHORN	02	1994	122,513,600	90,472,500				
64-221	ELKHORN	03	1995	60,380,500	43,511,300	133,983,800	182,894,100	731,931,100	18.31%
47-121	ELLSWORTH	02	1992	7,179,600	6,952,100				
47-121	ELLSWORTH	04	1996	1,267,000	1,212,400				
47-121	ELLSWORTH	05	1996	996,800	899,600				
47-121	ELLSWORTH	07	2006	3,150,100	2,926,800	11,990,900	12,593,500	185,319,500	6.47%
67-122	ELM GROVE	02	2004	58,627,400	25,191,600	25,191,600	58,627,400	1,100,050,700	2.29%
47-122	ELMWOOD	03	2002	2,078,300	1,326,000				
47-122	ELMWOOD	05	2007	3,381,400	3,008,100	4,334,100	5,459,700	41,410,200	10.47%
29-221	ELROY	02	1999	339,100	65,900				
29-221	ELROY	03	1999	2,796,000	359,500				
29-221	ELROY	04	1999	4,638,000	3,326,700				
29-221	ELROY	05	1999	1,382,200	1,345,700	5,097,800	9,155,300	58,427,200	8.73%
39-121	ENDEAVOR	01	1993	7,415,900	6,256,000	6,256,000	7,415,900	23,267,300	26.89%
53-222	EVANSVILLE	05	2004	16,777,000	5,477,900				
53-222	EVANSVILLE	06	2006	6,181,100	4,253,300				
53-222	EVANSVILLE	07	2007	6,336,700	235,000				
53-222	EVANSVILLE	08	2008	5,265,300	2,570,000	12,536,200	34,560,100	336,089,000	3.73%
20-126	FAIRWATER	01	1997	4,209,600	3,458,200	3,458,200	4,209,600	19,237,400	17.98%
18-127	FALL CREEK	01	2000	1,636,600	1,574,300	1,574,300	1,636,600	62,026,000	2.54%
11-126	FALL RIVER	04	1999	15,723,700	11,307,700	11,307,700	15,723,700	118,735,700	9.52%
22-226	FENNIMORE	04	2002	835,700	803,500				
22-226	FENNIMORE	05	2005	7,307,300	348,400	1,151,900	8,143,000	97,673,700	1.18%

TIF Value Limitation Report
Wisconsin Department of Revenue
Equalization Section

Co Mun	TID	2009 TID	2009 TID Value	2009 TID Increment	2009 Muni Total	2009 Muni Total TID	2009 Municipal	12% Test
Code	Municipality	No.	Base Year	Current Value	Increment	TID Increment	Current Value	Equalized Value
12-126	FERRYVILLE	01	2003	194,200	142,100	142,100	194,200	21,748,300
13-225	FITCHBURG	04	2003	106,778,400	72,619,300			
13-225	FITCHBURG	06	2006	61,546,800	40,923,400			
13-225	FITCHBURG	07	2006	19,571,000	6,705,400	120,248,100	187,896,200	2,582,226,200
20-226	FOND DU LAC	08	1992	2,806,900	735,500			
20-226	FOND DU LAC	09	2000	3,363,300	2,967,200			
20-226	FOND DU LAC	10	2004	13,304,400	11,273,800			
20-226	FOND DU LAC	11	2006	4,303,500	3,141,600			
20-226	FOND DU LAC	12	2008	440,800	440,800			
64-126	FONTANA	01	2001	122,997,900	92,777,500	18,558,900	24,218,900	2,736,857,700
53-126	FOOTVILLE	01	2000	7,765,900	6,530,600	92,777,500	122,997,900	1,326,316,400
28-226	FORT ATKINSON	06	2000	10,359,900	9,224,500	6,530,600	7,765,900	41,877,400
28-226	FORT ATKINSON	07	2000	23,683,500	12,095,600	21,320,100	34,043,400	907,987,000
14-226	FOX LAKE	01	1987	24,328,300	23,384,200	23,384,200	24,328,300	90,067,100
36-126	FRANCIS CREEK	02	2004	1,470,400	1,250,800	1,250,800	1,470,400	42,392,400
40-226	FRANKLIN	02	1993	169,508,700	167,279,300			
40-226	FRANKLIN	03	2005	223,670,500	105,902,300	331,708,800	471,524,300	3,912,642,600
40-226	FRANKLIN	04	2005	78,345,100	58,527,200			
48-126	FREDERIC	02	1988	5,204,200	4,946,100			
48-126	FREDERIC	03	2007	856,800	(6,400)	4,946,100	6,061,000	57,392,500
01-126	FRIENDSHIP	01	1997	6,060,800	3,364,500			
01-126	FRIENDSHIP	02	2000	178,400	30,400	3,394,900	6,239,200	31,954,300
11-127	FRIESLAND	01	1995	7,127,500	4,599,800	4,599,800	7,127,500	21,487,500
61-231	GALESVILLE	02	2001	4,056,800	3,018,200	3,018,200	4,056,800	82,428,100
12-131	GAYS MILLS	01	2000	1,311,600	1,304,000	1,304,000	1,311,600	22,611,900
64-131	GENOA CITY	02	1997	91,137,300	87,081,300	87,081,300	91,137,300	196,728,300
66-131	GERMANTOWN	03	1989	82,671,400	81,813,450			
66-131	GERMANTOWN	04	1994	60,628,600	47,671,600			
66-131	GERMANTOWN	05	1998	16,501,500	13,195,500	142,680,550	159,801,500	2,445,138,200
42-231	GILLET	01	1986	1,366,200	585,900			
42-231	GILLET	02	1993	1,260,200	1,212,500			
42-231	GILLET	03	2000	11,837,500	4,467,000	6,265,400	14,463,900	59,689,900
60-131	GILMAN	02	1991	3,446,400	2,568,700	2,568,700	3,446,400	15,893,700
54-131	GLEN FLORA	01	1991	2,665,400	2,647,800	2,647,800	2,665,400	5,519,100
59-131	GLENBEULAH	01	2005	1,509,500	511,700	511,700	1,509,500	29,653,000
40-231	GLENDALE	06	1996	163,661,600	128,328,400			
40-231	GLENDALE	07	1996	125,338,700	111,302,700			

TIF Value Limitation Report
Wisconsin Department of Revenue
Equalization Section

Co Mun	Municipality	TID No.	Base Year	2009 TID Current Value	2009 TID Increment	2009 Muni Total TID Increment	2009 Muni Total Current Value	2009 Municipal Equalized Value	12% Test
40-231	GLENDALE	08	2002	329,830,200	256,096,500	495,727,600	618,830,500	2,442,838,700	20.29%
55-231	GLENWOOD CITY	02	1996	5,250,400	5,223,200				
55-231	GLENWOOD CITY	03	2000	8,612,900	3,372,300	8,595,500	13,863,300	67,985,700	12.64%
45-131	GRAFTON	02	1996	12,598,300	12,076,200				
45-131	GRAFTON	03	1999	54,264,000	33,224,100				
45-131	GRAFTON	04	2004	58,810,500	10,963,100				
45-131	GRAFTON	05	2006	40,708,800	40,215,300	96,478,700	166,381,600	1,211,359,700	7.96%
07-131	GRANTSBURG	01	1983	766,800	210,200				
07-131	GRANTSBURG	02	1988	807,300	257,600				
07-131	GRANTSBURG	03	1994	7,720,800	6,563,500				
07-131	GRANTSBURG	04	2005	3,242,500	2,151,500				
07-131	GRANTSBURG	05	2008	202,100	(10,500)				
33-131	GRATIOT	01	2001	1,532,200	1,082,300	9,182,800	12,739,500	64,863,100	14.16%
05-231	GREEN BAY	04	1998	49,674,100	22,720,100	1,082,300	1,532,200	8,730,100	12.40%
05-231	GREEN BAY	05	2000	109,852,700	49,775,900				
05-231	GREEN BAY	06	2001	18,395,200	2,410,900				
05-231	GREEN BAY	07	2002	52,727,000	38,357,500				
05-231	GREEN BAY	08	2002	16,959,700	10,621,000				
05-231	GREEN BAY	09	2004	9,555,600	5,763,300				
05-231	GREEN BAY	10	2004	30,068,900	5,666,400				
05-231	GREEN BAY	11	2005	43,056,000	1,497,800				
05-231	GREEN BAY	12	2005	240,264,600	43,888,200				
05-231	GREEN BAY	13	2005	22,968,600	1,023,400				
05-231	GREEN BAY	14	2006	8,475,600	2,373,400				
05-231	GREEN BAY	15	2007	26,913,700	(922,600)				
05-231	GREEN BAY	16	2007	99,412,200	(2,576,600)				
05-231	GREEN BAY	17	2008	212,100	28,200	184,126,100	728,536,000	6,234,597,300	2.95%
24-231	GREEN LAKE	03	2005	38,470,700	29,474,900	29,474,900	38,470,700	265,518,600	11.10%
40-236	GREENFIELD	02	2007	16,201,400	1,226,800	1,226,800	16,201,400	3,088,820,800	0.04%
10-231	GREENWOOD	01	1991	1,620,900	1,381,900				
10-231	GREENWOOD	02	1998	346,100	287,800	1,669,700	1,967,000	43,600,300	3.83%
40-136	HALES CORNERS	02	1995	57,618,300	34,344,200				
40-136	HALES CORNERS	03	2008	7,282,300	1,132,500	35,476,700	64,900,600	701,608,400	5.06%
55-136	HAMMOND	03	1993	301,500	162,300				
55-136	HAMMOND	04	1993	595,800	394,700				
55-136	HAMMOND	05	1995	5,515,500	5,372,900				
55-136	HAMMOND	06	1999	12,911,000	12,895,000	18,824,900	19,323,800	123,288,100	15.27%

TIF Value Limitation Report
Wisconsin Department of Revenue
Equalization Section

Co Mun	TID	TID No.	Base Year	2009 TID Current Value	2009 TID Value Increment	2009 Muni Total TID Increment	2009 Muni Total TID Current Value	2009 Municipal Equalized Value	12% Test
14-230 HARTFORD	04	1988	54,928,900	54,928,900					
66-236 HARTFORD	04	1988	62,335,700	61,738,100					
66-236 HARTFORD	05	2005	18,726,700	18,369,200					
66-236 HARTFORD	06	2008	1,672,800	572,800					
67-136 HARTLAND	04	2008	103,651,200	(5,069,200)	135,609,000	0	137,664,100	1,236,029,200	10.97%
37-136 HATLEY	01	2007	4,625,500	1,385,000	1,385,000	103,651,200	103,651,200	1,238,943,000	0.00%
54-136 HAWKINS	02	2005	0	(59,400)	0	0	4,625,500	31,020,400	4.46%
22-136 HAZEL GREEN	01	1997	1,838,200	1,014,300	1,014,300	0	0	13,155,200	0.00%
25-136 HIGHLAND	02	1999	2,565,500	1,591,900	1,591,900	1,838,200	1,838,200	49,419,100	2.05%
08-136 HILBERT	01	1996	5,744,000	3,971,100	3,971,100	2,565,500	2,565,500	38,236,600	4.16%
08-136 HILBERT	02	2007	8,249,100	5,877,400	9,848,500	13,993,100	13,993,100	57,773,200	17.05%
62-236 HILLSBORO	02	1993	10,787,300	10,303,700					
62-236 HILLSBORO	04	1998	4,569,000	1,853,200	12,156,900	15,356,300	15,356,300	59,176,200	20.54%
27-136 HIXTON	01	2007	1,552,200	172,600	172,600	1,552,200	1,552,200	19,615,400	0.88%
14-236 HORICON	03	1990	5,464,600	4,994,700					
14-236 HORICON	04	2007	8,699,800	3,737,100	8,731,800	14,164,400	14,164,400	242,735,100	3.60%
44-136 HORTONVILLE	02	2000	6,073,000	5,226,200	5,226,200	6,073,000	6,073,000	169,024,100	3.09%
05-136 HOWARD	02	1992	82,265,300	80,681,400					
05-136 HOWARD	03	2006	25,421,300	9,118,500					
05-136 HOWARD	04	2007	68,199,600	43,900					
05-136 HOWARD	05	2008	52,326,000	259,800					
05-136 HOWARD	06	2008	8,122,700	192,600	90,296,200	236,334,900	236,334,900	1,412,188,600	6.39%
59-135 HOWARDS GROVE	01	2005	2,602,500	808,900	808,900	2,602,500	2,602,500	238,072,800	0.34%
26-236 HURLEY	03	1994	4,976,600	3,797,800	3,797,800	4,976,600	4,976,600	64,229,400	5.91%
61-241 INDEPENDENCE	02	2006	11,318,200	10,760,300	10,760,300	11,318,200	11,318,200	69,682,500	15.44%
66-141 JACKSON	02	1992	38,901,200	35,805,800					
66-141 JACKSON	03	1994	43,216,600	42,325,800					
66-141 JACKSON	04	1995	26,155,400	25,509,700					
53-241 JANESVILLE	03	1985	23,624,500	10,706,400	103,641,300	108,273,200	108,273,200	605,781,300	17.11%
53-241 JANESVILLE	09	1993	7,654,700	2,344,800					
53-241 JANESVILLE	14	1995	40,358,100	14,144,200					
53-241 JANESVILLE	16	1996	10,926,400	10,732,700					
53-241 JANESVILLE	17	1997	3,572,900	2,165,400					
53-241 JANESVILLE	21	1999	4,200	2,000					
53-241 JANESVILLE	22	1999	49,450,100	48,946,600					
53-241 JANESVILLE	23	2002	7,082,100	2,108,400					
53-241 JANESVILLE	24	2002	11,992,400	5,433,700					

TIF Value Limitation Report
Wisconsin Department of Revenue
Equalization Section

Co Mun	TID	TID No.	Base Year	2009 TID Current Value	2009 TID Value Increment	2009 Muni Total TID Increment	2009 Muni Total TID Current Value	2009 Municipal Equalized Value	12% Test
44-141 KIMBERLY	03	1999	1,855,300	1,672,300					
44-141 KIMBERLY	04	2005	2,681,300	1,903,100					
44-141 KIMBERLY	05	2008	11,520,800	175,700	3,751,100	16,057,400	455,946,700	0.82%	
17-141 KNAPP	02	1997	2,980,400	1,294,400					
17-141 KNAPP	03	2005	1,905,800	1,704,600	2,999,000	4,886,200	22,179,300	13.52%	
37-145 KRONENWETTER	01	2005	19,578,100	17,315,800					
37-145 KRONENWETTER	02	2005	15,320,600	12,469,200					
37-145 KRONENWETTER	03	2005	922,300	517,200					
37-145 KRONENWETTER	04	2005	4,398,400	4,291,800	34,594,000	40,219,400	505,555,700	6.84%	
32-246 LA CROSSE	04	1987	36,918,300	36,918,300					
32-246 LA CROSSE	05	1992	8,559,300	7,664,500					
32-246 LA CROSSE	06	1994	77,468,100	43,583,300					
32-246 LA CROSSE	07	1997	15,611,200	5,906,200					
32-246 LA CROSSE	08	1997	6,251,200	2,562,200					
32-246 LA CROSSE	09	1999	17,868,700	16,425,800					
32-246 LA CROSSE	10	2003	2,847,500	307,400					
32-246 LA CROSSE	11	2005	175,256,700	50,772,700					
32-246 LA CROSSE	12	2005	22,768,900	18,011,400					
32-246 LA CROSSE	13	2006	30,536,000	9,812,800					
32-246 LA CROSSE	14	2006	91,693,500	33,829,700	225,794,300	485,779,400	3,162,233,300	7.14%	
62-146 LA FARGE	01	2003	4,707,900	4,589,600	4,589,600	4,707,900	28,271,900	16.23%	
54-246 LADYSMITH	05	1997	5,158,000	2,196,000					
54-246 LADYSMITH	07	1998	15,600	1,900					
54-246 LADYSMITH	08	2003	4,991,800	4,131,800					
54-246 LADYSMITH	09	2006	17,133,600	14,250,000					
54-246 LADYSMITH	10	2007	334,500	(69,000)	20,579,700	27,633,500	174,814,000	11.77%	
56-146 LAKE DELTON	02	2000	115,724,100	79,355,500					
56-146 LAKE DELTON	03	2005	249,409,600	205,445,900					
56-146 LAKE DELTON	04	2007	31,747,500	6,500	284,807,900	396,881,200	1,435,752,200	19.84%	
64-246 LAKE GENEVA	04	1995	125,692,300	106,053,300	106,053,300	125,692,300	1,371,364,300	7.73%	
09-128 LAKE HALLIE	01	2003	47,614,100	35,475,200					
09-128 LAKE HALLIE	02	2003	5,897,400	5,765,500					
09-128 LAKE HALLIE	03	2008	91,800	(5,900)	41,240,700	53,603,300	477,395,600	8.64%	
28-246 LAKE MILLS	02	1998	24,924,600	13,478,900					
28-246 LAKE MILLS	03	2006	7,326,800	333,000					
28-246 LAKE MILLS	04	2006	11,132,300	3,686,300	17,498,200	43,383,700	490,186,900	3.57%	
22-246 LANCASTER	03	2006	805,300	380,800					

TIF Value Limitation Report
Wisconsin Department of Revenue
Equalization Section

Co Mun	Municipality	TID No.	Base Year	2009 TID		2009 TID Value		2009 Muni Total		2009 Municipal	
				Current Value	Increment	Increment	TID Value	TID Increment	Current Value	Equalized Value	12% Test
22-246	LANCASTER	04	2006	9,169,300	6,754,900	7,135,700	9,974,600	210,400,900	3.39%		
44-146	LITTLE CHUTE	01	1990	17,493,000	9,765,350						
44-146	LITTLE CHUTE	02	1996	31,287,400	27,277,200						
44-146	LITTLE CHUTE	03	1999	55,800,400	47,774,600						
44-146	LITTLE CHUTE	04	2007	9,430,600	6,017,200	90,834,350	114,011,400	694,645,900	13.08%		
25-147	LIVINGSTON	01	1992	2,306,400	2,256,800						
22-147	LIVINGSTON	02	1996	456,700	163,400	2,420,200	2,763,100	29,716,500	8.14%		
11-246	LODI	03	2005	177,400	16,400	16,400	177,400	238,848,800	0.01%		
14-146	LOMIRA	03	1995	10,916,800	10,057,600						
14-146	LOMIRA	04	2006	10,798,300	9,904,300	19,961,900	21,715,100	156,257,000	12.78%		
52-146	LONE ROCK	01	1992	3,458,400	2,853,200	2,853,200	3,458,400	35,402,200	8.06%		
10-246	LOYAL	01	1995	803,000	615,800						
10-246	LOYAL	02	2006	4,262,100	820,800	1,436,600	5,065,100	52,319,000	2.75%		
48-146	LUCK	02	2002	5,618,000	108,400						
48-146	LUCK	03	2005	3,643,000	120,600	229,000	9,261,000	70,877,900	0.32%		
31-146	LUXEMBURG	01	1995	24,643,500	19,923,300	19,923,300	24,643,500	163,855,700	12.16%		
13-032	MADISON	02	2006	26,822,200	1,975,400	1,975,400	26,822,200	429,415,700	0.46%		
13-251	MADISON	23	1994	93,905,200	64,351,100						
13-251	MADISON	25	1995	172,181,100	133,574,400						
13-251	MADISON	27	1998	27,318,400	22,772,800						
13-251	MADISON	29	2000	81,330,400	39,589,000						
13-251	MADISON	32	2003	384,516,900	139,896,100						
13-251	MADISON	33	2004	19,508,300	18,181,000						
13-251	MADISON	35	2005	61,894,500	36,093,900						
13-251	MADISON	36	2005	80,948,300	22,581,800						
13-251	MADISON	37	2006	55,704,200	12,237,300						
13-251	MADISON	38	2008	53,239,300	(964,400)						
13-251	MADISON	39	2008	246,147,700	(1,027,000)	489,277,400	1,276,694,300	23,131,428,500	2.12%		
68-251	MANAWA	01	1992	46,194,300	33,017,000	33,017,000	46,194,300	86,066,900	38.36%		
36-251	MANITOWOC	07	1989	16,876,600	16,672,400						
36-251	MANITOWOC	08	1994	1,994,700	1,391,300						
36-251	MANITOWOC	09	1995	10,720,800	10,556,100						
36-251	MANITOWOC	10	1997	4,440,900	4,201,000						
36-251	MANITOWOC	11	1997	13,719,600	6,508,100						
36-251	MANITOWOC	12	1999	6,765,800	6,540,400						
36-251	MANITOWOC	13	2000	15,549,400	10,829,600						
36-251	MANITOWOC	14	2002	11,011,000	3,543,800						

TIF Value Limitation Report
Wisconsin Department of Revenue
Equalization Section

Co Mun	TID	TID No.	Base Year	2009 TID Current Value	2009 TID Value Increment	2009 Muni Total TID Increment	2009 Muni Total TID Current Value	2009 Municipal Equalized Value	12% Test
36-251 MANTOWOC	15	2002	95,675,800	76,207,000					
36-251 MANTOWOC	16	2003	33,641,000	12,969,900					
36-251 MANTOWOC	17	2007	7,923,100	7,730,900	157,150,500	218,318,700	2,057,147,400	7.64%	
37-151 MARATHON	01	2002	19,446,600	12,421,000	12,421,000	19,446,600	119,519,800	10.39%	
38-251 MARINETTE	03	1991	17,996,900	13,108,600					
38-251 MARINETTE	06	2002	5,593,500	5,270,400					
38-251 MARINETTE	07	2005	6,132,500	3,238,800					
38-251 MARINETTE	08	2007	5,579,700	4,145,000	25,762,800	35,302,600	612,372,300	4.21%	
58-252 MARION	02	1995	5,252,100	4,543,500					
68-252 MARION	02	1995	3,000,500	2,129,200					
68-252 MARION	03	1995	7,350,600	5,462,700					
24-251 MARKESAN	01	1995	2,137,100	812,900	12,135,400	15,603,200	63,083,200	19.24%	
13-152 MARSHALL	01	1994	53,204,800	38,107,000	812,900	2,137,100	70,949,900	1.15%	
71-251 MARSHFIELD	02	1993	4,581,200	4,581,200	38,107,000	53,204,800	213,919,400	17.81%	
71-251 MARSHFIELD	03	1993	484,100	355,800					
71-251 MARSHFIELD	04	1996	63,490,800	25,733,000					
71-251 MARSHFIELD	05	1997	7,940,300	7,640,800					
71-251 MARSHFIELD	06	1999	3,021,900	416,700					
71-251 MARSHFIELD	07	2001	4,621,900	2,495,600					
71-251 MARSHFIELD	08	2006	14,495,600	1,838,600	43,061,700	98,635,800	1,333,749,900	3.23%	
04-151 MASON	01	1999	843,700	684,700	684,700	843,700	3,487,200	19.63%	
29-251 MAUSTON	02	1995	18,658,300	15,973,400					
29-251 MAUSTON	03	1995	29,353,900	20,169,400	36,142,800	48,012,200	219,906,400	16.44%	
14-251 MAVVILLE	03	1997	36,035,800	23,663,300	23,663,300	36,035,800	352,159,800	6.72%	
13-153 MAZOMANIE	03	1989	2,511,900	2,377,250					
13-153 MAZOMANIE	04	2005	15,736,600	10,153,100					
13-153 MAZOMANIE	05	2005	5,665,300	1,070,700	13,601,050	23,913,800	151,082,700	9.00%	
13-154 MCFARLAND	03	2004	66,110,800	39,113,400					
13-154 MCFARLAND	04	2008	9,079,300	(7,000)	39,113,400	75,190,100	803,199,500	4.87%	
60-251 MEDFORD	05	1989	7,834,800	2,646,900					
60-251 MEDFORD	06	1996	3,825,100	2,407,500					
60-251 MEDFORD	07	1997	3,311,700	1,822,800					
60-251 MEDFORD	08	1997	1,828,500	1,132,600					
60-251 MEDFORD	10	1999	1,494,900	1,254,700					
60-251 MEDFORD	11	1999	3,102,200	1,918,200					
60-251 MEDFORD	12	2000	20,025,600	17,337,900					
60-251 MEDFORD	13	2005	14,747,200	11,365,200	39,885,800	56,170,000	273,128,500	14.60%	

TIF Value Limitation Report
Wisconsin Department of Revenue
Equalization Section

Co Mun	Municipality	TID No.	Base Year	2009 TID Current Value	2009 TID Increment	2009 Muni Total TID Increment	2009 Muni Total Current Value	2009 Municipal Equalized Value	12% Test
02-251	MELLEN	02	1997	1,500,300	1,273,300	1,273,300	1,500,300	23,094,100	5.51%
70-251	MENASHA	01	1986	12,160,100	6,831,000				
70-251	MENASHA	02	1987	3,743,200	2,568,250				
70-251	MENASHA	03	1990	5,910,900	2,193,500				
70-251	MENASHA	04	1997	10,650,000	6,454,000				
70-251	MENASHA	05	1998	13,292,500	9,907,600				
70-251	MENASHA	06	1998	16,096,800	10,528,000				
70-251	MENASHA	07	2003	4,741,800	4,054,500				
70-251	MENASHA	08	2005	3,147,200	2,662,700				
08-251	MENASHA	09	2005	18,239,700	14,781,300				
70-251	MENASHA	10	2006	12,516,900	2,815,000				
70-251	MENASHA	11	2007	2,026,700	1,741,800	64,537,650	102,525,800	1,037,997,500	6.22%
67-151	MENOMONEE FALLS	02	1991	63,618,600	41,205,000				
67-151	MENOMONEE FALLS	04	1996	140,035,200	126,130,700				
67-151	MENOMONEE FALLS	05	1999	62,558,800	45,531,300				
67-151	MENOMONEE FALLS	06	2006	35,265,300	1,438,000				
67-151	MENOMONEE FALLS	07	2008	16,299,100	15,271,000				
67-151	MENOMONEE FALLS	08	2008	8,841,000	(176,600)	229,576,000	326,618,000	4,589,341,400	5.00%
17-251	MENOMONIE	11	2001	19,499,700	12,501,600				
17-251	MENOMONIE	12	2003	25,893,700	24,222,500				
17-251	MENOMONIE	13	2004	0	(161,900)				
17-251	MENOMONIE	14	2004	12,661,000	4,781,400				
17-251	MENOMONIE	15	2005	22,480,500	735,300	42,240,800	80,534,900	925,757,000	4.56%
45-255	MEQUON	02	2002	20,120,400	14,208,800				
45-255	MEQUON	03	2008	41,352,300	269,200	14,478,000	61,472,700	4,367,555,400	0.33%
35-251	MERRILL	02	2004	93,800	(8,300)				
35-251	MERRILL	03	2005	20,938,100	7,000,100				
35-251	MERRILL	04	2007	233,900	23,300				
35-251	MERRILL	05	2007	96,200	22,200	7,045,600	21,362,000	391,590,700	1.80%
13-255	MIDDLETON	03	1993	508,376,800	443,432,900	443,432,900	508,376,800	2,759,147,700	16.07%
48-151	MILLTOWN	02	1994	2,914,100	2,898,300				
48-151	MILLTOWN	03	2004	963,900	380,700	3,279,000	3,878,000	42,961,100	7.63%
53-257	MILTON	06	2003	35,884,300	32,734,000				
53-257	MILTON	07	2004	11,297,900	2,730,400				
53-257	MILTON	08	2007	57,552,500	34,412,500	69,876,900	104,734,700	374,462,600	18.66%
40-251	MILWAUKEE	15	1991	4,389,300	3,780,700				
40-251	MILWAUKEE	17	1992	1,933,100	1,270,000				

TIF Value Limitation Report
Wisconsin Department of Revenue
Equalization Section

Co Mun	Municipality	TID No.	Base Year	2009 TID Current Value	2009 TID Value Increment	2009 Muni Total TID Increment	2009 Muni Total TID Current Value	2009 Municipal Equalized Value	12% Test
40-251	MILWAUKEE	18	1992	2,865,000	2,744,700				
40-251	MILWAUKEE	20	1993	21,282,500	18,257,400				
40-251	MILWAUKEE	22	1994	178,195,100	170,433,400				
40-251	MILWAUKEE	23	1994	30,005,500	25,151,700				
40-251	MILWAUKEE	27	1995	11,372,300	9,702,500				
40-251	MILWAUKEE	28	1995	12,930,400	11,913,000				
40-251	MILWAUKEE	30	1996	48,478,500	34,412,500				
40-251	MILWAUKEE	34	1997	78,270,000	72,482,600				
40-251	MILWAUKEE	35	1998	1,630,400	(610,300)				
40-251	MILWAUKEE	37	1998	140,084,500	79,767,100				
40-251	MILWAUKEE	38	2000	55,700	55,500				
40-251	MILWAUKEE	39	2000	45,269,200	21,405,800				
40-251	MILWAUKEE	40	2000	25,372,100	21,442,300				
40-251	MILWAUKEE	41	2000	125,171,000	115,149,600				
40-251	MILWAUKEE	42	2001	80,068,600	72,950,300				
40-251	MILWAUKEE	44	2001	112,427,800	75,462,400				
40-251	MILWAUKEE	46	2001	50,144,600	35,385,100				
40-251	MILWAUKEE	47	2002	80,079,700	58,947,900				
40-251	MILWAUKEE	48	2002	78,112,500	32,786,900				
40-251	MILWAUKEE	49	2002	51,086,000	49,033,300				
40-251	MILWAUKEE	50	2002	2,637,800	2,637,500				
40-251	MILWAUKEE	51	2003	32,851,000	22,802,300				
40-251	MILWAUKEE	52	2003	24,943,700	14,717,800				
40-251	MILWAUKEE	53	2004	44,717,600	39,965,300				
40-251	MILWAUKEE	54	2004	19,475,600	18,327,600				
40-251	MILWAUKEE	55	2004	29,598,300	19,542,300				
40-251	MILWAUKEE	56	2004	140,082,600	131,124,000				
40-251	MILWAUKEE	57	2005	31,944,700	31,944,700				
40-251	MILWAUKEE	58	2005	5,207,200	454,000				
40-251	MILWAUKEE	59	2005	67,023,800	21,002,300				
40-251	MILWAUKEE	60	2005	17,001,400	14,788,500				
40-251	MILWAUKEE	61	2005	4,713,400	623,700				
40-251	MILWAUKEE	62	2006	5,698,400	368,600				
40-251	MILWAUKEE	63	2006	13,345,400	4,474,300				
40-251	MILWAUKEE	64	2006	25,915,900	11,557,900				
40-251	MILWAUKEE	65	2006	3,576,500	355,800				
40-251	MILWAUKEE	66	2007	49,501,500	(941,800)				

TIF Value Limitation Report
Wisconsin Department of Revenue
Equalization Section

Co Mun	Municipality	TID No.	Base Year	2009 TID Current Value	2009 TID Increment	2009 Muni Total TID Increment	2009 Muni Total Current Value	2009 Municipal Equalized Value	12% Test
40-251	MILWAUKEE	67	2007	24,205,100	14,938,200				
40-251	MILWAUKEE	68	2007	35,970,200	7,799,200				
40-251	MILWAUKEE	69	2007	0	0				
40-251	MILWAUKEE	70	2007	14,602,000	(302,700)				
40-251	MILWAUKEE	71	2008	70,384,400	(4,868,100)	1,269,958,700	1,842,620,300	31,266,329,200	4.06%
25-251	MINERAL POINT	01	1997	5,590,400	5,019,800	5,019,800	5,590,400	190,809,000	2.63%
65-151	MINONG	01	1988	711,100	405,100				
65-151	MINONG	02	1997	5,391,300	4,001,100	4,406,200	6,102,400	35,122,900	12.55%
06-251	MONDOVI	01	1989	10,716,200	10,599,900				
06-251	MONDOVI	02	2005	6,046,800	6,026,900				
13-258	MONONA	02	1991	76,352,400	58,415,700	16,626,800	16,763,000	142,592,900	11.66%
13-258	MONONA	03	2000	10,414,400	4,218,200				
13-258	MONONA	04	2000	47,031,600	14,960,000				
13-258	MONONA	05	2008	7,802,800	(1,176,900)	77,593,900	141,601,200	1,132,370,100	6.85%
23-251	MONROE	04	1996	5,560,500	5,136,900				
23-251	MONROE	05	1996	23,646,300	17,242,100				
23-251	MONROE	06	2003	22,673,200	12,530,000				
23-251	MONROE	07	2005	34,454,800	4,493,100				
23-251	MONROE	08	2007	2,615,400	282,700				
23-151	MONTICELLO	01	1996	5,470,600	3,926,200	39,684,800	88,950,200	663,390,900	5.98%
37-251	MOSINEE	02	2006	16,371,700	4,492,800	3,926,200	5,470,600	73,878,600	5.31%
13-157	MOUNT HOREB	03	2004	11,927,900	9,540,600	4,492,800	16,371,700	291,256,200	1.54%
13-157	MOUNT HOREB	04	2007	8,359,300	4,411,200	13,951,800	20,287,200	619,718,800	2.25%
51-151	MOUNT PLEASANT	01	2006	20,431,200	16,138,500				
51-151	MOUNT PLEASANT	02	2007	117,078,200	17,442,200	33,580,700	137,509,400	2,844,649,500	1.18%
67-153	MUKWONAGO	03	2003	36,903,300	34,513,800	34,513,800	36,903,300	766,558,700	4.50%
22-153	MUSCODA	02	1997	1,555,600	417,700				
25-153	MUSCODA	02	1997	1,534,100	864,300				
22-153	MUSCODA	03	1997	3,340,300	1,300,900	2,582,900	6,430,000	64,646,400	4.00%
67-251	MUSKEGO	08	2000	16,781,800	3,583,700				
67-251	MUSKEGO	09	2003	35,552,700	12,426,600				
67-251	MUSKEGO	10	2008	22,968,500	22,462,000	38,472,300	75,303,000	2,694,187,300	1.43%
29-161	NECEDAH	02	1995	6,001,300	4,767,800				
29-161	NECEDAH	03	1995	13,556,400	6,260,100	11,027,900	19,557,700	46,770,000	23.58%
70-261	NEENAH	05	1993	31,695,700	17,723,800				
70-261	NEENAH	06	1997	10,756,300	7,886,700				
70-261	NEENAH	07	2000	83,133,000	55,895,900				

TIF Value Limitation Report
Wisconsin Department of Revenue
Equalization Section

Co Mun	TID	Base Year	2009 TID Current Value	2009 TID Value Increment	2009 Muni Total TID Increment	2009 Muni Total TID Current Value	2009 Municipal Equalized Value	12% Test
70-261 NEENAH	08	2001	46,879,400	32,135,800	113,642,200	172,464,400	1,981,348,900	5.74%
10-261 NEILLSVILLE	02	1999	3,492,900	3,385,700				
10-261 NEILLSVILLE	03	2006	757,100	452,300	3,838,000	4,250,000	109,524,800	3.50%
71-261 NEKOOSA	01	1997	18,832,200	8,308,600	12,279,600	23,230,400	106,724,700	11.51%
71-261 NEKOOSA	02	2002	4,398,200	3,971,000	277,100	560,800	20,229,800	1.37%
09-161 NEW AUBURN	01	2008	560,800	277,100				
23-161 NEW GLARUS	02	1999	17,543,900	9,849,700	16,913,100	24,626,600	168,059,900	10.06%
23-161 NEW GLARUS	03	2006	7,082,700	7,063,400				
08-261 NEW HOLSTEIN	01	1994	13,556,900	10,225,600	10,575,000	17,758,200	183,476,200	5.76%
08-261 NEW HOLSTEIN	02	2000	1,496,800	349,400				
08-261 NEW HOLSTEIN	03	2007	2,704,500	(253,800)				
29-261 NEW LISBON	09	1991	368,000	359,700				
29-261 NEW LISBON	10	1991	556,100	546,200				
29-261 NEW LISBON	11	1997	6,682,700	6,503,200	7,409,100	7,606,800	74,719,400	9.92%
44-261 NEW LONDON	02	1990	14,549,900	13,649,650	40,275,850	42,934,600	372,121,500	10.82%
68-261 NEW LONDON	02	1990	28,384,700	26,626,200				
55-261 NEW RICHMOND	05	1987	22,495,300	22,417,400				
55-261 NEW RICHMOND	06	1995	6,708,400	6,479,900				
55-261 NEW RICHMOND	07	2003	5,481,300	2,923,500				
55-261 NEW RICHMOND	08	2005	24,575,000	8,843,700				
55-261 NEW RICHMOND	09	2008	7,385,200	282,100	40,946,600	66,645,200	647,542,700	6.32%
38-261 NIAGARA	01	1995	1,007,500	1,007,500				
38-261 NIAGARA	02	1998	1,996,800	1,968,300	2,975,800	3,004,300	66,912,400	4.45%
20-161 NORTH FOND DU LAC	01	1999	21,360,300	21,159,800				
20-161 NORTH FOND DU LAC	02	2008	3,910,200	(264,900)	21,159,800	25,270,500	206,263,800	10.26%
56-161 NORTH FREEDOM	01	1997	4,585,000	2,056,500	2,056,500	4,585,000	27,330,200	7.52%
67-161 NORTH PRAIRIE	02	1996	8,993,000	5,782,100	5,782,100	8,993,000	245,318,000	2.36%
40-265 OAK CREEK	04	1999	12,882,100	12,123,500				
40-265 OAK CREEK	05	2000	6,954,200	5,016,000				
40-265 OAK CREEK	06	2001	11,836,400	10,459,200				
40-265 OAK CREEK	07	2007	188,201,100	23,148,000	50,746,700	219,873,800	3,293,533,700	1.54%
41-165 OAKDALE	01	1991	8,125,100	6,494,700	6,494,700	8,125,100	21,394,800	30.36%
20-165 OAKFIELD	01	1995	10,025,900	8,318,400				
20-165 OAKFIELD	02	1997	3,408,800	2,520,600	10,839,000	13,434,700	60,569,700	17.90%
67-265 OCCONOMOWOC	03	2001	247,081,300	241,004,500				
67-265 OCCONOMOWOC	04	2003	69,438,900	20,328,500	261,333,000	316,520,200	2,019,391,100	12.94%
42-265 OCCONTO	02	1998	15,073,700	10,973,200				

TIF Value Limitation Report
Wisconsin Department of Revenue
Equalization Section

Co Mun	Municipality	TID No.	Base Year	2009 TID Current Value	2009 TID Increment	2009 Muni Total TID Increment	2009 Muni Total Current Value	2009 Municipal Equalized Value	12% Test
42-265	OCONTO	03	2007	13,665,700	249,500	11,222,700	28,739,400	208,552,700	5.38%
42-266	OCONTO FALLS	02	1992	31,145,200	26,749,500	26,749,500	31,145,200	149,190,600	17.93%
70-265	OMRO	03	1988	5,329,600	3,396,400				
70-265	OMRO	05	1988	13,712,800	13,125,200				
70-265	OMRO	06	1991	1,760,500	1,724,800	18,246,400	20,802,900	179,118,500	10.19%
62-165	ONTARIO	01	1998	2,468,800	1,982,300	1,982,300	2,468,800	15,561,800	12.74%
59-165	OOSTBURG	01	1999	14,139,300	13,735,700				
59-165	OOSTBURG	02	2001	8,700,100	3,222,300	16,958,000	22,839,400	192,987,500	8.79%
13-165	OREGON	02	1993	17,728,800	14,606,600				
13-165	OREGON	03	2005	20,862,200	4,981,400				
13-165	OREGON	04	2008	13,432,400	614,300	20,202,300	52,023,400	866,491,100	2.33%
53-165	ORFORDVILLE	03	2000	3,982,900	3,473,500	3,473,500	3,982,900	75,071,500	4.63%
48-165	OSCEOLA	01	1987	16,026,200	15,681,200				
48-165	OSCEOLA	02	1992	21,091,000	17,339,200	33,020,400	37,117,200	205,423,100	16.07%
70-266	OSHKOSH	06	1989	11,320,300	10,969,400				
70-266	OSHKOSH	07	1989	160,693,800	138,384,800				
70-266	OSHKOSH	08	1991	37,870,100	26,792,600				
70-266	OSHKOSH	09	1991	24,986,800	24,302,500				
70-266	OSHKOSH	10	1993	1,008,500	408,200				
70-266	OSHKOSH	11	1995	601,200	114,900				
70-266	OSHKOSH	12	1997	5,909,200	4,193,800				
70-266	OSHKOSH	13	1998	18,619,000	12,749,900				
70-266	OSHKOSH	14	2000	29,995,700	29,437,300				
70-266	OSHKOSH	15	2001	7,933,500	7,368,600				
70-266	OSHKOSH	16	2001	4,495,100	4,495,100				
70-266	OSHKOSH	17	2001	12,724,500	10,513,900				
70-266	OSHKOSH	18	2002	12,948,300	12,897,000				
70-266	OSHKOSH	19	2003	8,401,100	8,296,900				
70-266	OSHKOSH	20	2005	17,446,800	(3,368,700)				
70-266	OSHKOSH	21	2006	2,770,600	815,700	291,740,600	357,724,500	3,801,817,900	7.67%
61-265	OSSEO	01	1993	10,377,300	10,147,100				
61-265	OSSEO	02	1994	10,530,400	10,375,700	20,522,800	20,907,700	111,861,300	18.35%
10-265	OWEN	03	1996	388,100	382,000				
10-265	OWEN	04	2004	7,683,300	5,923,000	6,305,000	8,071,400	39,737,400	15.87%
28-171	PALMYRA	02	1995	3,096,400	2,930,100				
28-171	PALMYRA	03	2006	8,582,500	8,152,200	11,082,300	11,678,900	135,031,700	8.21%
11-171	PARDEEVILLE	01	1985	15,362,500	14,870,100	14,870,100	15,362,500	142,953,000	10.40%

TIF Value Limitation Report
Wisconsin Department of Revenue
Equalization Section

Co Mun	TID	TID No.	Base Year	2009 TID Current Value	2009 TID Value Increment	2009 Muni Total TID Increment	2009 Muni Total TID Current Value	2009 Municipal Equalized Value	12% Test
50-271	PARK FALLS	02	1989	5,817,500	5,411,450				
50-271	PARK FALLS	03	1994	8,549,000	7,197,200				
50-271	PARK FALLS	04	2000	14,383,000	3,599,200	16,207,850	28,749,500	120,339,000	13.47%
46-171	PEPIN	01	1990	8,358,500	3,907,400				
46-171	PEPIN	02	2006	3,472,100	246,500	4,153,900	11,830,600	63,201,300	6.57%
38-271	PESHITGO	01	1990	40,442,600	37,733,100	37,733,100	40,442,600	170,707,600	22.10%
67-171	PEWAUKEE	01	1987	31,574,900	25,251,750	25,251,750	31,574,900	983,662,800	2.57%
50-272	PHILLIPS	02	1995	579,200	479,200				
50-272	PHILLIPS	03	1995	3,689,300	1,512,200				
50-272	PHILLIPS	04	1995	15,882,100	15,128,600	17,120,000	20,150,600	88,597,100	19.32%
71-271	PITTSVILLE	02	1987	618,900	346,050				
71-271	PITTSVILLE	03	1995	16,187,200	13,645,200	13,991,250	16,806,100	40,827,500	34.27%
56-171	PLAIN	02	2006	4,780,100	4,626,600	4,626,600	4,780,100	75,242,400	6.15%
22-271	PLATTEVILLE	03	1987	10,141,200	10,141,200				
22-271	PLATTEVILLE	04	1997	14,445,400	11,240,800				
22-271	PLATTEVILLE	05	2005	35,138,400	35,108,900				
22-271	PLATTEVILLE	06	2006	7,446,600	4,464,700				
22-271	PLATTEVILLE	07	2006	31,323,300	4,001,900				
22-271	PLATTEVILLE	08	2007	5,824,500	4,714,600	69,672,100	104,319,400	563,306,700	12.37%
30-174	PLEASANT PRAIRIE	02	1999	316,987,400	262,482,700				
30-174	PLEASANT PRAIRIE	04	2007	347,600	181,500	262,664,200	317,335,000	2,807,695,000	9.36%
49-173	PLOVER	03	2003	6,836,700	6,304,600				
49-173	PLOVER	04	2004	46,022,100	29,242,100				
49-173	PLOVER	05	2005	11,550,500	8,599,000	44,145,700	64,409,300	943,027,900	4.68%
59-271	PLYMOUTH	04	2001	86,674,400	69,171,100				
59-271	PLYMOUTH	05	2008	23,446,300	6,845,800	76,016,900	110,120,700	675,939,100	11.25%
11-271	PORTAGE	03	1995	1,829,900	1,791,500				
11-271	PORTAGE	04	2003	223,000	11,100				
11-271	PORTAGE	05	2004	7,985,700	6,724,200				
11-271	PORTAGE	06	2008	16,719,800	6,724,200	8,526,800	26,758,400	639,716,300	1.33%
11-172	POYNETTE	03	2008	165,800	(5,200)	0	165,800	172,761,100	0.00%
12-271	PRAIRIE DU CHIEN	01	1988	3,289,800	2,689,450				
12-271	PRAIRIE DU CHIEN	02	1989	4,739,100	4,668,800				
12-271	PRAIRIE DU CHIEN	04	1994	5,096,400	4,277,500				
12-271	PRAIRIE DU CHIEN	05	1994	6,382,300	6,133,500				
12-271	PRAIRIE DU CHIEN	06	1996	55,688,400	54,758,800	72,791,550	75,812,600	356,171,400	20.44%
12-271	PRAIRIE DU CHIEN	07	1996	616,600	263,500				

TIF Value Limitation Report
Wisconsin Department of Revenue
Equalization Section

Co Mun	Municipality	TID No.	Base Year	2009 TID		2009 Muni Total		2009 Municipal		12% Test
				Current Value	Increment	TID Value	TID Increment	Current Value	Equalized Value	
56-172	PRAIRIE DU SAC	02	1996	7,480,200	5,002,000					
56-172	PRAIRIE DU SAC	03	1996	22,431,100	17,226,300					
56-172	PRAIRIE DU SAC	04	2008	1,481,000	997,700	23,226,000		31,392,300	342,070,800	6.79%
03-171	PRAIRIE FARM	01	2002	4,322,200	1,063,800	1,063,800		4,322,200	18,608,000	5.72%
47-271	PRESCOTT	03	2000	8,939,300	5,894,900					
47-271	PRESCOTT	04	2003	23,699,500	14,118,200					
47-271	PRESCOTT	05	2006	227,400	(279,200)	20,013,100		32,866,200	303,584,700	6.59%
24-271	PRINCETON	02	2001	5,470,300	2,199,600	2,199,600		5,470,300	63,856,500	3.44%
05-171	PULASKI	02	2005	7,258,000	2,643,100	2,643,100		7,258,000	196,633,900	1.34%
51-276	RACINE	02	1983	50,638,800	48,244,100					
51-276	RACINE	03	1983	6,546,900	3,256,600					
51-276	RACINE	05	1985	20,599,500	20,599,500					
51-276	RACINE	06	1987	43,010,000	21,349,600					
51-276	RACINE	07	1989	57,061,800	55,162,200					
51-276	RACINE	08	1990	34,355,000	23,016,650					
51-276	RACINE	09	2000	38,468,800	37,591,200					
51-276	RACINE	10	2003	630,900	(549,500)					
51-276	RACINE	11	2005	2,700,900	(478,800)					
51-276	RACINE	12	2006	8,210,200	7,832,200					
51-276	RACINE	13	2006	9,132,700	8,820,400					
51-276	RACINE	14	2006	4,276,100	172,900					
51-276	RACINE	15	2006	0	0	226,045,350		275,631,600	4,133,491,100	5.47%
14-176	RANDOLPH	01	1993	10,597,000	8,175,800					
11-176	RANDOLPH	02	1995	4,744,700	2,256,200					
14-176	RANDOLPH	02	1995	10,830,700	6,631,400	17,063,400		26,172,400	98,135,000	17.39%
59-176	RANDOM LAKE	02	2008	12,577,000	(510,000)	0		12,577,000	152,466,900	0.00%
69-176	REDGRANITE	01	1997	14,825,600	13,366,600					
69-176	REDGRANITE	02	1997	460,500	414,300					
56-276	REEDSBURG	03	1998	2,552,900	1,303,300					
56-276	REEDSBURG	04	1998	18,085,700	14,157,500					
56-276	REEDSBURG	05	2000	2,083,200	774,200					
56-276	REEDSBURG	06	2000	12,497,300	2,302,000					
56-276	REEDSBURG	07	2001	1,223,000	1,075,400					
56-276	REEDSBURG	08	2008	2,049,900	57,100	19,669,500		38,492,000	608,374,500	3.23%
14-177	REESEVILLE	02	1998	8,900	(18,000)	0		8,900	33,437,000	0.00%
43-276	RHINELANDER	05	2000	3,152,200	2,185,400					
43-276	RHINELANDER	06	2002	11,527,700	543,900	2,729,300		14,679,900	593,753,500	0.46%

TIF Value Limitation Report
 Wisconsin Department of Revenue
 Equalization Section

Co Mun	TID	No.	Base Year	2009 TID Current Value	2009 TID Value Increment	2009 Muni Total TID Increment	2009 Muni Total TID Current Value	2009 Municipal Equalized Value	12% Test
60-176 RIB LAKE	01	1995	1,499,800	703,600	703,600	1,499,800	32,066,000	2.19%	
03-276 RICE LAKE	02	1998	10,630,200	8,469,300					
03-276 RICE LAKE	03	2001	37,784,100	16,425,400					
03-276 RICE LAKE	04	2007	48,774,700	(2,072,100)	24,894,700	97,189,000	644,149,200	3.86%	
52-276 RICHLAND CENTER	02	1995	54,739,800	35,127,000					
52-276 RICHLAND CENTER	03	1995	3,515,800	3,060,200					
52-276 RICHLAND CENTER	04	1995	20,397,500	5,305,900					
52-276 RICHLAND CENTER	05	1995	10,341,500	9,898,200	53,391,300	88,994,600	285,452,200	18.70%	
17-176 RIDGELAND	01	2006	2,371,700	1,145,900	1,145,900	2,371,700	15,071,800	7.60%	
25-177 RIDGEWAY	01	2007	3,289,200	387,100	387,100	3,289,200	36,538,000	1.06%	
11-177 RIO	01	1988	1,575,800	1,024,400					
11-177 RIO	02	1988	756,800	756,800					
11-177 RIO	03	1996	7,360,600	6,092,500	7,873,700	9,693,200	67,486,100	11.67%	
20-276 RIPON	01	1988	15,221,900	9,490,500					
20-276 RIPON	04	1994	19,784,900	12,974,700					
20-276 RIPON	05	2000	6,879,700	6,640,400					
20-276 RIPON	06	2005	39,125,400	13,862,100	44,666,200	83,556,000	445,002,600	10.04%	
20-276 RIPON	07	2007	2,544,100	1,698,500					
55-276 RIVER FALLS	04	1988	13,455,200	12,219,700					
55-276 RIVER FALLS	05	1994	22,918,500	22,451,100					
47-276 RIVER FALLS	06	2005	1,589,500	614,900	35,285,700	37,963,200	852,887,300	4.14%	
55-176 ROBERTS	01	1997	20,545,200	16,110,100	16,110,100	20,545,200	117,924,300	13.66%	
56-176 ROCK SPRINGS	01	1986	371,100	101,700	101,700	371,100	20,053,600	0.51%	
37-176 ROTHSCHILD	01	1992	90,651,100	86,913,800	86,913,800	90,651,100	447,961,100	19.40%	
48-281 SAINT CROIX FALLS	01	1993	68,888,900	51,250,200	51,250,200	68,888,900	228,788,000	22.40%	
40-281 SAINT FRANCIS	03	2006	97,245,700	41,114,400	41,114,400	97,245,700	681,521,100	6.03%	
56-181 SAUK CITY	06	2002	8,581,700	7,375,400					
56-181 SAUK CITY	07	2005	1,772,600	1,066,400					
56-181 SAUK CITY	08	2005	19,160,200	4,266,700	12,708,500	29,514,500	308,435,200	4.12%	
45-181 SAUKVILLE	02	2001	3,731,000	3,381,000					
45-181 SAUKVILLE	04	2006	3,143,500	1,543,400	4,924,400	6,874,500	423,792,900	1.16%	
37-281 SCHOFIELD	02	1994	18,262,000	14,988,500					
37-281 SCHOFIELD	03	1997	11,007,500	6,168,500					
44-281 SEYMOUR	03	2001	18,693,400	13,863,500	21,157,000	29,269,500	205,215,000	10.31%	
64-181 SHARON	04	2007	1,306,100	239,000	13,863,500	18,693,400	200,522,200	6.91%	
58-281 SHAWANO	02	1992	10,574,000	10,273,500	239,000	1,306,100	85,821,400	0.28%	
58-281 SHAWANO	03	1995	5,520,500	3,169,500					

TIF Value Limitation Report
Wisconsin Department of Revenue
Equalization Section

Co Mun	Municipality	TID No.	Base Year	2009 TID Current Value	2009 TID Increment	2009 Muni Total TID Increment	2009 Muni Total Current Value	2009 Municipal Equalized Value	12% Test
58-281	SHAWANO	04	2000	20,052,300	6,947,200				
58-281	SHAWANO	05	2001	6,615,600	6,301,300	26,691,500	42,762,400	554,053,100	4.82%
59-281	SHEBOYGAN	03	1988	56,368,700	55,433,100				
59-281	SHEBOYGAN	05	1991	1,129,500	78,900				
59-281	SHEBOYGAN	06	1992	132,103,600	112,010,700				
59-281	SHEBOYGAN	07	1994	7,359,500	4,246,400				
59-281	SHEBOYGAN	10	1997	18,311,800	15,061,200				
59-281	SHEBOYGAN	11	1998	24,985,500	21,599,300				
59-281	SHEBOYGAN	12	2000	14,598,700	10,773,000				
59-281	SHEBOYGAN	13	2006	14,307,100	14,012,700	233,215,300	269,164,400	2,865,434,000	8.14%
59-282	SHEBOYGAN FALLS	03	1994	19,017,700	12,829,400	12,829,400	19,017,700	590,705,600	2.17%
65-282	SHELL LAKE	01	1985	1,986,600	1,678,400				
65-282	SHELL LAKE	02	1996	28,443,400	22,847,700	24,526,100	30,430,000	200,928,700	12.21%
08-179	SHERWOOD	01	1992	109,842,700	107,379,300	107,379,300	109,842,700	241,526,800	44.46%
40-181	SHOREWOOD	01	1995	184,568,000	45,873,400				
40-181	SHOREWOOD	03	2008	12,150,700	(662,100)	45,873,400	196,718,700	1,525,289,600	3.01%
13-181	SHOREWOOD HILLS	03	2008	13,543,500	697,600	697,600	13,543,500	499,541,900	0.14%
33-281	SHULLSBURG	03	1997	4,124,300	2,644,300				
33-281	SHULLSBURG	04	1997	1,679,200	1,664,200				
33-281	SHULLSBURG	05	2005	286,500	125,000	4,433,500	6,090,000	52,257,800	8.48%
07-181	SIREN	01	1994	363,600	304,900				
07-181	SIREN	02	2003	24,324,700	5,562,100	5,867,000	24,688,300	76,592,500	7.66%
15-181	SISTER BAY	01	2008	46,519,400	(966,300)	0	46,519,400	424,965,800	0.00%
66-181	SLINGER	03	1993	110,289,700	106,446,900	106,446,900	110,289,700	463,077,900	22.99%
16-181	SOLO SPRINGS	02	1999	2,114,300	1,801,400	1,801,400	2,114,300	49,184,100	3.66%
55-181	SOMERSET	02	1996	24,468,700	22,578,100				
55-181	SOMERSET	03	2005	1,144,800	9,300				
55-181	SOMERSET	04	2008	103,600	(982,100)	22,587,400	25,717,100	198,884,300	11.36%
40-282	SOUTH MILWAUKEE	01	2000	23,222,700	14,825,000				
40-282	SOUTH MILWAUKEE	02	2000	20,807,800	14,413,400				
40-282	SOUTH MILWAUKEE	03	2005	43,227,000	26,766,500				
40-282	SOUTH MILWAUKEE	04	2006	18,084,400	17,421,900	73,426,800	105,341,900	1,404,083,200	5.23%
41-281	SPARTA	03	1992	5,252,600	5,229,300				
41-281	SPARTA	05	1996	24,156,000	23,798,000				
41-281	SPARTA	06	2005	972,100	726,600				
41-281	SPARTA	07	2005	4,432,100	2,808,900	32,562,800	34,812,800	440,949,800	7.38%
37-181	SPENCER	02	1999	5,809,600	2,855,000	2,855,000	5,809,600	88,432,700	3.23%

TIF Value Limitation Report
Wisconsin Department of Revenue
Equalization Section

Co Mun	Municipality	TID No.	Base Year	2009 TID Current Value	2009 TID Value Increment	2009 Muni Total TID Increment	2009 Muni Total TID Current Value	2009 Municipal Equalized Value	12% Test
65-281	SPOONER	03	1996	13,976,600	13,357,900				
65-281	SPOONER	04	2003	385,100	207,100	13,565,000	14,361,700	148,331,000	9.15%
47-181	SPRING VALLEY	02	1995	6,531,100	6,447,800				
47-181	SPRING VALLEY	03	2007	1,535,500	66,500	6,514,300	8,066,600	68,277,000	9.54%
09-281	STANLEY	03	2001	19,783,800	15,578,600	15,578,600	19,783,800	97,412,400	15.99%
49-281	STEVENS POINT	05	2005	50,990,400	13,049,700				
49-281	STEVENS POINT	06	2006	53,955,300	3,957,600				
49-281	STEVENS POINT	07	2008	23,262,500	12,348,600	29,355,900	128,208,200	1,602,553,100	1.83%
13-281	STOUGHTON	03	1993	12,165,800	12,071,800				
13-281	STOUGHTON	04	1999	15,476,000	6,352,300	18,424,100	27,641,800	982,161,800	1.88%
37-182	STRATFORD	01	1990	13,935,800	13,935,800				
37-182	STRATFORD	03	2006	6,176,100	3,762,700	17,698,500	20,111,900	92,073,700	19.22%
15-281	STURGEON BAY	01	1991	27,067,200	17,433,000				
15-281	STURGEON BAY	02	1994	92,675,200	75,053,900				
15-281	STURGEON BAY	03	2008	1,094,500	177,600	92,664,500	120,836,900	854,553,600	10.84%
51-181	STURTEVANT	03	1994	206,900,600	197,742,900	197,742,900	206,900,600	566,040,200	34.93%
05-178	SUAMICO	01	2004	40,449,900	29,979,200				
05-178	SUAMICO	02	2006	21,679,600	11,153,400	41,132,600	62,129,500	1,028,111,400	4.00%
13-282	SUN PRAIRIE	06	1997	6,524,800	6,407,200				
13-282	SUN PRAIRIE	07	1998	37,840,800	37,134,100				
13-282	SUN PRAIRIE	08	2002	78,481,100	56,202,100				
13-282	SUN PRAIRIE	09	2007	10,746,400	(57,000)				
13-282	SUN PRAIRIE	10	2008	44,059,400	(745,100)	99,743,400	177,652,500	2,608,947,700	3.82%
16-281	SUPERIOR	07	1996	17,265,400	9,865,900				
16-281	SUPERIOR	08	1997	10,541,500	8,658,800				
16-281	SUPERIOR	09	2002	28,807,800	20,632,200				
16-281	SUPERIOR	11	2008	2,661,000	274,000	39,430,900	59,275,700	1,667,745,100	2.36%
42-181	SURING	01	2000	2,578,000	1,128,765	1,128,765	2,578,000	23,033,000	4.90%
67-181	SUSSEX	04	1994	27,367,200	16,823,600	16,823,600	27,367,200	1,174,737,300	1.43%
27-186	TAYLOR	03	1997	2,197,800	2,123,800				
27-186	TAYLOR	04	1999	835,500	436,700	2,560,500	3,033,300	13,462,300	19.02%
14-186	THERESA	01	1988	14,830,600	14,326,200	14,326,200	14,830,600	61,456,600	23.31%
45-186	THIENSVILLE	01	1985	56,200,700	39,373,800	39,373,800	56,200,700	334,797,000	11.76%
10-286	THORP	04	1994	3,050,300	2,414,300				
10-286	THORP	05	1999	4,646,200	4,360,800				
10-286	THORP	06	2000	3,822,900	2,240,900	9,016,000	11,519,400	79,650,800	11.32%
58-186	TIGERTON	01	1996	2,449,600	2,324,700	2,324,700	2,449,600	25,662,800	9.06%

TIF Value Limitation Report
Wisconsin Department of Revenue
Equalization Section

Co Mun Code	Municipality	TID No.	Base Year	2009 TID		2009 TID Value		2009 Muni Total TID Increment	2009 Muni Total Current Value	2009 Municipal Equalized Value	12% Test
				Current Value	Increment	Increment	TID Value				
41-286	TOMAH	04	1990	4,893,700	4,720,800	4,720,800	4,893,700	4,893,700	549,639,800	0.86%	
35-286	TOMAHAWK	01	1995	4,525,300	3,752,900						
35-286	TOMAHAWK	02	1997	19,782,300	11,496,400						
35-286	TOMAHAWK	03	2008	165,700	(12,500)	15,249,300	24,473,300	24,473,300	223,473,000	6.82%	
61-186	TREMPEALEAU	01	1997	4,254,900	2,251,500	2,251,500	4,254,900	4,254,900	93,553,400	2.41%	
30-186	TWIN LAKES	01	2007	55,927,400	2,127,000	2,127,000	55,927,400	55,927,400	884,404,500	0.24%	
36-286	TWO RIVERS	03	1992	2,518,800	801,100						
36-286	TWO RIVERS	04	1994	1,973,900	827,000						
36-286	TWO RIVERS	05	1999	5,995,400	3,263,500						
36-286	TWO RIVERS	06	2000	943,500	943,500						
36-286	TWO RIVERS	07	2001	7,581,700	7,581,700						
36-286	TWO RIVERS	08	2002	5,974,600	5,974,600						
36-286	TWO RIVERS	09	2003	10,291,700	10,280,900	29,672,300	35,279,600	35,279,600	584,076,300	5.08%	
51-186	UNION GROVE	03	2001	7,252,500	5,370,100						
51-186	UNION GROVE	04	2006	31,154,400	(778,300)	5,370,100	38,406,900	38,406,900	325,566,100	1.65%	
10-186	UNITY	01	1998	969,900	850,400						
37-186	UNITY	01	1998	375,500	179,500						
36-186	VALDERS	01	1991	6,455,100	5,062,200	1,029,900	1,345,400	1,345,400	13,536,100	7.61%	
13-286	VERONA	04	1996	36,372,000	27,529,600	5,062,200	6,455,100	6,455,100	54,563,100	9.28%	
13-286	VERONA	05	1997	12,131,200	12,039,000						
13-286	VERONA	06	2000	30,839,800	30,364,600						
13-286	VERONA	07	2002	188,583,100	188,262,700	258,195,900	267,926,100	267,926,100	1,482,503,900	17.42%	
71-186	VESPER	01	2006	3,090,400	453,100	453,100	3,090,400	3,090,400	25,296,900	1.79%	
52-186	VIOLA	03	1995	1,323,200	662,300						
62-186	VIOLA	04	2007	349,000	(20,700)	662,300	1,672,200	1,672,200	21,687,400	3.05%	
62-286	VIROQUA	02	1994	1,155,700	800,200						
62-286	VIROQUA	03	1995	10,325,700	8,657,400						
62-286	VIROQUA	04	1999	3,561,500	3,268,500						
62-286	VIROQUA	05	2006	1,961,700	1,682,100	14,408,200	17,004,600	17,004,600	249,590,300	5.77%	
67-191	WALES	01	2006	30,980,700	6,412,400	6,412,400	30,980,700	30,980,700	367,315,900	1.75%	
41-185	WARRENS	01	1998	58,670,600	50,557,200	50,557,200	58,670,600	58,670,600	74,085,300	68.24%	
04-291	WASHBURN	02	1995	16,644,100	7,502,900	7,502,900	16,644,100	16,644,100	126,065,600	5.95%	
51-191	WATERFORD	02	2000	45,885,900	32,755,000	32,755,000	45,885,900	45,885,900	465,265,900	7.04%	
28-290	WATERLOO	01	2005	11,705,500	5,744,000	5,744,000	11,705,500	11,705,500	203,494,800	2.82%	
28-291	WATERTOWN	03	1991	60,377,100	58,295,300						
28-291	WATERTOWN	04	2005	2,200	(1,045,400)						
28-291	WATERTOWN	05	2005	28,406,800	(591,800)						

TIF Value Limitation Report
Wisconsin Department of Revenue
Equalization Section

Co Mun	TID	No.	Base Year	2009 TID Current Value	2009 TID Value Increment	2009 Muni Total TID Increment	2009 Muni Total TID Current Value	2009 Municipal Equalized Value	12% Test
28-291 WATERTOWN	06	2005	4,626,200	4,400,400	62,695,700	93,412,300	1,451,915,700	4.32%	
67-291 WAUKESHA	07	1989	55,253,100	33,872,300					
67-291 WAUKESHA	09	1994	16,508,200	14,482,900					
67-291 WAUKESHA	11	1997	95,177,200	57,652,600					
67-291 WAUKESHA	12	2001	18,256,500	18,148,800					
67-291 WAUKESHA	13	2003	2,898,400	2,416,600					
67-291 WAUKESHA	14	2003	16,275,200	5,316,100					
67-291 WAUKESHA	16	2007	46,208,300	(4,934,500)					
67-291 WAUKESHA	17	2007	71,640,200	13,147,300	145,036,600	322,217,100	6,102,122,700	2.38%	
13-191 WAUNAKEE	02	2000	13,663,400	13,564,600					
13-191 WAUNAKEE	03	2000	27,588,300	26,953,600					
13-191 WAUNAKEE	04	2003	4,564,900	3,887,500					
13-191 WAUNAKEE	05	2005	29,860,100	2,316,900	46,722,600	75,676,700	1,323,926,900	3.53%	
68-291 WAUPACA	03	2000	8,703,600	6,791,100					
68-291 WAUPACA	04	2000	27,474,300	24,572,700					
68-291 WAUPACA	05	2000	2,147,300	930,700					
68-291 WAUPACA	06	2000	30,496,500	19,589,900					
68-291 WAUPACA	07	2001	2,869,500	1,424,000					
68-291 WAUPACA	08	2001	4,303,300	2,530,700					
68-291 WAUPACA	09	2001	1,551,000	(657,100)					
68-291 WAUPACA	10	2001	2,811,600	2,529,800	58,368,900	80,357,100	401,038,300	14.55%	
14-292 WAUPUN	01	1987	8,876,100	8,017,600					
20-292 WAUPUN	03	2005	12,019,300	1,755,600					
14-292 WAUPUN	03	2005	7,541,800	503,000					
14-292 WAUPUN	04	2007	9,600	1,000					
14-292 WAUPUN	05	2008	2,536,300	776,500	11,053,700	30,983,100	418,180,300	2.64%	
37-291 WAUSAU	02	1990	27,018,800	26,846,500					
37-291 WAUSAU	03	1994	116,758,800	73,940,100					
37-291 WAUSAU	04	1996	2,715,300	2,642,300					
37-291 WAUSAU	05	1997	48,849,000	48,474,900					
37-291 WAUSAU	06	2005	54,884,200	33,161,200					
37-291 WAUSAU	07	2006	43,049,900	13,524,000	198,589,000	293,276,000	2,726,775,100	7.28%	
69-291 WAUTOMA	01	1995	23,245,900	19,108,000					
69-291 WAUTOMA	02	1996	8,401,300	7,610,900	26,718,900	31,647,200	107,459,500	24.86%	
40-291 WAUWATOSA	02	1995	235,188,700	230,813,900					
40-291 WAUWATOSA	03	2000	70,273,800	43,530,600					
40-291 WAUWATOSA	04	2002	8,270,100	7,648,100					

TIF Value Limitation Report
Wisconsin Department of Revenue
Equalization Section

Co Mun	Municipality	TID No.	Base Year	2009 TID Current Value	2009 TID Value Increment	2009 Muni Total TID Increment	2009 Muni Total Current Value	2009 Municipal Equalized Value	12% Test
40-291	WAUWATOSA	05	2007	5,794,400	3,392,600	285,385,200	319,527,000	5,610,122,800	5.09%
12-191	WAUZEKA	02	1997	2,459,900	1,669,800	1,669,800	2,459,900	22,252,500	7.50%
07-191	WEBSTER	02	2005	3,747,700	524,500	524,500	3,747,700	35,465,200	1.48%
40-292	WEST ALLIS	02	1994	9,987,900	8,306,300				
40-292	WEST ALLIS	03	1994	18,707,900	14,400,400				
40-292	WEST ALLIS	05	2001	43,665,500	25,141,500				
40-292	WEST ALLIS	06	2004	941,100	(389,500)				
40-292	WEST ALLIS	07	2004	74,438,400	58,524,000				
40-292	WEST ALLIS	09	2006	9,609,600	7,310,000				
40-292	WEST ALLIS	10	2008	3,168,500	(295,100)				
56-191	WEST BARABOO	02	1997	24,789,400	12,726,300	113,682,200	160,518,900	4,361,120,200	2.61%
66-291	WEST BEND	03	1995	33,996,200	29,178,500	12,726,300	24,789,400	112,896,500	11.27%
66-291	WEST BEND	04	1997	44,926,200	44,096,300				
66-291	WEST BEND	05	1998	5,346,700	3,553,300				
66-291	WEST BEND	06	1999	27,292,800	22,989,400				
66-291	WEST BEND	07	1999	10,480,300	4,863,000				
66-291	WEST BEND	08	1999	1,443,700	1,377,500				
66-291	WEST BEND	09	2003	2,586,100	(1,558,100)				
66-291	WEST BEND	10	2004	29,457,000	22,863,500				
66-291	WEST BEND	11	2005	22,319,800	12,696,800				
66-291	WEST BEND	12	2008	25,733,200	13,928,700	155,547,000	203,582,000	2,660,841,400	5.85%
40-191	WEST MILWAUKEE	02	2001	44,127,700	28,390,700				
40-191	WEST MILWAUKEE	03	2003	2,427,600	2,260,400				
40-191	WEST MILWAUKEE	04	2005	37,376,900	26,260,800				
32-191	WEST SALEM	01	2007	8,870,200	1,540,100	56,911,900	83,932,200	369,529,200	15.40%
62-291	WESTBY	01	1990	6,710,700	6,624,500	1,540,100	8,870,200	297,965,500	0.52%
62-291	WESTBY	02	2007	7,720,600	1,611,500				
62-291	WESTBY	03	2008	1,550,100	23,700	8,259,700	15,981,400	103,726,100	7.96%
39-191	WESTFIELD	01	1993	12,238,900	9,490,400	9,490,400	12,238,900	58,032,900	16.35%
37-192	WESTON	01	1998	204,869,400	189,627,800				
37-192	WESTON	02	2004	57,913,400	23,060,400	212,688,200	262,782,800	1,052,951,500	20.20%
68-292	WEYAUWEGA	03	1996	2,491,200	2,007,000				
68-292	WEYAUWEGA	04	2001	4,591,900	4,133,100				
68-292	WEYAUWEGA	05	2007	2,108,000	249,200	6,389,300	9,191,100	94,281,300	6.78%
34-191	WHITE LAKE	01	1997	2,066,000	1,741,000	1,741,000	2,066,000	16,215,700	10.74%
40-192	WHITEFISH BAY	01	2004	65,983,200	27,579,500	27,579,500	65,983,200	2,062,777,400	1.34%
61-291	WHITEHALL	02	2006	6,225,900	5,236,800				

TIF Value Limitation Report
Wisconsin Department of Revenue
Equalization Section

Co Mun	Municipality	TID No.	Base Year	2009 TID Current Value	2009 TID Value Increment	2009 Muni Total TID Increment	2009 Muni Total TID Current Value	2009 Municipal Equalized Value	12% Test
61-291	WHITEHALL	03	2006	8,333,200	1,874,000	7,110,800	14,559,100	82,192,100	8.65%
28-292	WHITEWATER	04	1990	17,725,600	16,757,400				
64-291	WHITEWATER	04	1990	80,425,600	58,948,500				
28-292	WHITEWATER	05	2007	42,100	27,600				
64-291	WHITEWATER	05	2007	3,711,100	397,900				
64-291	WHITEWATER	06	2007	3,365,100	742,000				
64-291	WHITEWATER	07	2007	644,600	(2,100)				
28-292	WHITEWATER	08	2007	1,408,300	904,600				
64-291	WHITEWATER	09	2007	156,500	117,800	77,895,800	107,478,900	639,047,900	12.19%
49-191	WHITING	01	1994	4,861,100	3,156,300	3,156,300	4,861,100	133,589,500	2.36%
69-191	WILD ROSE	01	1996	2,126,700	1,894,900				
69-191	WILD ROSE	02	2000	2,396,400	1,391,400				
69-191	WILD ROSE	03	2006	1,553,200	717,600	4,003,900	6,076,300	32,642,700	12.27%
41-191	WILTON	01	1988	287,700	227,400				
41-191	WILTON	02	1998	8,270,200	6,008,700	6,236,100	8,557,900	22,151,500	28.15%
70-191	WINNECONNE	03	1996	5,407,200	635,800				
70-191	WINNECONNE	04	1999	375,100	369,900				
70-191	WINNECONNE	05	2000	8,469,300	3,717,700				
70-191	WINNECONNE	06	2000	5,578,400	4,477,800				
70-191	WINNECONNE	07	2002	6,683,300	4,645,200	13,846,400	26,513,300	190,987,600	7.25%
56-291	WISCONSIN DELLS	02	2001	32,862,200	17,279,600				
11-291	WISCONSIN DELLS	03	2006	20,617,700	5,262,300				
56-291	WISCONSIN DELLS	03	2006	4,471,300	2,506,100				
01-291	WISCONSIN DELLS	03	2005	98,423,500	96,274,300				
56-291	WISCONSIN DELLS	04	2006	1,479,400	15,300				
29-291	WISCONSIN DELLS	04	2006	563,100	13,400	121,351,000	158,417,200	502,407,900	24.15%
71-291	WISCONSIN RAPIDS	06	2004	12,721,300	8,806,200				
71-291	WISCONSIN RAPIDS	07	2005	39,602,300	4,652,600	13,458,800	52,323,600	1,001,272,100	1.34%
10-191	WITHEE	01	1996	2,138,400	1,638,600	1,638,600	2,138,400	18,206,800	9.00%
58-191	WITTENBERG	01	2000	4,703,000	4,501,600	4,501,600	4,703,000	48,101,200	9.36%
55-192	WOODVILLE	01	1984	1,736,900	1,579,900				
55-192	WOODVILLE	03	1995	14,683,800	13,682,800				
55-192	WOODVILLE	04	2005	202,100	8,500	15,271,200	16,622,800	78,865,800	19.36%
05-191	WRIGHTSTOWN	02	1996	27,846,800	27,637,700	27,637,700	40,032,000	224,191,500	16.56%
44-191	WRIGHTSTOWN	02	1996	12,185,200	9,498,900	37,136,600	40,032,000	224,191,500	16.56%